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# TOWN OF SMITHFIELD <br> TOWN COUNCIL AGENDA <br> REGULAR MEETING DECEMBER 4, 2018 <br> 7:00 PM 

## Call to Order

## Invocation

## Pledge of Allegiance

## Approval of Agenda

Presentations: None
Page

## Public Hearings:

1. ZA-18-07 Town of Smithfield: The Town of Smithfield Planning Department is requesting an amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) Article 9 to amend the nonconforming situations regulations as it pertains to the Board of Adjustment issuing permits (Planning Director - Stephen Wensman) See attached information.1
2. S-18-01 Sam's Branch Development: The applicant is requesting a preliminary subdivision plat for East River; a proposed 298-315 lot residential Planned Unit Development at 1899 Buffalo Road (Planning Director - Stephen Wensman) See attached information.7

## Citizens Comments

## Consent Agenda Items

## 1. Approval of Minutes:

a. November 6 - Regular Meeting
b. November 6, 2018 - Close Session (Under Separate Cover)39
2. Special Event: The Johnston County Alumnae Chapter is requesting to hold a Taking Strides Against Family Violence 5K run/walk on Saturday, May 4th, 2019 from 7:00 am to 11:00 am on the Buffalo Creek Greenway starting at 600 Booker Dairy Road.
(Planning Director - Stephen Wensman) See attached information
3. Consideration and Approval to Adopt Resolution \#633 (20-2018) Awarding retiring Bruce Gentry, a retiring member of the Smithfield Police Department, his service weapon and badge. (Chief of Police - R. Keith Powell) See attached information ..... 63
4. Consideration and Approval to establish a Career Ladder for the position of the Water/ Sewer Pump Station Mechanic (Public Utilities Director - Ted Credle) See attached information ..... 65
5. Consideration and Approval to promote an employee to Electric Line Crew Leader in the Public Utilities Department due to a recent retirement.
(Public Utilities Director - Ted Credle) See attached information ..... 69
6. Bid Award to Vision, NC in the amount of $\$ 36,000$ for construction observation and contract administration for Phase I of the Durwood Stephenson Highway water line
(Public Utilities Director - Ted Credle) See attached information. ..... 71
7. Consideration and Approval of various budget amendments (Finance Director - Greg Siler) See attached information. ..... 85
8. Advisory Board Appointment:
a. Mark Lane has submitted an application for consideration to be reappointed to a third term on the Board of Adjustments an ETJ member and the Planning Board as an ETJ member.
b. Connie Barbour has submitted an application for consideration to be appointed to a first term on the Appearance Commission
c. Rachel Ayers has submitted an application for consideration to be appointed to a first term on the Historic Properties Commission.
d. Doris Wallace has submitted an application for consideration to be appointed to a first term on the Board of Adjustment
(Town Clerk - Shannan Parrish) See attached information................................................ 89
9. New Hire Report
(Human Resources Director - Tim Kerigan) See attached information. 99

## Business Items

1. S-18-02 Last Investment LLC: The applicant is requesting preliminary subdivision approval of a 110 lot residential development on approximately 100 acres of land located within an R-20A (Residential-Agricultural) zoning district. The property considered for preliminary subdivision approval is located on
southwest side of the intersection of Swift Creek Road and Cleveland Road and further identified as Johnston County Tax ID\# 15108020.
(Planning Director - Stephen Wensman) See attached information.
2. ANX-18-01 - Annexation Petition: Navaho Investment Company, LLC, is
requesting to annex approximately 21.26 acres of land into the Town of
Smithfield's corporate limits. The property considered for annexation on Galilee
Road about 1900 feet south of Black Creek Road, near West Smithfield
Elementary School
(Planning Director - Stephen Wensman) See attached information.................................... 143
3. Consideration and Approval to accept the upset bid amount of $\$ 6,500.00$ for property located at 916 Third Avenue (Town Manager - Michael Scott) See attached information157
4. Bid Award to the John Deer Winterville dealership in the amount of
\$44,542.51 for the purchase of a skid steer tractor for the Public
Utilities' Electric Department

(Public Utilities Director - Ted Credle) See attached information
161

## Councilmember's Comments

## Town Manager's Report

- Financial Report (See attached information)
- Department Reports (See attached information) 177
- Manager's Report

Close Session Pursuant to NCGS 143-318.11 (a)(6) - To discuss a personnel matter.

## Reconvene in Open Session

## Adjourn

Public Hearings


Subject: Unified Development Ordinance Text Amendment<br>Department: Planning<br>Presented by: Stephen Wensman, Planning Director<br>Presentation: Public Hearing

## Issue Statement

The Town of Smithfield Planning Department is requesting an amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) Article 9 to amend the nonconforming situations regulations as it pertains to the Board of Adjustments issuing permits.

## Financial Impact

None

## Action Needed

To review the zoning text amendment ZA-18-07, hold a public hearing, and make a decision whether to approve or deny the text amendment.

## Recommendation

Planning Staff and the Planning Board recommend approval of the zoning text amendment ZA-18-07 with a consistency statement declaring the request consistent with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and that the request is reasonable and in the public interest.

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Consistency Statement
3. Draft Ordinance Amendment
4. Application

## REQUEST:

The Town of Smithfield Planning Department is requesting an amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) Article 9 to amend the nonconforming situations regulations as it pertains to the Board of Adjustments issuing permits.

## ANALYSIS:

The Planning Board has created a subcommittee to review draft amendments to the UDO. The first meeting was held November 7th. At that meeting they reviewed several draft amendments including the Article 9 amendment which was previously reviewed and recommended for Town Council approval. The subcommittee reaffirmed the approval and this item is now before the Town Council for review and approval after a required public hearing.

## Proposed Text Amendment.

In reviewing Article 9, the following issues were identified:

- The Board of Adjustments (BOA) does not issue permits (Article 3, Section 3.4.1 Powers and Duties).
- Section 9.5.4 essentially allows the BOA to issue a use variance through a permit. The BOA does not issue permits and is not allowed to issue use variances.

The zoning text amendment ZA-18-07 corrects these inconsistencies by deleting sections 9.5.4 and 9.6.2 and replaces the term, "use permit", with variance in respect to the Board of Adjustment's approvals.

## CONSISTENCY STATEMENT:

The zoning text amendment as proposed is consistent with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and other adopted plans, and the amendment is reasonable and in the public interest.

## RECOMMENDATION:

Planning Staff and the Planning Board recommend approval of the zoning text amendment ZA-18-07 with a consistency statement declaring the request consistent with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and that the request is reasonable and in the public interest.

# THE TOWN OF SMITHFIELD UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE AMENDMENT CONSISTENCY STATEMENT BY THE SMITHFIELD TOWN COUNCIL <br> ZA-18-07 

Whereas the Smithfield Town Council, upon acting on a zoning ordinance amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance and pursuant to NCGS §160A-383, is required to approve a statement describing how the action is consistent with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan; and

Whereas the Smithfield Town Council, upon acting on a zoning ordinance amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance and pursuant to NCGS §160A-383, is required to provide a brief statement indicating how the action is reasonable and in the public interest.

## NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ADOPTED BY THE SMITHFIELD TOWN COUNCIL AS APPROPRIATE:

## IN THE EVENT THAT THE MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE ORDINANCE AMENDMENT,

That the final action regarding zoning ordinance amendment $\mathrm{ZA}-18-07$ is based upon review of and consistency with, the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and any other officially adopted plan that is applicable, along with additional agenda information provided to the Town Council and information provided at the regularly scheduled meeting of Town Council; and

It is the objective of the Town of Smithfield Town Council to have the Unified Development Ordinance promote regulatory efficiency and consistency and the health, safety, and general welfare of the community. The zoning ordinance amendment promotes this by offering fair and reasonable regulations for the citizens and business community of the Town of Smithfield as supported by the staff report and attachments provided to the Town Council at their regularly scheduled meeting. Therefore, the ordinance amendment is reasonable and in the public interest.

## IN THE EVENT THAT THE MOTION TO RECOMMEND APPROVAL OF THE ORDINANCE FAILS,

That the final action regarding zoning ordinance amendment $\mathrm{ZA}-18-07$ is based upon review of, and consistency, the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and other officially adopted plans that are applicable; and

It is the objective of the Town Council to have the Unified Development Ordinance promote regulatory efficiency and consistency and the health, safety, and general welfare of the community. The zoning ordinance amendment does not promote this and therefore is neither reasonable nor in the public interest.

DRAFT ORDINANCE \# ZA-18-07

## AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE TOWN OF SMITHFIELD UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE ARTICLE 9 AS IT PERTAINS TO THE BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS ISSUING PERMITS.

WHEREAS, the Smithfield Town Council wishes to amend certain provisions in the Unified Development Ordinance by making changes to the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance as they pertain to the Board of Adjustments issuing permits.

WHEREAS, it is the objective of the Smithfield Town Council to have the UDO promote regulatory efficiency and consistency and the health, safety, and general welfare of the community;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it ordained that the following Articles are amended to make the following changes set forth in the deletions (strikethroughs) and additions (double underlining) below:

## PART 1

[Revise Article 9, as it pertains to the Board of Adjustment issuing permits.]
9.2.3.9. Except for single-family residential structures (including manufactured homes), if the estimated cost of the reconstruction work exceeds 10 percent of the appraised value of the structure, the work may be done only after issuance of a permit variance by the Board of Adjustment. The Board shall issue the use permit if it finds that the work will be done in accordance with this paragraph and that the reconstructed building will not make the property more incompatible with the surrounding property than it was before the destruction occurred
9.5.4. If the intended change in principal nonconforming use is to another principal use that is also nonconforming in the district where the property is located, then the change in nonconforming use is permissible if the Board of Adjustment issues a permit authorizing the change. The Board of Adjustment may issue the permit if it finds, in addition to other findings that may be required by this Ordinance, that:-
9.5.4.1. The use requested is one that is permissible in some zoning district with either a zoning or special use permit; and
9.5.4.2. All of the conditions applicable to the permit authorized in subsection 9.5 .3 of this section are satisfied; and
9.5.4.3. The proposed development will have less of an adverse impact on those most affected by it, except for the applicant, and will be more-compatible with the surrounding neighborhood than the use in operation at the time the permit is applied for.

An existing nonconforming use shall be discontinued within sixty (60) days of the date of approval of a change in nonconforming use. Subsequent to that time, such existing use shall become unlawful.
9.6.1. When a nonconforming use is (1) discontinued for a consecutive period of 180 days, or (2) discontinued for any period of time without a present intention to reinstate the nonconforming use, the property involved may thereafter be used only for conforming purposes. except as provided in paragraph 9.6.2 of this subsection.
9.6.2. The Board of Adjustment may issue a use permit to allow a nonconforming use that has been discontinued for more than 180 consecutive days to be reinstated if it finds that (1) the nonconforming use has been discontinued for less than two years, and (2) the discontinuance resulted from factors that, for all practical purposes, were beyond the control of the person maintaining the nonconforming use.
9.6.2. If the principal activity on property where a nonconformity other than a nonconforming use exists is (1) discontinued for a consecutive period of 180 days, or (2) discontinued for any period of time without a present intention of resuming that activity, then that property may thereafter be used only in conformity with all of the regulations applicable to the district in which the property is located, unless the Board of Adjustment issues a use permit variance to allow the property to be used (for a conforming purpose) without correcting the nonconformity. The Board shall issue such a use permit variance if it finds that (1) the nonconformity cannot be corrected without undue hardship or expense, and (2) the nonconformity is of a minor nature that does not adversely affect the surrounding property or the general public to any significant extent.

## PART 2

That the Unified Development Ordinance shall be page numbered and revision dated as necessary to accommodate these changes.

## PART 3

That these amendments of the Unified Development Ordinance shall become effective upon adoption.

Duly adopted this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2018.

## ATTEST

M. Andy Moore, Mayor

Shannan L. Parrish, Town Clerk

Town of Smithfield
Planning Department
350 E. Market St Smithfield, NC 27577
P.O. Box 761, Smithfield, NC 27577

Phone: 919-934-2116
Fax: 919-934-1134

## Petition for Amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance

Pursuant to Article 4 of the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance, Proposed amendments may be initiated by the Town Council, Planning Board, Board of Adjustment, members of the public, or by one or more interested parties. The application for any amendment shall contain a description of the proposed zoning regulation.

## APPLICANT INFORMATION:

Town of Smithfield
Petitioner's Name
Smithfield, NC 27577
City, State, Zip Code

## 350 East Market Street

Address or PO Box
919-934-2116
Telephone

Proposed amendment to the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance:
Amend Article 9 nonconforming situations regulations as it pertains to the Board of Adjustments issuing permits.
(Attach additional sheets as necessary)
This application must be accompanied by a Statement of Justification which addresses the following:

1. How the amendment proposed would serve the public interest or correct an obvious error in the existing ordinance.
2. How the amendment proposed will enhance or promote the purposes and goals of the adopted plans and policies of the governing body.

The undersigned hereby authorizes the filing of this petition and certifies that the information contained herein stands alone based on the merits of this request and is accurate to the best of their knowledge and belief.

6/1/18
Signature of Petitioner
Date

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
File Number: ZA-18-07 Date Received: $6 / 1 / 18 \quad$ Amount Paid: $\$ 00.00$

Subject: Preliminary Subdivision Plat<br>Department: Planning<br>Presented by: Stephen Wensman, Planning Director<br>Presentation: Public Hearing

## Issue Statement

Sam's Branch Development is requesting a Preliminary Subdivision Plat for East River; a proposed 298-315 lot residential Planned Unit Development at 1899 Buffalo Road.

## Financial Impact

The Town will benefit by an increase in property tax base and additional sales tax.

## Action Needed

To review the Preliminary Subdivision Plat and make a decision whether to approve, approve with conditions, or to deny the preliminary plat.

## Recommendation

The Planning Department and Planning Board recommend approval of the preliminary plat for the East River PUD subdivision with 11 conditions of approval.

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney
Attachments:

1. Staff report
2. Application
3. Approved Master Plan
4. Preliminary Plat and Plans

Staff Report

Application Number:
Project Name:
TAX ID number:
Town Limits/ETJ:
Applicant:
Property Owner:
Agents:

S-18-01
East River
169520-80-0490
ETJ/Petitioning for Annexation
Sam's Branch Development
Buffalo Road LLC
Donnie Adams, Adams and Hodge Engineering, PC

LOCATION: 1899 Buffalo Road (north of M.Durwood Stephenson Highway).

REQUEST: Sam's Branch Development is requesting a Preliminary Subdivision Plat for East River; a proposed 298-315 lot residential Planned Unit Development on 67.88 acres.

## SITE/DEVELOPMENT DATA:

| Address: | 1899 Buffalo Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Tax ID: | 169520-80-0490 |
| Acreage: | 67.88 acres |
| Present Zoning: | R-8 Single, Two and Multi-Family Residential District, and |
|  | WS IV-PA Water Supply Watershed Protection Overlay |
|  | District |


|  | Zoning | Existing Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | R20-A <br> Residential/Agriculture | Agriculture/Residential |
| South | R20-A <br> Residential/Agriculture/B-3 <br> Business | Agriculture/Residential/Credit <br> Union |
| East | R-10 Single-Family <br> Residential | Vacant |
| West | R20-A <br> Residential/Agriculture | Agriculture/Residential |

## PROJECT HISTORY/DESCRIPTION:

On April 3, 2018, the property was rezoned to Planned Unit Development with an approved master plan. The master plan was approved with the following conditions:

1) That a Traffic Impact Study be conducted and the PUD Master Plan be updated to reflect any recommended internal circulation design, site access location and design, external roadway and intersection design and improvements, traffic signal installation and operation including signal timing, and transit service improvements.
2) That the applicants submit a request for voluntary annexation prior to subdivision application if connection to Town water, sewer and electricity are to be requested with the subdivision.
3) That the developer obtains a NCDOT Right-of-Way Permit for the street accesses onto Buffalo Road.
4) That there be no single-family attached units located in the first or second phases of the development.
5) That any area to be dedicated for public parks or trails be identified on the PUD master plan.
6) That all changes resulting from Town review of the required subdivision and construction plan review process, including, but not limited to utility, tree preservation, landscaping, lighting, stormwater management, grading and erosion control plans will be incorporated into the PUD master plan and resubmitted for final approval by Town staff.
7) Any material change to the plan such as moving roads and lots deemed a material changes as result of the subdivision process will require a new PUD rezoning with an amended master plan.

Of these conditions, conditions 1 and 5 have been completed. Condition 2 will be completed prior to final plat approval. Condition 3 will be required prior to construction approval.

On July 9, the applicant made application for Preliminary Plat. Upon review, Staff identified a number of incomplete items, items needed to provide a complete review. The plans were resubmitted on September 26th and deemed complete.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS:

The proposed development site is divided east-west by Buffalo Road. The west side consists of open farm land that slopes toward the Neuse River. The west side is surrounded by the Neuse River on the west, and agricultural/residential properties to the north and south. The east side of the development site is surrounded by a smaller residential property to the north, a vacant forested site to the east, and a smaller residential property and the SCEC Credit Union site to the south. A gas line easement crosses along the north boundary of the property. A drainage way, blue line stream, crosses the southwest boundary of the site within the tree line near the Neuse River. The low land and steeper slopes near the Neuse River are covered with a mostly deciduous forest. There is a drainage way that flows from the home site across the open field towards a small pond/wetland near the Neuse River in the forested area. Near Buffalo Road, surrounded by open field is a single family home with a few smaller accessory buildings and large trees.

The portion of the site east of Buffalo Road consists of mixed forest with a smaller area of farm fields and another smaller residential site with mature trees. Within the forested area is a small wetland-pond. The site slopes away from Buffalo Road towards the northeast and the pond.

## PRELIMINARY PLAT/PLANS ANALYSIS:

The applicant has submitted the following required drawings/documents for review:

- Existing Conditions PD01
- Adjacent Owners PD02
- Master Plan PD03
- Pedestrian and Vehicular Routing PD04
- Phasing Plan PD05
- Preliminary Plat PD06 and PD07
- Preliminary Utility Plan PD08 and PD09
- Preliminary Grading Plan PD10
- Preliminary Tree Preservation Plan
- Preliminary Lighting Plan PD11 and PD12
- Preliminary Plat Regulatory Standards PD13
- Stormwater Management Plan

Unit Type/Density. Consistent with the approved master plan for the PUD, the proposed development will be a mix of single family detached residential and attached single family residential (townhomes); triplex and row houses. There will be up to 280 single family homes of which up to 76 of them may be attached units (triplexes). There will be up to 35
townhouse units on the east side of Buffalo Road. With the master plan approval, the developer reserved the right to replace the detached single family units with the townhome units with each phase, except for phases 1 and 2 . The Town Council placed a condition on the development that the attached single family units are prohibited in the first and second phases of the development. The master identified "typical" details for each type of unit on a "typical" lot, but did not identify specific locations for the attached single family (triplex). The developer indicated that the price of the finished homes will range from $\$ 150,000$ $\$ 200,000$.

Environmental. The proposed development site is outside of the floodplain and there should be no environmental threats. The Neuse River and a blue line stream on the south edge of the site will require buffering. As a result of being in the WS IV-PA Water Supply Watershed Protection Overlay District and utilizing the high density option, the buffers are increased from 50 feet to 100 feet. The proposed greenway trail along the Neuse River is proposed to cross the blue line stream along the southern property boundary near the Twisdale property.

Water Supply Watershed Protection Overlay District. Much of the proposed PUD development is within the WS IV-PA Overlay District. This overlay district provides an extra layer of regulation intended to protect the water supply watershed from pollution caused primarily from stormwater runoff. Within the WS IV-PA lot sizes are limited to $1 / 2$ acre lots, unless cluster subdivision standards are followed (UDO Section 7.34). Impervious surfaces are limited to $24 \%$ unless the High Density Option is utilized (UDO Section 10.92.6.2.3). With the High Density Option, higher level of stormwater management controls is required. The proposed development is proposing lots smaller than $1 / 2$ acre in size and will be utilizing the cluster subdivision standards, although modified through the PUD.

Cluster Subdivision Standards. The proposed development is subject to the Supplementary Standards for Cluster Subdivision (UDO Section 7.34) with some exceptions. The development is in compliance with the cluster requirements.

- Required open space is $1,167,409 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., less the stormwater management and lift station areas is equal or greater to the reduction of the lot sizes from the underlying zoning district requirements.
- Under the Cluster regulations, lot size cannot be less than $4,800 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. ( $60 \%$ of 8,000) (UDO Section 7.34.4.1). Many of the lots are under 4,800 sq. ft. in size:
- Single-family Detached $-3,145 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.
- Single family attached (tri-plex) units $-2,000$ sq. ft.
- Townhome units $-1,400 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.

The Council approved this deviation from the cluster provisions with the approval of the PUD master plan.

- Minimum lot width and lot frontage cannot be less than 40 feet. Many of the lots are less than 40 feet wide (UDO Section 7.34.4.2) (UDO Section 7.34.4.3):
- Single-family detached - 37 ft .
- Single family attached (tri-plex) units -25 ft .
- Townhome units - 17.5 ft .

The Council approved this deviation from the cluster provisions with the approval of the PUD master plan.

- The side yard setbacks cannot be less than 6 feet. If a zero lot line lot, the other setback is required to be 12 feet. A zero lot line cannot be more than one side of the lot. The development proposes:
- Single-family detached - 5 ft .
- Single family attached (tri-plex) units - 0 ft . on two sides/6 ft.
- Townhome units - 0 ft . on two sides/ 6 ft .

The Council approved this deviation from the cluster provisions with the approval of the PUD master plan.

- The building separation minimum is 12 ft . The proposed development is proposing a 10 ft . building separation (UDO Section 7.34.4.7). The Council approved this deviation from the cluster provisions with the approval of the PUD master plan.

State Road Dedication and Access. The proposed development takes access off of Buffalo Road in two locations on the west side and one on the east side. The Buffalo Road R/W is presently 60 feet wide. The developer is showing dedication of additional 100 feet. The master plan does not show any proposed improvements such additional travel lanes, turn lanes or pedestrian facilities in the NCDOT R/W. These improvements will be undertaken by NCDOT and the improvements have not yet been designed. NCDOT has reviewed the preliminary plat and has no objections. They will perform a more in depth review with the application for a NCDOT Access Permit.

Traffic Impact Study. A traffic impact study was conducted by Ramey-Kemp \& Associates and studied the potential traffic impacts of the development. The study concluded that all the study area intersections (including the proposed site driveways) are expected to operate at acceptable levels-of-service under existing and future year conditions. The report provided recommendations for turn lanes and traffic control throughout the study area.

Streets. The subdivision shows a mix of 50 foot and 60 foot wide public R/W. The outer loop road on the west side of the development is shown as a 60 foot R/W, whereas, the remainder of the R/W is proposed to be 50 feet wide. The streets appear to be $24^{\prime}$ wide with mountable valley curb.

The west side of subdivision consists of three long streets running in a north-south direction and two running east-west from the intersections on buffalo road. There are two cul-de-sacs, one identified in the first phase of the development and another in the sixth phase. The east side of the subdivision consists of a looped road surrounding an open green space and a private road leading to the row-type single-family attached townhouses.

Sidewalks. Sidewalks are shown on both sides of each street throughout the development. The UDO only requires sidewalks on one side. Sidewalks are required along Buffalo Road; however the developer is proposing a $10^{\prime}$ trail rather than a sidewalk that weaves in and out of the Buffalo Road right-of-way along both frontages. Sidewalk crosswalks are shown as being striped for pedestrian safety throughout the development.

Trails. The preliminary plat shows private and public trails. The public trails parallel the Neuse River in open space, and along both sides of Buffalo Road. There is also a trail running perpendicular to Buffalo Road connecting the Neuse River Trail to the Buffalo Road trail through the center of the development. The plan is configured such that either the trail along the Neuse River or Buffalo road can be designated as the Mountains to Sea Greenway route.

The preliminary plat shows the public trail along the Neuse River as accessing the Street D cul-de-sac, then continuing as a shared sewer pump station access road. Public Utilities, Parks and Recreation and the Planning Department are uncomfortable with this configuration because utility trucks will block greenway when maintaining lift station and because the configuration invites pedestrians, bikes and strollers to pump station which is a security risk and uninviting as a trail feature. The public trail along the Neuse River does not extend to the north and south boundaries of the site, however, a public trail easement does. If in the future the Mountains to Sea Trail reach this development, the missing trail segments can be constructed at that time within the provided public trail easements. The trail easement on the south will cross a blue line stream and associated buffer area. The trail easement on the north side will cross a Piedmont Natural Gas easement which will require a permit from the gas company prior to constructing the trail segment. The developer is proposing that these trails would be public, but maintained by the HOA.

Trail street crossings are shown as being striped for pedestrian safety throughout the development.

Trails in the Right of Way of Buffalo Road will require an NCDOT permit.
Parks Dedication. According to Park Dedication Requirements of the UDO, Section 10.112.3, at least one fifty-seventh of an acre $(1 / 57)$ shall be dedicated for each dwelling unit planned or provided for in the subdivision plan, or a fee in lieu of park land dedication. No parkland has been identified in the comprehensive plan for this area, and no parkland has been proposed. For 298 -units, fee in lieu of 5.22 acres of dedication or fee in lieu will be required. If the number of units increases, the fee will increase correspondingly. The fee in lieu will be due prior to recording the final plat, based on the number of lots within each platted phase.

Private Park Facilities. The PUD narrative mentions the development of pocket parks within the common open space. The proposed pocket parks are shown as long green strips between rows of homes. The developer indicates that the pocket parks will include paved pedestrian trails and attractive landscaping that may be used for soccer, football, corn hole, horseshoes, fire pits and cook outs.

Public Utilities. The applicant is planning to petition for Town annexation. With annexation, the development would have Town water, sewer and electrical service. The developer is estimating waste water flow for the development to be 113,400 gallons per day. According to the developer, the development is expected to be completed in 9 phases with phases $1,2,7,8 \& 9$ to be serviceable by connection to the existing gravity sewer line along Buffalo Rd. The remaining phases are anticipated to require a wastewater
pump station located just beyond the Street D cul-de-sac in Phase 6. The wastewater pump station will be required to meet Town standards with appropriate public access for maintenance. The access to the pump station is shown as shared with the public trail. Staff has conditioned the approval on having a separation of the trail and the pump station access (see Trails above).

Public water is available to the site via an existing 12" water main along Buffalo Rd. Connections to the existing $12^{\prime \prime}$ main will be made and extended throughout the development. This level of inner-connectivity shall provide for adequate domestic water as well appropriate fire protection flow.

Stormwater Management. The applicant has indicated that the High Density Option for development within the WS-IV-PA requiring enhance stormwater management. Stormwater runoff control measures are required to address peak flow reduction and nitrogen reduction. The developer intends to utilize the existing pond near the Neuse River as a stormwater management feature. The developer intends to utilize the existing pond without modification until construction of Phase 3 of development. As part of the Phase 3 development, the developer plans to modify the existing pond to meet the design requirements of DEQ and the Town. Staff does not agree that the existing pond can be used at the Phase 1 and Phase 2 development stage without improvement unless the actual recorded property at each phase of development yields an impervious surface computation of $15 \%$ or less. This issue remains in discussion with the applicant. Pond maintenance access meeting Town standards and an executed Operations and Maintenance Agreement are required.

Landscaping. There are no specific landscaping standards for residential development. No landscape plan has been provided. The master plans shows, very conceptually, that landscaping will be provided within the common open space. The master plan indicates the development will include a $+/-50^{\prime}$ perimeter landscaped Type A buffer. The road section details show street trees in the R/W. Street trees are not permitted over utility lines and any trees in the public right of way will be the responsibility of the HOA for maintenance, removal or replacement. Trees are not permitted within the PSNC gas line easement along the north edge of the development.

Parking. Single family residential requires 2 parking stalls per unit. This requirement will easily be accommodated with the garages and driveways. The Multifamily dwellings require 1.5 spaces per 1 bedroom units, 1.75 spaces per unit for 2 bedroom units, and 2 spaces for 3 or more bedroom units. The 30 townhomes shown in phases 8 and 9 have 55 parking stalls. The exact number of bedrooms in each unit is unknown. Parking requirements will be determined when the townhomes are proposed for construction. Throughout the development overflow parking for guests will be limited given the narrow lots and frequency of driveways.

Lighting. A preliminary lighting plan has been provided.
Phasing. The developer proposes to construct the subdivision over 9 phases (approximately 40 units per phase), one phase per year depending on the market. The approximate phase lines were shown on the approved master plan but are subject to
change based on market conditions. As proposed, the replacement of single family detached units with single family attached units will be with each phase, and depend on the market with the restriction that no townhome units be constructed within phase 1 or 2. The necessary infrastructure is proposed to be constructed as needed for each phase and designed for build-out.

Homeowners Association Documents. The development will be a maintenance free development with the HOA responsible for maintenance of yards and shared open space and amenities. Submittal of deed restrictions and covenants will be required with this development to address among other items, a statement of compliance with state local and federal regulations, and operation and maintenance of shared open space, amenities and stormwater management facilities. These documents have not been provided as required. They will require Town Attorney review prior to recordation.

Signs. The applicant is proposing entrance signs at all three entrances to the subdivision. Any new signs shall be permitted in accordance with the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance prior to construction and will require a separate sign permit from the Planning Department.

## PLANNING BOARD REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Board reviewed the preliminary plat at their November 1, 2018 meeting. The Board raised concerns about two issues:

- The lack of on-street parking and emergency vehicles access with on-street parking.
- The greenway trail cutting through the Street D cul-de-sac

The Planning Board recommended approval of the preliminary plat with staff's recommended nine conditions and two additional conditions addressing their concerns.

## RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Department and Planning Board recommend approval of the preliminary plat for the East River PUD subdivision with the following conditions:

1) That the final plat be contingent on the execution of an annexation agreement with the Town of Smithfield.
2) That the developer obtains a NCDOT Right-of-Way Permit for the street access onto Buffalo Road prior to construction approval.
3) That the developers dedicate additional right-of-way for Buffalo Road as required by NCDOT.
4) That Homeowners Association deed restrictions and covenants will be submitted for Town Attorney review to address among other items, a statement of compliance with state local and federal regulations, and operation and maintenance of shared open space, amenities and stormwater management
facilities. These documents will require Town Attorney approval prior to recordation.
5) That there are no attached single family residential units within phases 1 or 2 as identified on the approved master plan phasing plan.
6) That a park dedication fee in lieu of parkland be paid prior to recording the final plat approval of each phase of the development consistent with Article 10, Section 10.112.8.
7) That the public trail is constructed and easements be dedicated for trails adjacent to each phase with the final plat of that phase consistent with the preliminary plat.
8) That the public trail in the cul-de-sac of Street D be modified such that it is independent of the sanitary sewer pump station access way.
9) The utilities shall be designed such that that extension can be made conveniently and without undue burden or expense to serve future adjacent development.
10) The developer will work with staff to incorporate overflow parking areas into each phase of development.
11) The greenway trail shall go around the cul-de-sac rather than through it.

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Staff and the Planning Board respectfully request that the Council review the Preliminary Subdivision Plat, hold a public hearing, and make a recommendation whether to approve, approve with conditions, or to deny the preliminary plat.

APPLICATION FOR SITE PLAN/SUBDIVISION REVIEW

Date Submitted: $\qquad$ December 1, 2017

Applicant: $\qquad$
Address:
335 ATHLETIC CLUB BLVD

CLAYTON, NC 27527
Project Contact: $\qquad$
Phone: $\qquad$
Fax: $\qquad$
1899 Buffalo Road Smithfield NC
Location: $\qquad$
No. of Lots Proposed: $\qquad$
Existing Impervious Surface Area: N/A
Total Acreage_ 67.88 Acres
Project Name: Bu Hilaboad Tract
NCPIN: $\frac{169520-80-0490}{\text { FRANK LEE }}$
Property Owner: $\frac{\text { PO BOX } 148}{}$
Address: SMITHFIELD, NC 27577

Phone: $\qquad$
Fax: $\qquad$
Zoning: $\qquad$
Linear Footage of Proposed Streets: ${ }^{8,000}$ LF
Average Lot Sizes: $\qquad$
Proposed Impervious Surface Area: $\underline{2,069,796 \text { SF }}$
Total Disturbed Area: ${ }^{2,265,120 ~ S F}$

Street Name(s): $\qquad$
(Continue on additional sheet, if necessary)
Estimate of Water Allocation Required: $\qquad$
Estimate of Sewer Allocation Required: $\qquad$
Type of Project: (check one)


Exempt Subdivision (Submit 2 paper copies) Minor Subdivision (Submit 2 paper copies) Major Subdivision (Submit 6 paper copies) Recombination (Submit 2 paper copies) Site Plan (Submit 6 paper copies)

## Application Fee:

Minor Subdivision $\quad(\$ 50.00)+\$ 5.00$ a lot $(\$ 55.00 \mathrm{~min})$
Major Subdivision $(\$ 250.00)+\$ 5.00$ a lot $(\$ 255.00 \mathrm{~min}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .$.
Total Number of Lots_ 325 x $\$ 5.00 /$ lot $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$
Site Plan $(\$ 150.00)+\$ 50.00$ an acre $(\$ 200.00 \mathrm{~min})$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Total $\qquad$
File Number $\qquad$

# OWNER'S CONSENT FORM 

## Buffalo Road Tract <br> Name of Project: <br> $\qquad$

12-01-2017
Submittal Date: $\qquad$

## OWMARS AOTHORIZAVION

I hereby give CONSENT to Adams $\dot{\varepsilon} H \mathrm{Hadge}$ Engineering, PC (type, stamp or print clearly full name of agent) to act on my behalf, to submit or have submitted this application and all required material and documents, and to attend and represent me at all meetings and public hearings pertaining to the application(s) indicated above. Furthermore, I hereby give consent to the party designated above to agree to all terms and conditions which may arise as part of the approval of this application.

I hereby certify I have full knowledge the property I have an ownership interest in the subject of this application. I understand that any false, inaccurate or incomplete information provided by me or my agent will result in the denial, revocation or administrative withdrawal of this application, request, approval or permits. I acknowledge that additional information may be required to process this application. I further consent to the Town of Smithfield to publish, copy or reproduce any copyrighted document submitted as a part of this application for any third party. I further agree to all terms and conditions, which may be imposed as part of the approval of this application.


## CDPHICAIION OD ADPLICANI ANDOOR HROLDRIY ONVNR

I hereby certify the statements or information made in any paper or plans submitted herewith are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand this application, related material and all attachments become official records of the Planning Department of the Town of Smithfield,


Signature of Owneflapplicant Print Name


## ROR ORNICD USE ONLY

File Number: $\qquad$ Date submitted: $\qquad$ Date received: $\qquad$

Town of Smithfield
Planning Department

## SPECIAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION

Pursuant to Article 4, of the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance, an owner of land within the jurisdiction of the Town (or a duly authorized agent) may petition the Town Council to allow a Special Use. Special Uses are uses that may be appropriate in a particular district, but has the potential to create incompatibilities with adjacent uses.

Special Use Permit applications must be accompanied by nine (9) sets of the application, nine (9) sets of required plans and one (1) digital copy of all required documents, an Owner's Consent Form (attached) and the application fee. The application fee is $\$ 300.00$. All fees are due when the application is submitted.

## SITE INFORMATION:

| Name of Project: $\frac{\text { Buffalo Road Tract }}{}$ | Acreage of Property: <br> Tax ID: 14075013 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Deed Book: 3556 | Deed Page(s): 0084 |
| Address: 1899 Buffalo Road Smithf |  |
| Location: Adjacent to NCSECU |  |



Planned Development District (if applicable):
Is project within an Overlay District
Yes No

Overlay District (if applicable):
Water Supply Watershed

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

$\qquad$ Date Submitted: $\qquad$ Date Received: $\qquad$ Amount Paid: $\qquad$

## OWNER INFORMATION:

Name: Frank Lee
Mailing Address: PU BOX 148 Smithtield, NC 27577
Phone Number: $\qquad$
Email Address: $\qquad$

APPLICANT INFORMATION:
Applicant: Adams \& Hodge Engineering, PC

| Mailing Address: <br> Phone Number: | 335 Athletic Club Blva. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 919-763-7278 | Fax: | N/A |
| Contact Person: | Uonnie Adams |  |  |
| Email Address: | donnie@adamsandhodge.com |  |  |

## STATEMENT OF JUSTIFICATION

Please provide detailed information concerning all requests. Attach additional sheets if necessary.
The Būfalo Road Tract is a Planned Unit Development consisting of dētached single family, single family and townhomes. This project is designed to be a walkāble neighbornood with ${ }^{-}$ extensive Greenway Irail network that includes future connection to the Mountains to the 5 i -Trail, sidewalks on each side of the streets, neighbornood parks, entrance signage and mail units at the trailheads of the neighbornood justifies the smaller lot sizes and high density.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## REQUIRED FINDING OF FACT

Article 4 of the Town of Smitllfield Unified Development Ordinance regluires applications for a Special Use Permit to address the following findings. The applicant has the burden of producing competent, substantial evidence tending to establish the facts and conditions which this section requires. The Town Council shall issue a special use permit if it has evaluated an application through a quasi-judicial process and determined that:

1) The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.

## The development has been designea such that it will not be detrimental to or endanget

 or general welfare.2) The special use will be in harmony with the existing development and uses within the area in which it is to be located.

I his project combines the walkability of the Uowntown Smitntiela residential areas whil a signiticant amount of open space. Some of the old and some of the new.
3) The establishment of the special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.

I he project combines the walkability ot the Lowntown smithtiela residential areas whili signiticant amount of open space. Some of the old and some of the new.
4) Adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, parking, or necessary facilities have been or are being provided. Inere are avaliable tacilities and services in the area to serve the proposed developme
5) Adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets.

I his development has been designea to promote trattic tiow and avoid congestion with
6) The special use shall, in all other respects, conform to all the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located.

Atter researcning the UUU, this development was designed to tollow the requirements and poticles of the town.
7) Public access shall be provided in accordance with the recommendations of the Town's land use plan and access plan or the present amount of public access and public parking as exists within the Town now. If any recommendations are found to conflict, the system requiring the greatest quantity and quality of public access, including parking, shall govern.

Atter researching the ULU, this development was designed to tollow the requirements and policles of the town.
8) The proposed use will be in conformity with the land use plan, thoroughfare plan, or other plan officially adopted by the Town Council.

Yes, the proposed use will be in contormity with the land use plan, thoroughtare plan, a adopted"by lown Councा.

Article 5 of the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance requires a site plan be prepared by a professional engineer, registered land surveyor, or licensed architect and shall be drawn to scale of not less than one inch equals 30 feet. The site plan shall be based on the latest tax map information and shall be of a size as required by each individual site plan. The site plan shall contain the following information, if applicable as determined by the UDO Administrator:

1) A key map of the site with reference to surrounding areas and existing street locations.
2) The name and address of the owner and site plan applicant, together with the names of the owners of all contiguous land and of property directly across the street as shown by the most recent tax records.
3) Parcel Identification Numbers (PIN) for site and adjacent properties.
4) Deed book and page reference demonstrating ownership of property.
5) Location of all existing and proposed structures, including their outside dimensions and elevations, streets, entrances, and exits on the site, on contiguous property, and on property directly across the street.
6) Building setback, side line, and rear yard distances.
7) Location of watercourses, ponds, flood zones, water supply watershed areas, and riparian buffers.
8) All existing physical features, including existing trees greater than eight (8) inches in diameter measured four and one-half (4.5) feet above ground level, and significant soil conditions.
9) Topography showing existing and proposed contours at no greater than ten (10) foot intervals. All reference benchmarks shall be clearly designated.
10) The zoning of the property, including zoning district lines where applicable.
11) Lot line dimensions and property lines of the tract to be developed (with dimensions identified), adjacent property lines (including corporate limits, Town boundaries, and county lines).
12) Parking, loading, and unloading areas shall be indicated with dimensions, traffic patterns, access aisles, and curb radii per the requirements of Article 10, Part I.
13) Types of surfaces for drives, sidewalks, and parking areas.
14) Location and design of existing and proposed sanitary waste disposal systems, water mains and appurtenances (including fire hydrants) on or adjacent to the parcel.
15) Other utility lines both under- and above-ground, including electric power, telephone, gas, cable television.
16) Location of all US Clean Water Act Section 404 wetland areas, located of detention/retention ponds (Best Management Practices), riparian buffers and impervious surface areas with area dimensions, and ratios of impervious surface to the total size of the lot.
17) The location of all common areas.
18) The location and dimensions of all areas intended as usable open space, including all recreational areas. The plans shall clearly indicate whether such open space areas are intended to be offered for dedication to public use or to remain privately owned.
19) Landscaping and buffering plan showing what will remain and what will be planted, indicating names of plants, trees, and dimensions, approximate time of planting, and maintenance plans per the requirements of Article 10, Part II. The plan shall include the tree line of wooded areas and individual trees eight (8) inches in diameter or more, identified by common or scientific name.
20) Proposed site lighting.

## APPLICANT AFFIDAVIT

I/We, the undersigned, do hereby make application and petition to the Town Council of the Town of Smithfield to approve the subject Special Use Permit. I hereby certify that I have full legal right to request such action and that the statements or information made in any paper or plans submitted herewith are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand this application, related material and all attachments become official records of the Planning Department of the Town of Smithfield, North Carolina, and will not be returned.



















## Consent

Agenda Items


The Smithfield Town Council met in regular session on Tuesday, November 6, 2018, at 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers of the Smithfield Town Hall, Mayor M. Andy Moore presided.

Councilmen Present:
Travis Scott, Mayor Pro-Tem
Marlon Lee, District 1
David Stevens, District 2
Dr. David Barbour, District 4
Emery Ashley, At-Large
John A. Dunn, At-Large
Stephen Rabil, At-Large

Present:
Bob Spence, Town Attorney
Bill Dreitzler, Town Engineer

## CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Moore called the meeting to order at 7:00.

## INVOCATION

The invocation was given by Mayor Pro-Tem Scott followed by the Pledge of Allegiance

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA:

Councilman Dunn made a motion, seconded by Councilman Rabil, to amend the agenda as follows:

Add the following to the Consent Agenda:

- Consideration and Approval to Adopt Resolution \#632 (19-2018) Designation of Applicant's Agent for FEMA Relief - Hurricane Florence.

Unanimously approved.

## PRESENTATIONS:

1. Report Concerning Local Area Schools

Town Manager Michael Scott introduced Mark Dorsin co-director of the Julius Chambers Center for Civil Rights. Mr. Dorsin provided a report to the Council on a study of high school attendance areas, diversity and capacity on Johnston County Schools. Mr. Dorsin informed the Council that he had been working with the Concerned Citizens for Successful Schools on this study.
2. Introduction of the Town of Smithfield's New Cell Phone App

IT Specialist Eric McDowell introduced the Town of Smithfield's new cell phone app to the Council.

## PUBLIC HEARINGS:

1. Rezoning Request - Johnston County (RZ-18-08): The applicant was requesting to rezone a 24.50 acre tract of land from the Town of Smithfield R20A (Residential-Agriculture) and B-3 (Highway Entrance Business) zoning
districts to the O/I (Office/Institutional) zoning district. Portions of the property considered for rezoning are located on northwest and southwest quadrant of the intersection of a US Hwy 70 Business East and Yelverton Grove Road and another portion is located on the east side of Yelverton Grove Road approximately 790 feet north of its intersection with US Hwy 70 Business East. The property is further identified as Johnston County Tax ID\# 15L11011.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Rabil, to open the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman addressed the Council on a request by Johnston County to rezone a 24.50 acre tract of land from the R-20A (Residential-Agriculture) and B-3 (Highway Entrance Business) zoning districts to the O/l (Office/Institutional) zoning district. Mr. Wensman explained the property considered for rezoning had a single parcel number but could really be thought of as three distinct tracts of land that were created by default when the right-of-ways of US 70 Business and Yelverton Grove Road were originally recorded. The largest tract was approximately 16.84 acres in area with approximately 768 feet of road frontage on US Highway 70 Business East and 300 feet of road frontage along Yelverton Grove Road. The portion of property located on the northwest quadrant of US Hwy 70 Business and Yelverton Grove was approximately 5.23 acres in size. The smallest portion of the parcel was located on the southeast quadrant of US Hwy 70 Business and Yelverton Grove was approximately 2.31 acres in size. The property contained a 100 foot utility easement but does appear to be a buildable lot providing all structures are located outside of this easement.

Mr. Wensman explained if the property was rezoned to the O/I (Office / Institutional) zoning district, all permitted uses allowed in the O/l zoning district could be considered for future approval. These used included governmental buildings, governmental uses such as fire, police, sheriff offices, parks, recreational facilities and restaurants which are all uses by right and can be approved administratively.

The Future Land Use Map identified this property as guided for low density residential land uses. However, the area is zoned for mix of R-20A and B-3, of which, the B-3 zoning district is not consistent with the land use plan. Adjacent properties within this corridor are currently zoned and developed as commercial so the use of this site for non-residential was contextually consistent and appropriate.

The rezoning would be consistent with the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance as all existing land uses on the subject property are permitted in the O/l (Office / Institutional) and, all future land uses would be permitted in accordance with Article 6 of the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance.

The property considered for rezoning was immediately adjacent to B-3 (Highway Entrance Business) zoned properties. Compatibility issues are unlikely provided that any future redevelopment in the area is non-residential in nature.

The Planning Department and the Planning Board find the application was consistent with applicable adopted plans, policies and ordinances and recommend approval of the rezoning request.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman has incorporated his entire record and provided it to Council in written form in the November 6, 2018 agenda packet.

Mayor Moore asked if there were any questions from the Council.
Councilman Ashley questioned if there have been any traffic studies conducted for the area. Mr. Wensman responded a traffic study had not been conducted. Councilman Ashley further questioned if traffic had been a concern for the Planning Board. Mr. Wensman responded that any traffic concerns would be addressed during the development phase.

Councilman Barbour questioned if the Council was simply approving the zoning and not the potential use for the property. Mr. Wensman responded in the affirmative.

Mayor Moore asked if there was anyone present who wished to speak on this matter.
William Ragsdale III of 192 Packing Plant Road expressed his concerns about the rezoning request. Mr. Ragsdale stated the only opportunity left for growth and increased tax based in Smithfield was along the US 70 Business East corridor. He further stated this was a major entrance into the Town and the addition of the County jail on the proposed property would not be an asset to the Town of Smithfield. He urged the Council to deny the rezoning requesting stating this was not a proper location for the County jail.

Bill Roark from McGill and Associates explained his firm were the engineers for this project. Mr. Roark explained the reason for the rezoning was to eliminate the split zoning of the tract of lane. Mr. Roark further explained that a traffic impact study had not been completed, but NCDOT had been consulted. Preliminary results indicate a right and left lane may be needed for the US Highway 70 Business East entrance. Also, the largest portion of the parcel may have some delineated wetlands. A formal assessment would be completed to determine if the wetland area would have to be left intact. Mr. Roark stated that even with the possible wetland area, there was still plenty of buildable space.

Charles Avera questioned why Johnston County needed another jail. Mr. Avera stated if parking was the major concern then Smithfield should consider building a parking deck in downtown.

Councilman Barbour stated if the Council approved the rezoning request that didn't necessarily mean that the jail would be built there. Mr. Wensman responded if the property was rezoned to the O/l zoning district any permitted use could be administratively approved.

Mr. Roark explained that current designs for detention centers are typically attractive buildings and most are not thought of as simply jail facilities. Johnston County could build a support services complex to include the Sheriff's office and a 911 communications center.

Councilman Stevens stated the detention center needed to be close to the courthouse. The detention center would economically benefit the Town because County employees would still need places to eat and places to shop.

Mayor Moore questioned if the rezoning could be considered spot zoning. Town Attorney Bob Spence responded that it was not considered spot zoning

Councilman Lee questioned why the County needed a new jail. He stated the perception would be that there was a crime problem in Smithfield. Adding the jail to this location would hinder people from moving into Smithfield.

Councilman Barbour questioned if Johnston County was requesting annexation. Mr. Wensman responded they were not requesting annexation and he did not anticipate they would in the future.

Mark Lane of 2080 Yelverton Grove Road informed the Council he was not opposed to the jail being located on the property. His concern was the increased traffic in the area. Mr. Lane explained that in the morning and the evening, it was difficult to get off of Yelverton Grove Road onto US 70.

Sheriff Steve Bizzell informed the Council that the proposed detention center would not have the appearance of a prison. The idea was to build the detention center at the back of the property and hopefully the Sheriff's office on the front of the property. In the future, Sheriff Bizzell would like the 911 Center moved to the property, but that would be a decision for the County Commissioners. Also, the County could work with the Town to have a fire station built on the site. Sheriff Bizzell stated the current jail in downtown was overcrowded and Johnston County was paying to have inmates housed in other Counties. It was the Sheriff's desire to keep County government buildings in the County seat of Smithfield where they belonged.

Mayor Moore expressed his appreciation for the Sheriff's desire to keep the county government buildings in Smithfield

Rocky Lane of Sanford Holshouser explained the detention center was a commercial enterprise that Smithfield already had in the downtown area. If the detention center was built in another part of Johnston County, the Town of Smithfield would lose that economic impact. County employees who work in Smithfield also spend money in Smithfield.

Monique Clark of 401A South Third Street stated the jail would provide jobs, but it would also increase incarceration rates. She asked the Council to consider the impact on the economically disadvantaged youth.

Councilman Ashley asked that the County consider the signage not to include the words detention center or jail.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scott made a motion, seconded by Councilman Rabil, to close the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Councilman Barbour made a motion, seconded by, Councilman Rabil, to approve Rezoning Request RZ-18-08, from R-20A (Residential-Agriculture) and B-3 (Highway Entrance Business) zoning districts to the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{l}$ (Office/Institutional) zoning district. Unanimously approved.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn, to approve the Consistency statement as set forth in the agenda declaring its consistency with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and that it was reasonable and in the public interest. Unanimously approved.
2. Zoning Text Amendment - Stephenson General Contractors (ZA-18-09): The applicant was requesting an amendment to the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance, Article 6, Section 6.5 Tables of Uses and Activities, to allow for Licensed Facilities: Child Care Center as a special use with supplemental standards in the O/I (Office/Institution) zoning district.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scott made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn to open the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman addressed the Council on a request by Durwood Stephenson to amend the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) Article 6, Section 6.5 Table of Uses and Activities to allow licensed child care centers in the O/I (Office/Institutional) zoning district as special uses with supplementary standards. The UDO allows licensed child care centers in the commercial business districts and industrial districts. Licensed child care centers would be as compatible or more compatible with permitted uses in the O/l zoning district as compared with permitted uses in those commercial and industrial zoning districts. For instance, most typically, daycare uses in industrial districts are only allowed as accessory to a principal use. Daycare in the O/I zoning district will not displace retail activities (pedestrian and vehicular traffic) as it often does in commercial business districts. Licensed child care center use is supportive of office and institutional uses by providing child care services for workers in the area and nearby residential areas without causing disruption.

The Planning Board reviewed the application at the October 4, 2018 meeting and unanimously recommended approval. No members of the public were present or commented on the request.

Planning Staff and the Planning Board recommend approval of zoning text amendment ZA-18-09 with a consistency statement declaring the request was consistent with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and the request was reasonable and in the public interest.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman has incorporated his entire record and provided it to Council in written form in the November 6, 2018 agenda packet.

Mayor Moore asked if there were any questions from the Council. There were none
Mayor Moore asked if there was anyone present that wished to speak on this matter. There were none.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Stevens, to close the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Scott, to approve Text Amendment Ordinance ZA-18-09 as submitted stating the request was consistent with the Town of Smithfield Comprehensive Growth Management Plan and the request was reasonable and in the public interest. Unanimously approved.

ORDINANCE \# ZA-18-09

## AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE TOWN OF SMITHFIELD UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT

 ORDINANCE ARTICLE 6. SECTION 6.5 TABLE OF USES AND ACTIVITIESWHEREAS, the Smithfield Town Council wishes to amend certain provisions in the Unified Development Ordinance by making changes to the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance to allow for churches/places of worship and clubs or private lodges meeting halls within shopping centers providing additional supplemental standards can be met.

WHEREAS, it is the objective of the Smithfield Town Council to have the UDO promote regulatory efficiency and consistency and the health, safety, and general welfare of the community;

NOW, THEREFORE, be it ordained that the following Articles are amended to make the following changes set forth in the deletions (strikethroughs) and additions (double underlining) below:
[Revise Article 6, Zoning Districts, Section 6.5 Table of Uses and Activities to allow for Child Care Centers as a special use with supplemental standards within the O/I (Office and Institutional) Zoning District.]

Excerpt of Article 6, Zoning Districts, Section 6.5 Table of Uses and Activities to be amended as follows:

| Uses | O/I | B-1 | B-2 | B-3 | LI | HI | Supplemental <br> Regulations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Child <br> care <br> center | $\underline{\underline{S S}}$ | SS | SS | SS | SS | SS | Section 7.41 |

PART 2
That the Unified Development Ordinance shall be page numbered and revision dated as necessary to accommodate these changes.

## PART 3

That these amendments of the Unified Development Ordinance shall become effective upon adoption.
3. Special Use Permit Request - Durwood Stephenson (SUP-18-11): The applicant was requesting a special use permit to operate a child care center on property located within an O/l (Office/Institution) zoning district. The property considered for approval is located on northwest side of intersection of Berkshire Road and West Edgerton Street. The property is further identified as Johnston County Tax ID\# 15004199J

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn, to open the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman testified that the applicant was proposing to adapt the existing office building for use as a licensed child care center for up to 30 children; modifying the front parking lot with a drop-off area and adding a $2,250 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. fenced play area to the rear of the structure.

With the approval of Zoning Text Amendment, ZA-18-09, child care centers in the O/I Zoning District require a special use permit complying with the supplementary standards for child care centers found in Article 7, Section 7.4. The supplementary standard 7.4.1.1 required an outdoor play area that is 75 sq . ft. per child and that it was shaded by a building, awnings, trees or other methods. The play area is sized appropriately, but no shade has been shown for the play area. The applicant has indicated that shade trees will be planted 30 ft . on center inside the fenced play area. The landscape plan should be amended to show required shade over the play area.

The childcare center will have up to seven employees on duty when the center is at capacity with proposed hours of operation to be Monday - Friday, 6 AM to midnight. The childcare center licensed for 30 children was required to have 9 parking stalls. The site plan shows 19 parking stalls, one of which is shown to be handicap accessible. The site was first developed prior to the stormwater management ordinance. The child care center will not increase the impervious conditions of the site, so no stormwater management will be required. An existing ground sign was located near the street entrance. This sign will be repurposed for the childcare center. Any modifications to the signs for the facility require a separate sign permit issued by the Planning Department.

Mr. Wensman reviewed staff's findings. They are as follows:

## STAFF'S FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The proposed child care center will not significantly change the site from what it is currently. There is adequate open space for the child play area and adequate parking. The hours of operation will not have an impact on adjacent properties.
2. The child care center will be in harmony as it is similar to other permitted uses in the O/I such as schools, family child care homes family care homes.
3. The surrounding properties are all developed but for the one to the south. The use of this site for a child care center will have no impact on the normal and orderly development or improvements of surrounding properties.
4. Utilities to the site will be unchanged from what exists presently.
5. Ingress and egress on the site will remain unchanged
6. All regulations have been conformed with, or will be conformed with prior to issuance of a permit.
7. The access conforms to plans.
8. The access conforms to plans

The Planning Department recommends approval of the Special Use Permit, SUP-18-11, with the following conditions:

1. The landscape plan shall be updated to include the shading over child's play area.
2. Any plants identified on the landscape plan that are dead or missing shall be replaced.
3. That a sign permit be obtained prior to making any changes to the signs for the child care center. That a sign permit be obtained prior to making any changes to the signs for the child care center.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman has incorporated his entire record and provided it to Council in written form in the November 6, 2018 agenda packet.

Mayor Moore asked if there were any questions from the Council.
Councilman Barbour questioned if there was another child care facility located near the proposed property. Mr. Wensman responded he believed there was another child care facility in the vicinity.

Mayor Moore asked the applicant if he was in agreement with the testimony provided by Planning Director Stephen Wensman. Durwood Stephenson testified he was in agreement, but assured the Council he would not be operating a child care center. Mr. Stephenson testified the property was purchased for the relocation of an existing child care facility.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scott questioned if the hours of operation would be 6 am until midnight. Mr. Stephenson testified that the child care facility would be operational during those hours to accommodate hospital staff's shift schedules.

Councilman Dunn made a motion, seconded by Councilman Stevens, to close the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

## The Written Finding

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded Councilman Stevens, to vote in the affirmative to all of the below eight stated Finding of Fact. Unanimously approved.

The Town Council shall issue a special use permit if it has evaluated an application through a quasi-judicial process and determined that:

1. The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the special use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.
2. The special use will be in harmony with the existing development and uses within the area in which it is to be located.
3. The establishment of the special use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.
4. Adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, parking, or necessary facilities have been or are being provided.
5. Adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress so designed as to minimize traffic congestion in the public streets.
6. The special use shall, in all other respects, conform to all the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located.
7. Public access shall be provided in accordance with the recommendations of the Town's land use plan and access plan or the present amount of public access and public parking as exists within the Town now. If any recommendations are found to conflict, the system requiring the greatest quantity and quality of public access, including parking, shall govern.
8. The proposed use will be in conformity with the land use plan, thoroughfare plan, or other plan officially adopted by the Town Council.

## Record of Decision: Approval of Conditional Use Permit Application Number SUP-18-11

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Scott, based upon satisfactory compliance with the above eight stated findings and fully contingent upon acceptance and compliance with all conditions as previously noted herein and with full incorporation of all statements and agreements entered into the record by the testimony of the applicant and applicant's representative, I move to recommend approval of Special Use Permit Application \# SUP-18-11 with the following conditions:

- The landscape plan shall be updated to include the shading over child's play area.
- Any plants identified on the landscape plan that are dead or missing shall be replaced.
- That a sign permit be obtained prior to making any changes to the signs for the child care center. That a sign permit be obtained prior to making any changes to the signs for the child care center.

Unanimously approved.
4. Annexation Petition: Navaho Investment Company, LLC, was requesting to annex approximately 32.79 acres of land into the Town of Smithfield's corporate limits. The property considered for annexation on Galilee Road about 1900 feet south of Black Creek Road, near West Smithfield Elementary School.

Councilman Dunn made a motion, seconded by Councilman Rabil, to open the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman addressed the Council on a request by Navaho Investment Company to annex land into the Town of Smithfield's corporate limits. Mr. Wensman explained the 32.79 acre parcel with the Johnston County Property ID\# 15I09011B received preliminary plat approval by the Johnston County Board of Commissioners around 2007-2008. The development of the property did not move forward due to the economic recession at that time and the plat became void. Since then, the Town of Smithfield's ETJ was expanded to include the 11.53 acre portion of the property and was rezoned to R-20A. The remainder of the property, 21.26 acres, remains in the County's jurisdiction with the zoning designation AR. In 2018, the portion of the property located in the County was preliminary platted into 62 lots meeting the County's zoning and subdivision standards. The applicant intends to develop the entire property after annexation into the Town and rezoning of the 21.26 acres in the County's jurisdiction

At the September 4, 2018 meeting, the Town Council passed Resolution \# (625) 12-2018, directing the Town Clerk to investigate the sufficiency of the petition should the Council wish to move forward with the annexation of the property into the corporate Town limits. The Town Clerk investigated the petition and has determined it to be valid. At the October 3, 2018 meeting, the Town Council approved Resolution \# $628(15-2018)$ setting the date for the Public Hearing.

Pursuant to NCGS 160A-58.2, the Town Council will accept public comments and consider adopting Ordinance \# 497 extending the Corporate Limits of the Town of Smithfield. The Ordinance may be adopted immediately or within six months.

Mr. Wensman explained the area proposed for rezoning, if annexed, will be annexed with preliminary plat entitlements. The preliminary plat conforms to the County's AR district zoning and the Johnston County subdivision regulations. The lots will not be in conformance with the Town's R20-A zoning regulations and will be deemed legal nonconforming if annexed. The Town's regulations require sidewalks on one side of each residential street and a minimum lot area of $15,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft. The approved preliminary plat has a minimum lot size of around $7,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft., and will be developed without sidewalks or curb and gutter. There may be other nonconformities associated with the development that are at present unknown.

Mayor Moore stated the Town's Ordinance requires sidewalks, but the County's does not. He questioned if part of the subdivision would have sidewalk and the other portion would not. Mr. Wensman responded there were several differences between the County's requirements and the Town's requirement. Mayor Moore questioned if requiring sidewalks could be a condition of annexation. Town Attorney Bob Spence responded that conditions could not be placed on annexations. Mayor Moore further questioned if the applicant had submitted the plat for the portion of land that was in the Town's ETJ. Mr. Wensman responded that had not been submitted.

Mr. Wensman stated Smithfield would provide sewer and water utilities with a master meter on Johnston County's service lines and electricity will be provided by Duke Energy. Johnston County Utilities has requested that the entire development be served by the Town of Smithfield. The Town has the first right of refusal on water and sewer services.

Mr. Wensman further stated that during the Clerk's investigation it was determined that the Town does not have a limit on noncontiguous annexation. The Town can accept as much noncontiguous annexation as it needs or wants.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman has incorporated his entire record and provided it to Council in written form in the November 6, 2018 agenda packet.

Mayor Moore asked if there were any questions from the Council.
Mayor Pro-Tem Scott asked for clarification on the noncontiguous rule. Town Attorney Bob Spence responded that the general statute was clear that Smithfield was exempt from that requirement.

Councilman Dunn stated it would be beneficial for the Council to know all the nonconformities before a decision was made.

Mayor Moore stated the portion of the development in Johnston County has already been platted and the Town Council is now being asked to annex the property into the corporate limits without knowing exactly what the Town was annexing. Mayor Moore's concern was the Town was being asked to supply services to the area without knowing the density of the subdivision. He questioned the additional cost of providing services to the area. Town Manager Michael Scott responded there would be some additional costs associated with providing trash receptacles, but no additional labor or infrastructure costs.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scott questioned who would incur the cost for the lift station. The Town Manager responded the developer would pay for the installation of the lift station.

Councilman Ashley questioned how the Town could provide services without any additional cost. The Town Manager responded the residents would pay garbage collection fees. Councilman Ashley stated he was not opposed to the annexation request, but felt he did not have enough information to make a decision

Mayor Moore questioned if the applicant was in attendance. They were not.
Mayor Moore asked if there was anyone present that wished to speak on this matter.
Pam Lampe of 405 North Second Street stated she was not no for against the request. She asked that the Council consider tabling the request until staff had time to determine the actual cost of providing services to the area.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn, to close the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Scott, to table the request until no later than the January 2019 regular Town Council meeting. Unanimously approved.
5. Subdivision Request - Last Investment LLC (S-18-02): The applicant was requesting preliminary subdivision approval of a 110 lot residential development on approximately 100 acres of land located within an R-20A (ResidentialAgricultural) zoning district. The property considered for preliminary subdivision approval is located on southwest side of the intersection of Swift Creek Road and Cleveland Road and further identified as Johnston County Tax ID\# 15108020.

Mayor Pro-Tem Scott made a motion, seconded by Councilman Rabil, to open the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Planning Director Stephen Wensman addressed the Council on a request by Last Investments, LLC requesting a Preliminary Subdivision Plat for Swift Creek Road Tract, a proposed 110 lot single-family detached residential development on a 97.57 acre parcel in the R-20A zoning district. Mr. Wensman explained that utilities would be Town water with master meter charged as out of town customers, on-site septic, and Duke electric. The proposed subdivision is in this ETJ and at this time no annexation has been requested. The proposed lot sizes are $20,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft , (. 46 acres) to 67,513 sq. ft. ( 1.55 acres) and phasing of the subdivision is undetermined, likely 20 lots per year in phase 1 starting in the front possibly.

The applicant is proposing 8,031 lineal feet of Public Street. The road surface will be 20 foot wide public streets with drainage swales and a 5 ft . public sidewalk on one side of the street in a 60 ft . right-of-way consistent with UDO requirements. Five foot sidewalks will also be constructed along Swift Creek and Cleveland Roads

The preliminary plat when first submitted showed lateral access to both the north and south sides of the plat as required. The lateral access on the south side led to a blue line stream. Staff had this access removed from the plans. The entire south edge of the plat appears to be wet lowland and the adjacent area most likely unbuildable The lateral access to the north will provide an appropriate access to the adjacent property should it develop in the future. The adjacent property to the north is outside the Town's ETJ.

According to the UDO, Section 10.112.3, at least one fifty-seventh of an acre (1/57) shall be dedicated for each dwelling unit planned or provided for in the subdivision plan. Alternatively, the Town can accept a fee in lieu of parkland. There are no Town plans for parks in this area and the applicant is proposing fee in lieu of parkland dedication. The fee in lieu will be due prior to recording the final plat, based on the number of lots within each platted phase.

The proposed preliminary plat shows 11.93 acres of open space containing the wetland and mostly within the 100 year flood elevation. The open space will be owned in common by a homeowner's association. An access strip has been provided that is 20 feet wide shown between Lots 25 and 26. The developer has not provided any HOA documents for review by the Town Attorney as required. A condition of approval has been added to the recommendation requiring submittal of HOA documents for Town Attorney review.

The development property contains a low wetland area on the southwest corner of the property and a blue line stream near the southeast corner of the property. The required 50 ft . riparian buffer is shown on the preliminary plans. There is also an existing ditch crossing the property in a north-south direction with an area of poor draining soils. There are several lots in the development that appear to be located on poorly draining soils that may not be suitable for on-site septic. Soil borings have been scheduled.

There is a cemetery on the north edge of the property. Access to the cemetery is shown to be over a 30 ft . wide easement centered on the property line. Planning Staff did receive comments from a member of the Avera family claiming that the 30 ' access easement was not properly executed. One of the issues will be the cemetery access which was allowed by a verbal agreement. Staff has found no recorded deed of easement. The applicant will have to provide proof of recorded easement.

The proposed road access to the development is from a single access on Sift Creek Road about 525 feet north of the Swift Creek Road and Cleveland Road intersection. The NCDOT prefers the single entrance to this development because of the safety considerations. NCDOT is conducting a traffic count on Swift Creek Road to determine whether a turn lane will be needed to help mitigate the traffic the future development is expected to generate. An NCDOT access permit will be needed for the road access. If the Town prefers a second entrance, the NCDOT would prefer to have emergency accesses with a gate rather than two accesses for this subdivision. A condition of approval requiring a second access with a crash gate has been added

The applicant has submitted a stormwater management plan that indicates the development will be exempt from stormwater retention because the impervious area will be under the $15 \%$ impervious threshold. The applicant will be required to purchase nitrogen credits in lieu of managing stormwater quality on site. The individual lots will be limited to $3,803.8 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of impervious surface (home, driveway, sidewalk, patio and shed, etc.).

Mr. Wensman explained Lots 92 and 93 do not meet the Town's lot requirements. UDO Section 10.108.1.4.3. states that lot size, shape, and location shall be made with due consideration to topographic conditions, contemplated use, and the surrounding area. Staff believes the hook shapes are an issue for surrounding properties. These areas will be difficult to maintain and may not be maintained appropriately so nearly detached from the main parcel. In addition, the County does not enforce nuisance complaints, so the hooks are likely going to be an enforcement issue for the surrounding homeowners. UDO Section 10.108.1.4.6. requires that side lot lines be substantially at right angles or radial to street lines. The hook lots do not conform to this code provision.

There are no specific landscaping and tree preservation standards for single family residential development. No landscaping or tree preservation plans have been provided.

No lighting plan has been provided. A lighting plan is required complying with the Town's UDO. In this case, Duke will be providing the lighting for the development

The developer has not specifically identified a phasing plan, but has indicated that the first phase will likely consist of about 20 lots.

The applicant has not proposed any subdivision entrance signs. Such signs will require a sign permit prior to construction and will need to comply with the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance.

The Planning Board reviewed the application on October 4th, 2018. The Planning Board expressed concerned about the lack of a phasing plan, subdivision signs and landscaping, and that the development had only one entrance off of Swift Creek Road. The Planning Board recommended approval with eight staff recommended conditions and an additional condition:

- Second driveway be reviewed and investigated If a second entrance is not feasible then a crash gate be investigated.

Staff checked with the NCDOT about a second entrance and if the Town prefers a second entrance, the NCDOT would prefer to have emergency accesses with a gate rather than two accesses for this subdivision. A condition requiring a second entrance with a crash gate was added in the event the Town Council desires the second access for emergencies.

Staff received comments from the adjacent property owner. She does not want the lateral access to the north and asked that it be removed as her family has no intentions of developing the adjacent property. Also, Cemetery access should not be through her property and she wishes to have the existing tree line preserved.

Staff recommends the Planning Board recommend approval of preliminary plat S-18-02 with the following conditions:

1. That the suitability for on-site septic be proven for each lot prior to construction.
2. That the preliminary plat be revised to eliminate the hook lots, Lots 92 and 93.
3. That HOA documents be submitted for review by the Town Attorney prior to final plat approval.
4. That a lighting plan be submitted for Town review prior to construction.
5. That a phasing plan be provided.
6. That the applicant obtains a NCDOT Permit for the access to Swift Creek Road prior to construction.
7. That the applicant provides proof of legal access to the cemetery. Provide leagal access to the cemetery
8. That the applicant provides an emergency entrance onto Swift Creek Road with a crash gate.

Councilman Barbour questioned if the only utility the subdivision was requesting was water. Mr. Wensman responded that the County would provide it, but the Town has the first right of refusal.

Councilman Barbour stated the West Smithfield had an issue with septic tanks and they were ineffective. Should the applicant choose to annex in the future, providing sewer service would be an issue for the Town. Mr. Wensman responded that if any soil testing comes back and they are unable to build on those lots, they will be eliminated.

Councilman Ashley questioned the required buffer. Mr. Wensman responded there are no buffer requirements for single family residential areas or agricultural areas.

Councilman Barbour stated his concerns about providing water services to an area that did not want to annex into the Town. Mr. Wensman responded that staff is working on a policy for those to develop without annexation.

Sue Avera of 313 North Second Street expressed her concerns about the proposed development because it is adjacent to the Avera Family Farm. She explained the land had been in the Avera family since 1702 and is registered as an NC Century Farm. She further explained this was a working farm and it was the intent to keep it as a working farm. She requested that a buffer or permanent fence be installed along the property line to thwart nuisances. The Council should consider putting guidelines in place for rural land conservation issue such as wind and soil erosion. She asked that the tree line be left in place. Mrs. Avera asked that the lateral access to the north of her family's property be removed because there was no desire to develop the land. She further requested that the Council investigate voluntary agriculture districts guideline that encourages preservation and protection of farm land.

Emma Gemmel of 207 Hancock Street provided photos to the Council to ensure they could see the encroachment that could happen on her family's land. Mrs. Gemmel explained there was a pond on the property and the trees were not barriers to curious children from the subdivision trespassing on the Avera Family Farm. By not providing a permanent barrier, the Town was leaving the Avera family with a liability. Mrs. Gemmel further stated that the developer will build the houses and the Avera family will be left to deal with the residents of the subdivision.

Brenda Avery of 107 Garnet Lane Clayton expressed her concerns about accessing her family's
cemetery. She and her sister have been maintaining the cemetery since 2010 when they discovered their ancestors' final resting place. Currently, they have ben accessing the cemetery through Swift Creek road.

Richie Hines representing the applicant stated there was a cemetery easement on the surveyor's map, but it was never recorded. Mr. Hines stated the applicant would be amenable to allow the family to access the cemetery possibly through the subdivision. Mr. Hines explained that the Town of Smithfield does not require a buffer of fencing from the applicant's property to the adjacent property and fencing is not being proposed. The applicant is willing to leave the tree line at the rear of the property and it's their practice to leave a buffer along the rear and side property lines.

Councilman Barbour questioned if fencing was being proposed. Mr. Hines responded that fencing was not being proposed, but individual home owners may install fencing.

Councilman Dunn stated that even if the applicant planned to leave the tree line, each individual property owner could remove those trees once they purchased the property. Town Attorney Bob Spence responded a recorded easement could eliminate the removal of the trees.

Mr. Hines stated the applicant was agreeable to the removal of the lateral access to the north. Mr. Hines explained that Johnston County was currently evaluating both the septic system and the repair on each lot. The boundary has been surveyed, but the lots have been rough staked because not every lot will have the soil needed for septic systems.

Councilman Ashley questioned if the applicant would add a 20 ft buffer behind each lot and add it as a common space for the HOA. Mr. Hines responded that he would like to investigate that with the Johnston County Health Department because he didn't believe they needed that amount of space for the septic systems, but possibly some easement could be worked out.

Councilman Barbour questioned if the applicant had any desire to annex into the Town. Mr. Hines responded the Town would not be in favor of extending all utilities to the proposed subdivision. Mr. Hines explained that all of the agencies are reviewing the full set of plans for the subdivision and to go back now and require annexation would be costly. Councilman Barbour stated that a major advantage of annexation would be ensuring that the subdivision stays up to code. Mr. Hines responded that the applicant uses a management company to enforce the rules and regulations of the HOA.

Councilman Ashley stated he wanted to respect the rights of the adjacent property owners since vacant land is becoming so obsolete. The hope is that the applicant would work with the neighboring property owners concerning a buffer to protect the integrity of their land and the integrity of the subdivision. Mr. Hines responded that he did speak with the applicant prior to them leaving the country and they are agreeable to leaving the buffer, but the width of the buffer should be discussed. Mrs. Gemmel stated the trees were not a buffer; the family desired a fence to be installed the full length of the property so people could not trespass on their farm land.

Charles Avera informed the Council that there were federally protected mussels in the creek on the Avera Family Farm.

Councilman Barbour made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn, to close the public hearing. Unanimously approved.

Councilman Ashley suggested that applicant meet with the adjacent property owners and the engineer to work out a compromise. Mr. Hines was agreeable to this request.

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Barbour, to table this request until the December meeting. Unanimously approved.

Mayor Moore asked the Planning Director to work with the applicant, the adjoining property owners and the owners of the cemetery.

## CITIZENS' COMMENTS:

- Lucy Washington of 306 Birch Street expressed her appreciation to the Council for repaving Birch Street, but stated a pile of asphalt was left in the cul-de-sac. There was also a trench between the pavements that would hold water. She requested the Town consider notifying residents in advance when any work would be performed in their area.
- Steve Reed of the Johnstonian News introduced himself to the Town Council stating the Johnstonian News would begin coverage of the Town of Smithfield.


## CONSENT AGENDA:

Councilman Barbour made a motion, seconded by Councilman Stevens, to approve the following items as listed on the Consent Agenda:

1. The following minutes were approved

- October 2, 2018 - Regular Meeting
- September 4, 2018 - Close Session
- September 20, 2018 - Special Meeting

2. Approval of Ordinance \#496 to amend Chapter 6, Cemeteries, Section 50 Mausoleums, to allow for personal mausoleums on lots purchased prior to June 5, 2001

## AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE TOWN OF SMITHFIELD CODE OF ORDINANCES, CHAPTER 6, CEMETERIES; SECTION 50, MAUSOLEUMS

WHEREAS, Chapter 6 of the Town of Smithfield's Code of Ordinances outlines the regulations concerning cemeteries within the Town; and

WHEREAS, The Town Manager, Michael Scott, is requesting the Ordinance be amended to include private mausoleums for lots purchased prior to June 5, 2001.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED by the Town Council of the Town of Smithfield that Chapter 6, Cemeteries; Section 50, Mausoleums is rewritten as follows:

Sec. 6-50. - Mausoleums.
No private mausoleum shall be constructed or installed in any cemetery.
Private Mausoleums are only permitted in public cemeteries on lots purchased by the intended user (or family) prior to June 5, 2001. These mausoleums will house no more than four (4) separate human remains and will be constructed of only solid granite or solid marble stone equal to or greater than the following specifications:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { - Walls: } & 4 \text { inches thick } \\
\text { - } & \text { Roof: } \\
\text { Sub Floor: } & \text { Onches thick } \\
\text { - } & \text { Onece construction, } 6 \text { inches thick } \\
\text { Base: } & \text { The mausoleum must sit on a solid concreate base, as } \\
\text { approved by the Smithfield Public Works Director, prior to the placing of } \\
\text { any mausoleum. }
\end{array}
$$

This ordinance shall be effective upon adoption
3. Approval of Resolution \#629 (16-2018) accepting the Water Shortage Response Plan for the Town of Smithfield Water Service

TOWN OF SMITHFIELD
RESOLUTION \#629 (16-2018)

## FOR APPROVING TOWN OF SMITHFIELD (PWSID\# 03-51-010) WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE PLAN

WHEREAS, North Carolina General Statute 143355 (I) requires that each unit of local government that provides public water service and each large community water system shall develop and implement water conservation measures to respond to drought or other water shortage conditions as set out in a Water Shortage Response Plan and submitted to the Department for review and approval; and

WHEREAS, as required by the statute and in the interests of sound local planning, a Water Shortage Response Plan for the Town of Smithfield, has been developed and submitted to the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Resources for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Smithfield Town Council finds that the Water Shortage Response Plan is in accordance with the provisions of North Carolina General Statute 143355 (I) and that it will provide appropriate guidance for the future management of water supplies for the Town of Smithfield, as well as useful information to the Department of Environmental Quality for the development of a state water supply plan as required by statute;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of Town of Smithfield that the Water Shortage Response Plan entitled, Town of Smithfield Water Shortage \& Conservation Plan dated October 5, 2018, is hereby approved and shall be submitted to the Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Resources; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Smithfield Town Council intends that this plan shall be revised to reflect changes in relevant data and projections at least once every five years or as otherwise requested by the Department, in accordance with the statute and sound planning practice.
\{Attached hereto and made a part of these official minutes is the Water Shortage Response Plan for the Town of Smithfield Water Service\}
4. Approval of Resolution \#630 (17-2018) accepting the Water Shortage Response Plan for the Smithfield South Water District Service Area

TOWN OF SMITHFIELD
RESOLUTION \#630 (17-2018)
FOR APPROVING SMITHFIELD SOUTH WATER
DISTRICT (PWSID\# 40-51-007) WATER SHORTAGE RESPONSE PLAN
WHEREAS, North Carolina General Statute 143355 (I) requires that each unit of local government that provides public water service and each large community water system shall develop and implement water conservation measures to respond to drought or other water shortage conditions as set out in a Water Shortage Response Plan and submitted to the Department for review and approval; and

WHEREAS, as required by the statute and in the interests of sound local planning, a Water Shortage Response Plan for the Town of Smithfield, has been developed and submitted to the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Resources for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Smithfield Town Council finds that the Water Shortage Response Plan is in accordance with the provisions of North Carolina General Statute 143355 (I) and that it will provide appropriate guidance for the future management of water supplies for the Town of Smithfield, as well as useful information to the Department of Environmental Quality for the development of a state water supply plan as required by statute;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Council of Town of Smithfield that
the Water Shortage Response Plan entitled, Smithfield South Water District Water Shortage \& Conservation Plan dated October 5, 2018, is hereby approved and shall be submitted to the Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Water Resources; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Smithfield Town Council intends that this plan shall be revised to reflect changes in relevant data and projections at least once every five years or as otherwise requested by the Department, in accordance with the statute and sound planning practice.
\{Attached hereto and made a part of these official minutes is the Water Shortage Response Plan for the Smithfield South Water District Service Area\}
5. Bid was awarded to Barrs Recreation, LLC in the amount of $\$ 48,673.01$ for the procurement and installation of playground equipment at Smith-Collins Park through the NIPA purchasing cooperative
6. Approval was granted for the temporary promotion of a Sergeant to the Rank of Lieutenant due to the Lieutenant on C Squad being out on extended medical leave
7. Approval was granted for a temporary promotion Police Officer to the Rank of Sergeant due to the Lieutenant on $C$ Squad being out on extended medical leave
8. Approval was granted to accept Lake Park Circle as a Town maintained street.
9. New Hire Report

| Position | Department |  | Budget Line |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility Maintenance Specialist | PW - General | Rate of Pay |  |
| P/T Lifeguard | P\&R - Aquatics | $10-60-5500-5100-0200$ | $\$ 11.07 / \mathrm{hr} .(23,025.60 / \mathrm{hr})$. |
| P/T Lifeguard | P\&R - Aquatics | $10-60-6220-5100-0220$ | $\$ 7.50 / \mathrm{hr}$. |
| Sanitation Equipment Operator | PW - Sanitation | $10-40-5800-5100-0220$ | $\$ 7.50 / \mathrm{hr}$. |
| Utility Line Mechanic | PU - Water / Sewer | $30-71-7220-5100-0200$ | $\$ 11.52 / \mathrm{hr} .(\$ 28,059.20 / \mathrm{yr})$. |
| Water Plant Operator | PU - Water Plant | $30-71-7200-5100-0200$ | $\$ 14.73 / \mathrm{hr} .(\$ 26,686.40 / \mathrm{yr})$. |
|  |  | $\$ 30,638.40 / \mathrm{yr})$. |  |

## Current Vacancies

Position
Police Officer I
P/T Lifeguard
Utility Line Mechanic

Department
PU- - Electric
Police
P \& R - Aquatics
PU - Water / Sewer

## Budget Line

31-72-7230-5100-0200
10-20-5100-5100-0200
10-60-6220-5100-0220
30-71-7220-5100-0200
10. Approval of Resolution \#632 (19-2018) Designation of Applicant's Agent for FEMA Relief - Hurricane Florence
\{Attached hereto and made a part of these official minutes is Resolution \#632 (19-2018)\}
Unanimously approved.

## Business Items

1. Consideration and Approval to Adopt Resolution \#631 (18-2018) to begin the Upset Bid Process for property located at 916 Third Avenue in accordance with NCGS 160A-269

Town Manager Michael Scott addressed the Council on a request to begin the upset bid process for property located at 916 Third Avenue. The Town Manager explained the Town acquired the lot several years ago following what appeared to be a condemnation. The house originally located
on the property was removed and the lot was currently vacant and required normal maintenance from Town staff such as mowing. Under NC G.S. 160A-268, 269, \& 270, the Town can sell real property through the method of sealed bids, upset bids, or public auction. The lot currently provides no service to the Town of Smithfield and appears it would be better used if owned by a private citizen. A beginning bid recommendation has been received in the amount of $\$ 6,500$. $5 \%$ of the bid amount would be deposited with the Clerk upon the approval of the bid by Council. Staff is recommending the Council approval an upset bid process to sell the lot with the beginning bid of $\$ 6,500$.

Mayor Moore questioned if the Town demolished the house and the cost of the demolition. The Town Manager responded the Town did demolish the house, but he could find no record of the cost.

Councilman Barbour questioned the tax value on the property. The tax value was $\$ 10,250$.
Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn, to approve the Resolution \#631 (18-2018) and the request to move forward with the upset bid process. Unanimously approved

## Town of Smithfield Resolution \# 631 (18-2018)

 Resolution Authorizing Upset Bid ProcessWHEREAS, the Town of Smithfield owns certain property located at 916 Third AvenueJohnston County Tax ID \#15064012/ NCPin 169310-46-8076; and

WHEREAS, North Carolina General Statute § 160A-269 permits the Town to sell property by upset bid, after receipt of an offer for the property; and

WHEREAS, the Town has received an offer to purchase the property described above, in the amount of $\$ 6,500.00$, submitted by Vertis R Richardson III; and

WHEREAS, Vertis R Richardson III has agreed to pay the required five percent (5\%) deposit on his offer;

NOW THEREFORE, THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF SMITHFIELD RESOLVES THAT:

1. The Town Council authorizes sale of the property described above through the upset bid procedure of North Carolina General Statute § 160A-269.
2. The Town Clerk shall cause a notice of the proposed sale to be published. The notice shall describe the property and the amount of the offer, and shall state the terms under which the offer may be upset.
3. Persons wishing to upset the offer that has been received shall submit a sealed bid with their offer to the office of the Town Clerk within 10 days after the notice of sale is published. At the conclusion of the 10 -day period, the Town Clerk shall open the bids, if any, and the highest such bid will become the new offer. If there is more than one bid in the highest amount, the first such bid received will become the new offer.
4. If a qualifying higher bid is received, the Town Clerk shall cause a new notice of upset bid to be published, and shall continue to do so until a 10day period has passed without any qualifying upset bid having been received. At that time, the amount of the final high bid shall be reported to the Town Council.
5. A qualifying higher bid is one that raises the existing offer by not less than ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of the first $\$ 1,000.00$ of that offer and five percent ( $5 \%$ ) of the remainder of that offer.
6. A qualifying higher bid must also be accompanied by a deposit in the amount of five percent ( $5 \%$ ) of the bid; the deposit may be made in cash, cashier's check, or certified check. The Town will return the deposit on any bid not accepted, and will return the deposit on an offer subject to upset if a qualifying higher bid is received. The Town will return the deposit of the final high bidder at closing.
7. The terms of the final sale are that the Town Council must approve the final high offer before the sale is closed, which it will do within 30 days after the final upset bid period has passed, and the buyer must pay with cash at the time of closing.
8. The Town reserves the right to withdraw the property from sale at any time before the final high bid is accepted and the right to reject at any time all bids.
9. If no qualifying upset bid is received after the initial public notice, the offer set forth above is hereby accepted. The appropriate Town officials are authorized to execute the instruments necessary to convey the property to Vertis R. Richardson III.

## 2. Bid Award to JP Edwards in the amount of $\$ 32,323$ and contract approval for the removal of the Eva Ennis Pool and adjacent structures

Town Manager Michael Scott stated the removal of the Eva Ennis Pool and adjacent structures was approved by vote of the Council in June 2016 with the understanding that the Town would create a recreation center at Smith Collins Park. The Town is currently operating the Sarah Yard Community Center to provide a recreational center for the area. A Request for Proposal was originally distributed on March 7,2017 soliciting quotes for the removal of the pool and associated building structures at the Eva E. Ennis Park. The bid process was delayed to allow for the completion of an asbestos assessment and the acquisition of additional budgeted funds. The evaluation found no asbestos present and prospective contractors were notified of the results.

The Town received the following lump sum bids:


At that time, bid prices far exceeded budgeted funds. $\$ 27,000$ was budgeted for this project in the FY 2018-19 budget. The Parks a Recreation department has identified a total of $\$ 6,000$ in savings from the purchase of the budgeted F 550 truck and the budgeted playground equipment for Smith Collins Park. This will allow $\$ 33,000$ for the completion of this project. Given the 17month time frame since the original bid, the low bidder has submitted a revised quote of $\$ 32,323.00$.

Councilman Lee questioned if the Town was going to add a splash park in the area. The Town Manager responded he did not believe that was formally discussed. Councilman Lee further stated the removal of the pool left nothing in East Smithfield for the children of the community because the Sarah Yard Community Center was only open three days a week.

Councilman Ashley questioned the length of time the pool had been out of service. The Town Manager responded since 2010. Councilman Ashley stated there were some discussions about alternatives for the area, but there was no formal vote.

Councilman Barbour stated the Council should consider and budget funds for a splash park in the East Smithfield Community.

Councilman Barbour made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn to approve the request. Councilman Barbour, Councilman Dunn, Mayor Pro-Tem Scott, Councilman Ashley, Councilman Rabil and Councilman Stevens voted in favor of the motion. Councilman Lee voted against the motion. Motion passed 6 to 1 .

## 3. Consideration and Approval to adopt the 2019 Town Council Meeting Schedule

Town Manager Michael Scott addressed the Council on a request to adopt the 2019 Town Council Meeting Schedule. He explained in 2019, the January meeting is scheduled to be held on January $1^{\text {st }}$ which is a holiday. Staff is requesting that this meeting be changed to January $8^{\text {th }}$. The July meeting is scheduled to be held on July 2nd. Due to this being a typical holiday/ vacation week for many, staff is seeking input from the Council to determine if the meeting should be moved to July $9^{\text {th }}$. Also, the November meeting is scheduled to be held on November $5^{\text {th }}$ which is Election Day. Staff is recommending this meeting be moved to November $12^{\text {th }}$

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Barbour to adopt the 2019 Town Council Meeting Schedule with the recommended changes. Unanimously approved

2019 Town Council Meeting Schedule
January $8^{\text {th }}$
February $5^{\text {th }}$
March $5^{\text {th }}$
April ${ }^{\text {nd }}$
May $7^{\text {th }}$
June $4^{\text {th }}$
July $9^{\text {th }}$
August $6^{\text {th }}$
September $3^{\text {rd }}$
October $1^{\text {st }}$
November $12^{\text {th }}$
December $3^{\text {rd }}$

## Councilmembers Comments

- Councilman Barbour stated he appreciated the local newspaper being in attendance.


## Town Manager's Report:

Town Manager Michael Scott gave a brief update to the Council on the following items:

- Storm Water Meeting: A public meeting will be held at the Sarah Yard Center on November 13th at 6:30 to discuss storm water concerns in East Smithfield. This is part of our storm water study being completed by Jewell Engineering.
- Wine Walk: The annual downtown wine walk for Harbor is scheduled for Friday, November 16th from 5:00 to 9:00 pm. Please mark your calendars for this event.
- Gobble Wobble: The Gobble Wobble run/walk is scheduled in South Smithfield for November 17th from 8:00 to 11:00 am.
- Grinch Run: The annual Grinch Run is scheduled to begin at Community Park on December 1, 2018 at 10:00. Registration begins at 8:30. This is a great, fun event for all. Dress-up and be part of the Grinch contest. Don't forget to bring your toy for your admission.
- CDBG: The CDBG Grant for housing rehabilitation $(\$ 750,000)$ has been submitted. The grant proposal included housing rehabilitation as well as demolition and removal of
condemned homes in the target area of East Smithfield. We are uncertain at what point we will learn if we were awarded funds for this project


## Department Reports

A highlight of each department's monthly activities was given to the Council

## Closed Session: Pursuant to NCGS 143-318.11 (a) (6) - Personnel Matter

Mayor Pro-Tem Scott made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn to go into closed session pursuant to NCGS 143-318.11 (a) (6) to discuss a personnel matter. Unanimously approved at 10:23 pm.

## Reconvened in open session at 10:40 pm.

## Adjourn

Councilman Ashley made a motion, seconded by Councilman Dunn to adjourn the meeting. The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:41 pm.

## ATTEST:

[^0]
## Request for Town Council Action

Subject: Temporary Use Permit<br>Department: Johnston County Alumnae Chapter<br>Presented by: Planning<br>Presentation: Consent Agenda Item

## Issue Statement

The Council is being asked to authorize Johnston County Alumnae Chapter to hold a Taking Strides Against Family Violence 5K on Saturday, May 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, 2019 from 7:00 am to 11:00 am on the Buffalo Creek Greenway at 600 Booker Dairy Road.

## Financial Impact

None

## Action Needed

To Approve the Temporary Use Permit Application

## Recommendation

Approved: $\boxtimes$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Temporary Use Permit Application

Staff Report

| Consent | Application |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agenda | for |
| Item: | Temporary |
|  | Use Permit |
| Date: | $12 / 04 / 2018$ |

Johnston County Alumnae Chapter is requesting to hold a Taking Strides Against Family Violence 5 K on Saturday, May $4^{\text {th }}, 2019$ from 7:00 am to 11:00 am on the Buffalo Creek Greenway at 600 Booker Dairy Road. Amplified sound will be used between 7:00 am and 11:00 am. No food or goods will be sold at this event. Smithfield Police Department will be needed to provide safety for participants in the 5 K . No additional trash cans will be needed from the Town of Smithfield.

## Temporary Use Permit Application

Completed applications should be submitted to the Town of Smithfield Planning Department at least four weeks prior to the event. Email applications to julie.edmonds@smithfield-nc.com or drop off in the Planning Department. All applicants should read the following pages before completing all sections required. Incomplete applications may increase the permit processing time. If a person other than the property owner signs this application, a notarized written authorization from the property owner must be attached.

## TYPES OF EVENT

## PERMIT FEE $\$ 100$



Expansion or replacement of existing facilities
Sale of agricultural products grown off-site
Sale of fireworks
Special event, over 100 people in attendance
Athletic event on streets, greenways
other (please describe) 5 K Walk

## $\square$ Construction Trailer

Real estate sales office or model home
Sale of non-agricultural products, except fireworksNot-for-profit event, over 100 people in attendance
Town recognized event $\qquad$
Live Band / Concert

| 5 K Taking Strides Against Family Violence |  | 600 Booker Dairy Rd Smithfield NC 27577 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Name of Event |  |  |

Applicant name Tamara Barbour
E-mail address jcacpresident@gmail.com
Address P.O. Box 2216 Smithfield NC
Day phone $\frac{\text { 919-333-9726 }}{\text { Event Date }}$ (Mobile phone 919-333-9726
Zip 27577

Event set-up date /start time 05/04/19 6:30 am
Event clean-up date/end time 05/04/19 11:30 am
Sound amplification hours 7:00 am -11:00 am
Will food or goods be sold? no
Security provided by Smithfield Police or private security (describe duties): Walker safety
Private agency name \& phone, if applicable:
Will any Town property be used (ie., streets, parks, greenways)? Buffalo Creek Greenway
Are event trash cans needed? already available How many? already there
Do you wish to: Restrict animals at this event? (Circle Yes) No) Prohibit Fireworks? (Circle Yes) No)
I hereby certify that the information contained in this application is true to the best of my knowledge and I further certify that this event will be conducted per all applicable local laws. I certify that I have received the attached information concerning the regulations for Temporary Uses. I cefify that I have notified all adjoining property owners of the planned temporary use.


Applicant's name (print)



# Request for City Council Action 

Consent Police<br>Agenda Department<br>Item: Retirement<br>Date: 12/04/2018

Subject: Lieutenant Gentry's Service Weapon and Badge
Department: Police Department
Presented by: Chief Robert Powell
Presentation: Consent Agenda

## Issue Statement

Lt. Gentry retired on November 30, 2018 with 30 years of service and the department is asking for him to be able to purchase his service weapon, a Sig P227, Serial Number $51 C 000108$ and service badge at a price of $\$ 1$.

## Financial Impact

None

## Action Needed

Council to approve Lt. Gentry to be able to purchase his service weapon, a Sig P227, Serial number 51C000108 and service badge at a price of $\$ 1$.

## Recommendation

Lt. Gentry be allowed to purchase these items.

Approved: $\boxtimes$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Resolution \# 632 (19-2018)

# TOWN OF SMITHFIELD <br> RESOLUTION \# 633 (20-2018) AWARDING THE SERVICE BADGE AND WEAPON TO RETIRED POLICE LIEUTENANT BRUCE GENTRY 

BE IT RESOLVED that the Town Council of the Town of Smithfield hereby awards the service weapon, Sig P227, Serial Number 51C000108 and service badge to retired Police Lieutenant Bruce T. Gentry, at a price of $\$ 1.00$

Adopted this 4th day of December, 2018
M. Andy Moore, Mayor

ATTEST:

Shannan L. Parrish, Town Clerk

Request for Town Council Action

## Consent Career <br> Agenda Ladder Item: Addition <br> Date: 12/04/2018

Subject: Approve the Addition to the Established Career Ladder in the Water \& Sewer Division
Department: Public Utilities
Presented by: Ted Credle
Presentation: Consent Agenda

## Issue Statement

The Public Utilities Department is proposing a career ladder to be established for the Pump Station Mechanic's position; similar to other career ladders, already established in the Water \& Sewer Division of the Public Utilities Department.

## Financial Impact

As with other career ladder advancements, there is a 5\% increase for advancement.

## Action Needed

Approve the proposed addition

## Recommendation

Staff recommends the approval of the proposed addition
Approved: $\boxtimes$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Proposed addition to the Water/Sewer Department's Career Ladder

Staff
Report
Consent Career

Agenda Ladder
Item: Addition

The Public Utilities Department has an established career advancement path for the Utility Line Mechanic's position in the Water \& Sewer Division; but, there is nothing established for the Pump Station mechanic position.

The Public Utilities Department encourages all personnel in the Department to advance their training, knowledge and experience to become better employees. Establishing a career path rewards the initiative of personnel by offering advancement for these efforts, once testing, certification \& experience have been obtained.

As such, the Water \& Sewer Division has proposed a path for the Pump Station mechanic's position, which enables this position to seek training, education \& experience; and to be rewarded for these pursuits.

## PUBLIC UTILITIES DEPARTMENT <br> PROPOSED CAREER LADDER FOR WATER \& SEWER DIVISION

## WATER/SEWER DIVISION (2015)

## Title

Utility Line Mechanic
Advanced Utility Line Mechanic
Senior Utility Line Mechanic
Pump Station Mechanic
Wastewater System Technician
Utility Line Crew Supervisor
Water/Sewer Line Superintendent

## WATER/SEWER DIVISION (2018)

Title
Utility Line Mechanic
Advanced Utility Line Mechanic
Senior Utility Line Mechanic
Pump Station Mechanic
Advanced Pump Station Mechanic
Senior Pump Station Mechanic
Wastewater System Technician
Utility Line Crew Supervisor
Water/Sewer Line Superintendent

Utility Line Mechanic
Advanced Utility Line Mechanic
Senior Utility Line Mechanic
Pump Station Mechanic
Advanced Pump Station Mechanic
Senior Pump Station Mechanic
Wastewater System Technician
Utility Line Crew Supervisor
Water/Sewer Line Superintendent

Pay Range
26,686.40-40,856.71
28,059.20-42,929.64
29,473.60-45,102.87
29,473.60-45,102.87
32,531.20-49,750.24
32,531.20-49,750.24
53,123.20-81,278.77

Pay Range
26,686.40-40,856.71
28,059.20-42,929.64
29,473.60-45,102.87
29,473.60-45,102.87
30,950.40-47,376.40
32,531.20-49,750.24
32,531.20-49,750.24
32,531.20-49,750.24
53,123.20-81,278.77

## Experience \& Certification

Beginner
Two Levels \& 24 months
Highest Level \& 48 months Collections I \& 6 months Collections I \& 6 months Highest Level \& 5 Years 10 Years

## Experience \& Certification

Beginner
Two Levels \& 24 months Highest Level \& 48 months Collections I \& 6 months Collections II \& 18 months Collections III \& 36 months Collections I \& 6 months Highest Level \& 5 Years 10 Years

## Water and Sewer Division:

Utility Line Mechanic is the title given to an employee with little experience. This is granted to someone looking to begin their career in the Water \& Sewer Division. This individual will have no certification and 0-12 months experience.

Advanced Utility Line Mechanic is the title given to a worker who has obtained the first and second levels of certification; either the "Distribution C \& B" or the "Collections I \& II" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has a minimum of 24 months of work experience, has attended the appropriate training classes, and has successfully passed the written exams.

Senior Utility Line Mechanic is the title given to a worker who has obtained the final level of certification; either the "Distribution A" or the "Collections III \& IV" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has successfully completed the first two levels of certification, has a minimum of 48 months of work experience, has attended the appropriate training classes, and has successfully passed the written exams.

Pump Station Mechanic is the title given to a worker who has obtained the first level of certification, "Collections l" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has a minimum of 6 months of work experience, has attended the appropriate training classes, and has successfully passed the written exam. This employee must also perform routine maintenance tasks and be familiar with lift station machinery such as pumps \& motors, plumbing, and simple electrical controls.

Advanced Pump Station Mechanic is the title given to a worker who has obtained the second level of certification, "Collections II" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has a minimum of 18 months of work experience, has attended the appropriate training classes, and has successfully passed the written exam. This employee must perform routine maintenance tasks and be familiar with lift station machinery such as pumps \& motors, plumbing, and simple electrical controls. This employee should be able to diagnose typical pump station issues; such as electrical failure, control system problems and pump failure.

Senior Pump Station Mechanic is the title given to a worker who has obtained the third level of certification, "Collections III" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has a minimum of 36 months of work experience, has attended the appropriate training classes, and has successfully passed the written exam. This employee must also perform maintenance tasks and be familiar with lift station machinery such as pumps \& motors, plumbing, and simple electrical controls. This employee should be able to diagnose complex pump station issues; such as reading pump curves, flow regulation and SCADA failure.

Wastewater System Technician is the title given to a worker who has obtained the first level of certification, "Collections I" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has a minimum of 6 months of work experience, has attended the appropriate training classes, and has successfully passed the written exam. This employee must also act as the Fats, Oil \& Grease (FOG) coordinator for the Town.

Utility Line Crew Supervisor is the title given to a worker who has obtained the final level of certification; either the "Distribution C" or the "Collections IV" of the state-regulated certification program. This certification will only be granted by the State of North Carolina after the candidate has successfully completed the first levels of certification, has attended the training classes, and has passed the written exams. This employee should have at least 5 years of experience working in the water distribution and/or wastewater collection field.

Water/Sewer Line Superintendent is the title given to the employee that has managerial responsibility over the Water \& Sewer Division. This employee must have a wealth of knowledge in the operation and maintenance of the Town potable water distribution system, the understanding and practical knowledge to operate and maintain the Town collection system for wastewater, and at least 10 years of experience working in the water distribution and/or wastewater collection field. This employee will also be designated as the ORC (Operator of Responsible Charge) for both the Water Distribution System and the Sanitary Sewer Collection System.

# Subject: Electric Line Crew Leader Promotion <br> Department: Public Utilities <br> Presented by: Ted Credle <br> Presentation: Consent Agenda 

## Issue Statement

Obtain Council approval to offer the position of Electric Line Crew Leader to a qualified internal candidate.

Financial Impact
None. The pay for the proposed personnel change is less than what was proposed and included in the adopted FY 2018- FY 2019 budget. The pay of the retiring Crew Leader was greater than the pay of the proposed promotion.

## Action Needed

Approve the offer for the proposed Electric Line Crew Leader at an hourly rate of $\$ 27.65$ per hour (\$57,512.00/year).

## Recommendation

Staff recommends the approval of the offer

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report

Consent
Agenda Promotion
Item:

In keeping with stated Town goals of attracting and retaining highly qualified employees, the Town has interviewed two prospective internal employees for the position of Electric Line Crew Leader for the Electric Division of Public Utilities. The preferred candidate is qualified for this position and has been a highly regarded member of Town staff for many years. Council is asked to approve this hire/promotion. With this hire, the internal candidate will receive a $10 \%$ increase in pay.

## Request for Town Council Action

Subject: Award of Contract for Construction Observation for Durwood Stephenson Water Line - Phase I
Department: Public Utilities
Presented by: Ted Credle
Presentation: Consent Agenda

## Issue Statement

Phase I of the Durwood Stephenson Water Line will be constructed between US-70 and Wilson's Mills Road. This multi-month construction project will require supervised inspections to ensure construction is performed in accordance with Town Standards. This service was bid out and a low-bidder was identified.

## Financial Impact

None - this expense was approved as part of the Capital Project in the FY 2018-2019 budget

## Action Needed

Approve the award of Contract to the low bidder

## Recommendation

Staff recommends the approval of the proposed low-bid Contractor

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Bid from Draper Aden Associates
3. Bid from The Wooten Company
4. Bid from Vision, NC

Staff
Report

Consent
Agenda Item:

The Durwood Stephenson Highway Water Line - Phase 1 is the construction of a 16 " water line along the northern right-of-way of Durwood Stephenson highway, which will connect the water system between US-70 and Wilson's Mills Road.

Bids for Construction Observation \& Contract Administration were received on November 16, 2018 in accordance with the approved plans. The low bidder was Vision, NC in the amount of \$36,000.00

Staff is asking the Council to approve the low bid and authorize the Town Manager to execute a contract for Construction Observation \& Contract Administration services, not to exceed $\$ 36,000$.

# TOWN OF SMITHFIELD NORTH CAROLINA 



## REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION FOR TOWN OF SMITHFIELD DURWOOD STEPHENSON 16" WATER LINE PHASE I PROJECT

## RFP NO. 19-002

Proposals Due Date:
November 9, 2018
2:00 P.M.

# CONSTKCTION OBSERVATION \& CONTRACT ADMINISTRȦTION FOR TOWN OF SMITHFIELD <br> DURWOOD STEPHENSON 16" WATER LINE PHASE I PROJECT 

## SCOPE OF SERVICES

This Scope of Services will become an integral part of the contract between the Town of Smithfield and the Contractor. The Contractor hereby agrees to provide services and/or materials to the Town pursuant to the provisions set forth below.
1.0 PURPQSE: The purpose and intent of the Request for Proposals (RFP) is to solicit proposals from qualified firms to provide Construction Observation \& Contract Administration services. The selected contractor is to inspect, assess and report on approximately 5,400 linear feet of $16^{\prime \prime}$ water line; which will be installed along the northern right-of-way of Durwood Stephenson Highway, between US-70 Highway and Wilson's Mills Road in Smithfield, North Carolina. The contractor will serve as the Owner's representative and file daily reports as to project progress. This report may often include photographs and paper documentation noting project progress, construction methods, backfill procedures, and appropriate rain gauge readings, as necessary.
2.0 BACKGROUND: The Town desires a private contractor to perform these services to maintain an accurate inventory of the project; as well as, to properly assess the construction progress and alert the Town of issues, as they may arise.
3.0 TOWN DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE:

Mr. Ted Credle, PE
Public Utilities Director
Town of Smithfield
230 Hospital Road
Smithfield, NC 27577
(919) 934-2116 x-1162

Ted.credle@smithfield-nc.com
4.0 WORK REOUIREMENTS: The Contractor is to physically arrive on site and will observe \& inspect the construction of the water line. The Contractor will take photographs (as needed) to assist documenting project progress and will file daily written reports to maintain accurate project progress. As the Contractor will be presumed to have adequate knowledge of current water line construction methods, the Contractor will take note of any errors in construction related to pipe laying, joints, seals, backfill, soil tamping, erosion control, and testing procedures. Any errors noted will be brought to the immediate attention of the Owner, or the Owner's representative.

Professional liability will not be the burden of the selected Contractor.
A copy of project plans \& specifications will be given to the chosen Contractor to assist in this endeavor. Also, once established, the project schedule will also be shared with the Contractor to assist in the reporting of project pace.

During the course of the Project, the Contractor will also receive and process the Builder's submitted Pay Applications. The Contractor will assess the application and compare Project progress. If the pay application is appropriate, the Contractor will approve the pay application and forward to the Owner for payment. Any errors or discrepancies will be noted and reported to the Owner, immediately so the application can be corrected.

The creation of a punch-list will not be the responsibility of the Contractor; however assistance in communication with the Owner, in that regard, will be expected.

The final deliverable to the Town will be a thumb drive, disk, or set of disks; containing the complete set of daily reports and photographs of the water line, as inspected, in "PDF" file format.
5.0 SCHEDULES/TIMELINES: The initial term of the contract is from December 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019. It is presumed the installation will be completed within this time frame. It is the Town's expectation that the awarded firm will spend approximately 4 hours daily, travelling, observing, documenting and reporting on the project. This will last 5 days a week, for 22 weeks ( 5 months) and total 440 hours of Construction Observation time. There will be additional office time for reviewing submitted pay applications and verifying project progress; which is presumed to total 200 additional office hours. The project and all services will conclude by April 30, 2019.

The Town of Smithfield invites your proposal to provide Construction Observation \& Contract Administration Services for the Town of Smithfield Durwood Stephenson 16" Water Line Phase I Project to be received until 2:00 p.m., on November 9, 2018 in the Utilities Department, Town of Smithfield Operations Center, 230 Hospital Road, Smithfield, North Carolina 27577.

In accordance with the attached instructions, terms, conditions, and Scope of Services we submit the following proposal to the Town of Smithfield.

## ITEM NO. 1

This item shall include labor, materials, supervision, equipment, appliances, and materials to perform all operations required to construction observation and contract administration as specified.

| Item No. | Description | Units | Estimated <br> Quantity | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Construction <br> Observation | hr. | 440 | $\$ 55.00$ |
| 2 | Contract <br> Administration | hr. | 200 | $\$ 60.00$ |

I certify that the contents of this proposal are known to no one outside the firm, and to the best of my knowledge all requirements have been complied with.

Date:_11/9/2018


Title COO/Vice President
Firm Name Draper Aden Associates

November 8, 2018
Mr. Ted Credle, P.E.
Public Utilities Director
Town of Smithfield
230 Hospital Road
Smithfield, NC 27577

## Re: Proposal for Construction Observation \& Administration Services <br> Durwood Stephenson 16" Waterline Phase 1 Project <br> Smithfield, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Credle:
We are pleased to submit this letter proposal as requested for the waterline installation along Durwood Stephenson Highway as described in the request for proposals (RFP). Enclosed with this letter is our Proposal Form and standard rate sheet. The fee presented has been prepared with the understanding that the Town will handle all construction contract administration and bidding tasks for this waterline and our assistance will be focused on field observation, verifying project progress and review of pay applications (i.e., quantities). The duration of the project will be approximately five (5) months and we will provide periodic observation during this period as estimated in the RFP. We understand that the final deliverable will be a pdf electronic submittal either on thumb drive, disk, etc. containing the daily reports and project photographs.

Based on our understanding, we are ready to provide this assistance to the Town when the project begins. We will plan to utilize Jeff Stephens from our observation staff for this project. We believe that his experience on many similar projects, including the recently constructed 16 -inch water main along Booker Dairy Road, will provide the Town with quality support. We will also have a backup observer with similar experience, Ed Council, on standby as needed to ensure that this project is well covered. Our observers are all equipped with tablets for better efficiency, providing them quick access to the construction documents and the ability to take photographs.

We are appreciative of the Town considering us for this important project and we look forward to the opportunity to continue our successful partnership that has been developed over many years. If you have any questions or need further information, please do not hesitate to let us know.

Respectfully,
THE WOOTEN COMPANY

W. Brian Johnson, P.E.
Director, Civil / Environmental Engineering

Enclosures: As Stated

## PROPOSAL FORM

The Town of Smithfield invites your proposal to provide Construction Observation \& Contract Administration Services for the Town of Smithfield Durwood Stephenson 16" Water Line Phase I Project to be received until 2:00 p.m., on November 9, 2018 in the Utilities Department, Town of Smithfield Operations Center, 230 Hospital Road, Smithfield, North Carolina 27577.

In accordance with the attached instructions, terms, conditions, and Scope of Services we submit the following proposal to the Town of Smithfield.

## ITEM NO. 1

This item shall include labor, materials, supervision, equipment, appliances, and materials to perform all operations required to construction observation and contract administration as specified.

| Item No. | Description | Units | Estimated <br> Quantity | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Construction <br> Observation | hr | 440 | $\$ \underline{39,600.00}$ |
| 2 | Contract <br> Administration | hr. | 200 | $\$ \underline{18,000.00}$ |

I certify that the contents of this proposal are known to no one outside the firm, and to the best of my knowledge all requirements have been complied with.

Date:11/8/2018
Authorized Signature:


Name W. Brian Johnson, PE
Title Director, Civi//Environmental Engineering
Firm Name The Wooten Company

## THE WOOTEN COMPANY <br> SCHEDULE OF FEES <br> ENGINEERING COST BREAKDOWN HOURLY RATES FOR WAGE CATEGORIES

| Wage Category | Hourly <br> Billing <br> Rate |
| :--- | ---: |
| Engineer I | $\$ 90$ |
| Engineer II | $\$ 118$ |
| Engineer III | $\$ 146$ |
| Engineer IV | $\$ 190$ |
| Architect II | $\$ 135$ |
| Designer I | $\$ 75$ |
| Designer II | $\$ 90$ |
| Designer III | $\$ 106$ |
| Designer IV | $\$ 129$ |
| Construction Admin I | $\$ 87$ |
| Construction Admin II | $\$ 146$ |
| Construction Admin III | $\$ 190$ |
| Construction Observer / Resident Project Representative | $\$ 90$ |
| Utility Coordinator II | $\$ 110$ |
| Utility Coordinator III | $\$ 132$ |
| Survey Technician I | $\$ 945$ |
| Survey Technician II | $\$ 65$ |
| Survey Technician III | $\$ 85$ |
| Survey Technician IV | $\$ 105$ |
| Surveyor II | $\$ 105$ |
| Surveyor III | $\$ 125$ |
| Surveyor IV | $\$ 146$ |
| GIS Analyst II | $\$ 80$ |
| GIS Analyst III | $\$ 105$ |
| GIS Analyst IV | $\$ 120$ |
| Community Development Coordinator | $\$ 109$ |
| Housing Rehabilitation Specialist | $\$ 84$ |
| Project Assistant | $\$ 77$ |
| Reimbursables: Mileage will be billed at the current IRS Standard |  |
| Mileage Rate, Subcontracted Services and other expenses at cost plus |  |
| 10\%. |  |

The Wooten Company makes annual adjustments on July 1st of each year. The above hourly rates reflect current rates for the period through June 30, 2019. Hourly billing rates will change next on July 1, 2019 to reflect Direct Payroll Costs (salaries) being paid at that time.
Effective Rates July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019

## TOWN OF SMITHFIELD NORTH CAROLINA



# REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS 

CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATION FOR TOWN OF SMITHFIELD
DURWOOD STEPHENSON 16" WATER LINE PHASE I PROJECT

RFP NO. 19-002

Proposals Due Date:
November 9, 2018
2:00 P.M.

# CONSTRCTION OBSERVATION \& CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION FOR TOWN OF SMITHFIELD DURWOOD STEPHENSON 16" WATER LINE PHASE I PROJECT 

## SCOPE OF SERVICES

This Scope of Services will become an integral part of the contract between the Town of Smithfield and the Contractor. The Contractor hereby agrees to provide services and/or materials to the Town pursuant to the provisions set forth below.
1.0 PURPOSE: The purpose and intent of the Request for Proposals (RFP) is to solicit proposals from qualified firms to provide Construction Observation \& Contract Administration services. The selected contractor is to inspect, assess and report on approximately 5,400 linear feet of $16^{\prime \prime}$ water line; which will be installed along the northern right-of-way of Durwood Stephenson Highway, between US-70 Highway and Wilson's Mills Road in Smithfield, North Carolina. The contractor will serve as the Owner's representative and file daily reports as to project progress. This report may often include photographs and paper documentation noting project progress, construction methods, backfill procedures, and appropriate rain gauge readings, as necessary.
2.0 BACKGROUND: The Town desires a private contractor to perform these services to maintain an accurate inventory of the project; as well as, to properly assess the construction progress and alert the Town of issues, as they may arise.
3.0 TOWN DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE:

Mr. Ted Credle, PE
Public Utilities Director
Town of Smithfield
230 Hospital Road
Smithfield, NC 27577
(919) 934-2116 x-1162

Ted.credle@smithfield-nc.com
4.0 WORK REQUIREMENTS: The Contractor is to physically arrive on site and will observe \& inspect the construction of the water line. The Contractor will take photographs (as needed) to assist documenting project progress and will file daily written reports to maintain accurate project progress. As the Contractor will be presumed to have adequate knowledge of current water line construction methods, the Contractor will take note of any errors in construction related to pipe laying, joints, seals, backfill, soil tamping, erosion control, and testing procedures. Any errors noted will be brought to the immediate attention of the Owner, or the Owner's representative.

Professional liability will not be the burden of the selected Contractor.
A copy of project plans \& specifications will be given to the chosen Contractor to assist in this endeavor. Also, once established, the project schedule will also be shared with the Contractor to assist in the reporting of project pace.

During the course of the Project, the Contractor will also receive and process the Builder's submitted Pay Applications. The Contractor will assess the application and compare Project progress. If the pay application is appropriate, the Contractor will approve the pay application and forward to the 0wner for payment. Any errors or discrepancies will be noted and reported to the Owner, immediately so the application can be corrected.

The creation of a punch-list will not be the responsibility of the Contractor; however assistance in communication with the Owner, in that regard, will be expected.

The final deliverable to the Town will be a thumb drive, disk, or set of disks; containing the complete set of daily reports and photographs of the water line, as inspected, in "PDF" file format.
5.0 SCHEDULES/TIMELINES: The initial term of the contract is from December 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019. It is presumed the installation will be completed within this time frame. It is the Town's expectation that the awarded firm will spend approximately 4 hours daily, travelling, observing, documenting and reporting on the project. This will last 5 days a week, for 22 weeks ( 5 months) and total 440 hours of Construction Observation time. There will be additional office time for reviewing submitted pay applications and verifying project progress; which is presumed to total 200 additional office hours. The project and all services will conclude by April 30, 2019.

## PROPOSAL FORM

The Town of Smithfield invites your proposal to provide Construction Observation \& Contract Administration Services for the Town of Smithfield Durwood Stephenson $16^{\prime \prime}$ Water Line Phase I Project to be received until 2:00 p.m., on November 9, 2018 in the Utilities Department, Town of Smithfield Operations Center, 230 Hospital Road, Smithfield, North Carolina 27577.

In accordance with the attached instructions, terms, conditions, and Scope of Services we submit the following proposal to the Town of Smithfield.

## ITEM NO. 1

This item shall include labor, materials, supervision, equipment, appliances, and materials to perform all operations required to construction observation and contract administration as specified.

| Item No. | Description | Units | Estimated <br> Quantity | Total Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Construction <br> Observation | hr. | 440 | $\$ 24,000.00$ |
| 2 | Contract <br> Administration | hr. | 200 | $\$ 12,000.00$ |

I certify that the contents of this proposal are known to no one outside the firm, and to the best of my knowledge all requirements have been complied with.

Date: 11/9/2018
Authorized Signature:


Name Scott Hare
Title President
Firm Name _Vision, NC

Request for
Town
Council Action

Subject: Various Budget Amendments
Department: Finance
Presented by: Greg Siler
Presentation: Consent Agenda
Issue Statement: Various Budget Amendments. See descriptions under each budget amendment.

Financial Impact: As stated in each amendment

Action Needed: Approve as presented

Recommendation: Approve as presented

Approved: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Town Manager } \square \text { Town Attorney }\end{aligned}$

Attachments:

1. Budget Amendments Dated December, 2018

BUDGET AMENDMENTS
December, 2018


To fund half of estimated basketball court resurfacing cost with J.C. Visitors's Bureau Grant
Expenditure
10-60-6200-5

To separate street repaving budget, which was initially budgeted in the sidewalk/curb expense line, to an expense line of its own
5. Revenue

5. Revenue
10-10-3300-3307-0030 Grant - Down Town Revitalization Grant (WIFI)
Expenditure
10-10-4100-5700-7400 General Government - Capital Outlay
To establish and fund Downtown Revitalization Grant for public WIFI
ELECTRIC FUND
31-72-3550-3520-0000 Miscellaeous
Expenditure
$31-72-7230-53$
To fund Public Education on Load Management with ElectriCities Grant

APPROVED:
VERIFIED:
Shannan Parrish, Town Clerk

Request for Town Council Action

Consent Advisory

Date: 12/04/2018

Subject: Advisory Board Appointments<br>Department: General Government<br>Presented by: Town Clerk - Shannan Parrish<br>Presentation: Consent Agenda

## Issue Statement

The Town Council is asked to consider the reappointments to the Board of Adjustment and Planning Board and the new appointments to the Appearance Commission and Historic Properties Commission

Financial Impact
N/A

## Action Needed

The Council is ask to consider the reappointment of Mark Lane to the Board of Adjustment and the Planning Board representing the extraterritorial jurisdiction. The Council is asked to consider the appointment of Connie Barbour to the Appearance Commission. The Council is asked to consider the appointment of Rachel Ayers to the Historic Properties Commission

## Recommendation

Staff recommends approval of these appointments.

Approved: $\square$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Mark Lane - Board Application
3. Connie Barbour - Board Application
4. Rachel Ayers - Board Application
5. Doris Wallace - Board Application

## Consent Advisory <br> Agenda Board <br> Item Appointments

## Current Board vacancies are as follows:

- Appearance Commission - 3 positions
- Board of Adjustment - 1 in Town Member and 1 In Town Alternate
- Historic Properties - 1 positions


## Board Appointments

1. Mark Lane has submitted an application for consideration to be reappointed to a third term on the Board of Adjustment and the Planning Board to serve as an ETJ member.
2. Connie Barbour has submitted an application for consideration to be appointed to a first term on the Appearance Commission.
3. Rachel Ayers has submitted an application for consideration to be appointed to a first term on the Historic Properties Commission
4. Doris Wallace has submitted an application for consideration to be appointed to a first term on the Board of Adjustment

## Town of Smithfield Board, Commission, or Committee Application



Business Name \& Address:


Please check the Boards) that you wish to serve on:

Appearance Commission
Board of Adjustment In Town Resident Board of Adjustment ETJ Member Historic Properties Commission Library Board of Directors

Why are you interested in serving on this Board/Commission/Committee?

the

## Affirmation of Eligibility:

Has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?
$\square$ Yes No If yes, please explain disposition:

Is there any conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the Smithfield Town Council? a Yes $\nless$ No If yes, please explain: $\qquad$

I understand this application is public record and I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize and consent to background checks and to the investigation and verification of all statements contained herein as deemed appropriate and if necessary. I further authorize all information concerning my qualifications to be investigated and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from this investigation. I understand and agree that any misstatement may be cause for my removal from any Board/Commission/Committee. I understand regular attendance to any Council Board/Commission/Committee is important and, accordingly, I further understand that if my attendance is less than the standards established for any such body that this is cause for removal. Lacking any written standards for attendance by any Board/Commission/Committee, it is expected that I will attend at least $75 \%$ of all meetings during any one calendar year to maintain my seat on any Board/Commission/Committee to which I may be appointed. This form will remain on file in the Office of the Town Clerk and requests for updates will be sought prior to any consideration for reappointment (or future appointment) to any Board/Commission/Committee.


Return completed for to:
Shannan Parrish
Town Clerk
P. O. Box 761

Smithfield, North Carolina 27577
Phone: (919) 934-2116 Fax: (919) 989-8937 E-Mail: shannan.parrish@smithfield-nc.com

Applicants are required to be a resident of the Town of Smithfield for In-Town positions and within the Town's ETJ for ETJ positions

Town of Smithfield Board, Commission, or Committee

Application

Business Name \& Address:
Telephone Numbers: $\square$ $\frac{9199957322}{\text { (Mobile) }}$
$\frac{\text { Caborbour encrr.com }}{\text { (Email) }}$
Please check the Boards) that you wish to serve on:

- Appearance Commission
$\square \quad$ Board of Adjustment In Town Resident
$\square \quad$ Board of Adjustment ETJ Member
( Historic Properties Commission
- Library Board of Directors

ㅁ Parks/Recreation Advisory Commission
X. Planning Board In-Town Resident - Planning Board ETJ Resident

- Other: $\qquad$

Interests \& skills: Advocating for che tron of Smithfield, administrative and arganyectivial skies.

Circle highest level of education completed: (High School) 10 11 12 GED College 1 2) $3 \quad 456$
Recent ob Expoiereses: Contract editor, admustrative support, farmer smell
Civic or Service organization Experience: :Active vic church, volunteer at daughter's school

Town Boards previously served on and year(s) served: None

Please list any other Boards/Commissions/Committees on which you currently serve: $\qquad$

## Affirmation of Eligibility:

Has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?
-Yes No If yes, please explain disposition:

Is there any conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the Smithfield Town Council? Yes No If yes, please explain: $\qquad$

I understand this application is public record and I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize and consent to background checks and to the investigation and verification of all statements contained herein as deemed appropriate and if necessary. I further authorize all information concerning my qualifications to be investigated and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from this investigation. I understand and agree that any misstatement may be cause for my removal from any Board/Commission/Committee. I understand regular attendance to any Council Board/Commission/Committee is important and, accordingly, I further understand that if my attendance is less than the standards established for any such body that this is cause for removal. Lacking any written standards for attendance by any Board/Commission/Committee, it is expected that I will attend at least $75 \%$ of all meetings during any one calendar year to maintain my seat on any Board/Commission/Committee to which I may be appointed. This form will remain on file in the Office of the Town Clerk and requests for updates will be sought prior to any consideration for reappointment (or future appointment) to any Board/Commission/Committee.

Printed Name:Clwiox Lytton
Signature: $\qquad$ Date: 9/1/18

Return completed for to:

## Shannan Parrish

Town Clerk
P. O. Box 761

Smithfield, North Carolina 27577
Phone: (919) 934-2116 Fax: (919) 989-8937 E-Mail: shannan.parrish@smithfield-nc.com

Applicants are required to be a resident of the Town of Smithfield for In-Town positions and within the Town's ETJ for ETJ positions


Town of Smithfield
Board, Commission, or Committee Application


Business Name \& Address: $\qquad$ Telephone Numbers: 919.6048983 919-604-8983 rachelayers1180gmai Ails Ghenoton Motile market. Mon (Mobile) (Email) Please check the Boards) that you wish to serve on:

Appearance Commission
Board of Adjustment in Town Resident
Board of Adjustment ETJ Member
Historic Properties Commission
Library Board of Directors

Parks/Recreation Advisory Commission
Planning Board In-Town Resident
Planning Board ETJ Resident
Other: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Interests \& Skills: $\qquad$ Singing, Darning, cording and serving the peeqge
$\qquad$

Circle highest level of education completed: (High School) 10 11 (12) GED College 123456
Recent Job Experiences: furguil Technologist 35 year, Doctor Assustinat 4 yean

civic or Service Organization Experience Hecoung and Healing Heats, lac. and
$\qquad$

Town Boards previously served on and year(s) served: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Please list any other Boards/Commissions/Committees on which you currently serve: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Affirmation of Eligibility:

Has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?
-Yes $\$$ Wo If yes, please explain disposition: $\qquad$

Is there any conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems or prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the Smithfield Town Council? a Yes No If yes, please explain: $\qquad$

I understand this application is public record and I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize and consent to background checks and to the investigation and verification of all statements contained herein as deemed appropriate and if necessary. I further authorize all information concerning my qualifications to be investigated and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from this investigation. I understand and agree that any misstatement may be cause for my removal from any Board/Commission/Committee. I understand regular attendance to any Council Board/Commission/Committee is important and, accordingly, I further understand that if my attendance is less than the standards established for any such body that this is cause for removal. Lacking any written standards for attendance by any Board/Commission/Committee, it is expected that I will attend at least $75 \%$ of all meetings during any one calendar year to maintain my seat on any Board/Commission/Committee to which I may be appointed. This form will remain on file in the Office of the Town Clerk and requests for updates will be sought prior to any consideration for reappointment (or future appointment) to any Board/Commission/Committee.

Printed Name: Reacher Ayers
Signature:
 Date: $1 /-8-18$

Return completed for to:
Shannan Parrish
Town Clerk
P. O. Box 761

Smithfield, North Carolina 27577
Phone: (919) 934-2116 Fax: (919) 989-8937 E-Mail: shannan.parrish@smithfield-nc.com

Applicants are required to be a resident of the Town of Smithfield for In-Town positions and within the Town's ETJ for ETJ positions

SMITHFIELD
Town of Smithfield Board, Commission, or Committee Application


Please check the Boards) that you wish to serve on:

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ | Appearance Commission | $\square$ | Parks/Recreation Advisory Commission |
| $\square$ | Board of Adjustment In Town Resident | $\square$ | Planning Board In-Town Resident |
| $\square$ | Board of Adjustment ETJ Member | $\square$ | Planning Board ETJ Resident |
| $\square$ | Historic Properties Commission | $\square$ | Other: |



Circle highest level of education completed: (High School) $10 \quad 11 \quad 12$ GED College 122445




## Affirmation of Eligibility:

Has any formal charge of professional misconduct, criminal misdemeanor or felony ever been filed against you in any jurisdiction?
$\square$ Yes No If yes, please explain disposition: $\qquad$

Is there any conflict of interest or other matter that would create problems of prevent you from fairly and impartially discharging your duties as an appointee of the Smithfield Town Council? व Yes No If yes, please explain: $\qquad$

I understand this application is public record and I certify that the facts contained in this application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I authorize and consent to background checks and to the investigation and verification of all statements contained herein as deemed appropriate and if necessary. I further authorize all information concerning my qualifications to be investigated and release all parties from all liability for any damages that may result from this investigation. I understand and agree that any misstatement may be cause for my removal from any Board/Commission/Committee. I understand regular attendance to any Council Board/Commission/Committee is important and, accordingly, I further understand that if my attendance is less than the standards established for any such body that this is cause for removal. Lacking any written standards for attendance by any Board/Commission/Committee, it is expected that I will attend at least $75 \%$ of all meetings during any one calendar year to maintain my seat on any Board/Commission/Committee to which I may be appointed. This form will remain on file in the Office of the Town Clerk and requests for updates will be sought prior to any consideration for reappointment (or future appointment) to any Board/Commission/Committee.


Date:


Return completed for to:
Shannan Parrish
Town Clerk
P. O. Box 761

Smithfield, North Carolina 27577
Phone: (919) 934-2116 Fax: (919) 989-8937 E-Mail: shannan.parrish@smithfield-nc.com

Applicants are required to be a resident of the Town of Smithfield for In-Town positions and within the Town's ETJ for ETJ positions

Consent New Hire
Agenda / Vacancy Item: Report
Date 12/04/2018

## Background

Per Policy, upon the hiring of a new or replacement employee, the Town Manger or Department Head shall report the new/replacement hire to the Council on the Consent Agenda at the next scheduled monthly Town Council meeting.

In addition, please find the following current vacancies:

| Position | Department | Budget Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric Line Technician | PU- - Electric | 31-72-7230-5100-0200 |
| Police Officer I | Police | 10-20-5100-5100-0200 |
| P/T Lifeguard | P \& R - Aquatics | 10-60-6220-5100-0220 |
| Utility Line Mechanic | PU - Water / Sewer | 30-71-7220-5100-0200 |

## Action Requested

The Town Council is asked to acknowledge that the Town has successfully filled the following vacancies in accordance with the Adopted FY 17-18 Budget.

| Position | Department | Budget Line | Rate of Pay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric Line Technician | PU - Electric | 31-72-7230-5100-0200 | \$18.27/hr. (\$38,001.60/yr.) |
| P/T Staff | P\&R - Recreation | 10-60-6220-5100-0220 | \$9.00/hr. |
| P/T Staff | P\&R - Recreation | 10-60-6220-5100-0220 | \$9.00/hr. |

# Business Items 



Subject: Preliminary Subdivision Plat<br>Department: Planning<br>Presented by: Stephen Wensman, Planning Director<br>Presentation: Business Item

## Issue Statement

Last Investments, LLC is requesting a Preliminary Subdivision Plat for Swift Creek Road Tract, a proposed 110 lot single-family detached residential development on a 97.57 acre parcel in the R-20A zoning district located at 1337 Cleveland Road.

## Financial Impact

The Utility Department is planning to provide water to the development at the out of town rate.

## Action Needed

To review the Preliminary Subdivision Plat application, hold a public hearing and decision whether to approve the request with conditions or deny the request.

## Recommendation

Planning Staff and the Planning Board recommend approval of the Swift Creek Tract subdivision with the 8 conditions of approval.

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney
Attachments:

1. Staff report
2. Application
3. Preliminary Plat and Plans Staff Report

This item was tabled at the November 6, 2018 Town Council meeting to allow the developer time to negotiate a buffer along the northwest property line to potentially include existing vegetation and a fence.

At the time this report was written, the two parties have not gotten together and no agreement has been reached.

| Application Number: | S-18-02 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Name: | Swift Creek Tract Preliminary Plat |
| TAX ID number: | $15 I 08020$ |
| NCPin number: | $167400-55-9454$ |
| Town Limits/ETJ: | ETJ |
| Applicant: | Last Investments, LLC |
| Property Owner: | Ira Telfair Ogburn Stewardship Trust |
| Agents: | Lee. R. Hines, Jr., PE |

LOCATION: 1337 Cleveland Road (SE corner of Cleveland Road and Swift Creek Road)

> REQUEST: Last Investments, LLC is requesting a Preliminary Subdivision Plat for Swift Creek Road Tract, a proposed 110 lot single-family detached residential development on a 97.57 acre parcel in the R-20A zoning district.

## SITE/DEVELOPMENT DATA:

Address:
Tax ID:
Acreage:
Present Zoning:
Existing Uses:
Proposed Use:
Fire Protection:
School Impacts:
Parks and Recreation:
Access:
Water Provider:
Sewer Provider:
Electric Provider:

1337 Cleveland Road
15108020
97.57 acres

R-20A District
Agricultural/Residential
Single-family detached residential subdivision
Town of Smithfield
Potentially adding students to the schools.
Fee in lieu of parkland
Swift Creek Road
Town of Smithfield
Individual on-site septic systems
Duke Energy

|  | Zoning | Existing Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North | R20-A Residential/Agriculture | Agriculture |
| South | R20-A Residential/Agriculture | Agriculture |
| East | R20-A Residential/Agriculture | Agriculture |
| West | AR Residential/Agriculture <br> (County Zoning) | Agriculture/Cemetery |

## EXISTING CONDITIONS:

The 97.57 acre tract is currently used for agriculture. The property generally slopes away from Swift Creek and Cleveland Roads towards a riparian area at the southwest property line. In addition, a drainage ditch crosses near the center the property in an east-west direction. A second riparian area exists near the south-eastern corner of the property near Swift Creek Road. The property is mostly open farm field with some wooded area near the edges and in low areas. A small fenced cemetery is shown on the survey about 750 feet south of Cleveland Road on the west property line. Access to the cemetery is shown to be from Cleveland Road over a 30 ft . wide access easement centered on the west property line.

## PRELIMINARY PLAT/PLANS ANALYSIS:

Annexation. The developer is not proposing annexation at this time. Since the initial discussions with the developer, Town investigations resulted in the discovery that the Town of Smithfield is exempt from the $10 \%$ remote annexation rule. This has an impact on the Town's approach to Town annexation policy and access to Town utilities. The Town has the ability to restrict access to Town utilities to only development that request annexation, however in this case, if the Town refuses to provide water service, the County would be willing and able to provide the service.

Unit Type/Density/Lot Size. The applicant is proposing to preliminary plat 110 detached singlefamily residential homes on the 97.57 acre parcel with a gross density of 1.13 units per acre. The lots range in size from 0.46 acres to 1.72 acres in size. The UDO Article 10, Section 10.110.1.4.1.1 requires a minimum lot size of 20,000 sq. ft . ( 0.46 acres) for on-site septic. All the lots meet this requirement. The minimum lot dimensions are 100 ft . x 200 ft . Lots 92 and 93 do not meet the Town's lot requirements. UDO Section 10.108.1.4.3 states that lot size, shape, and location shall be made with due consideration to topographic conditions, contemplated use, and the surrounding area. Staff believes the hook shapes are an issue for surrounding properties. These areas will be difficult to maintain and may not be maintained appropriately so nearly detached from the main parcel. In addition, the County does not enforce nuisance complaints, so the hooks are likely going to be an enforcement issue for the surrounding homeowners. UDO Section 10.108.1.4.6. requires that side lot lines be substantially at right angles or radial to street lines. The hook lots do not conform to this code provision. The minimum frontage for cul-de-sacs is 25 '. All the cul-de-sac lots conform to this requirement.

Environmental. The development property contains a low wetland area on the southwest corner of the property and a blueline stream near the southeast corner of the property. The required 50 ft . riparian buffer is shown on the preliminary plans. There is also an existing ditch crossing the property in a north-south direction with an area of poor draining soils. There are several lots in the development that appear to be located on poorly draining soils that may not be suitable for on-site septic. The applicant has not yet conducted soil borings to verify adequate septic sites for each lot. It is likely that the applicant will lose some lots once the soils investigations are complete.

Cemetery. There is a cemetery on the north edge of the property. Access to the cemetery is shown to be over a 30 ft . wide easement centered on the property line. Planning Staff did receive comments from a member of the Avera family claiming that the 30' access easement was not properly executed. Staff researched the County recorder's files for the easement and could not find a recorded dead of easement. A condition of approval is recommended requiring the developer to provide documentation that there is a legal easement to the cemetery.

Utilities. The development is proposed to be served by Town of Smithfield water with a mastermeter on the County's water line as an out-of-town customer. Each lot will have on-site septic systems to treat sewage (See Environmental above). Electricity will be provided by Duke Progress Energy.

Road Access. The proposed road access to the development is from a single access on Sift Creek Road about 525 feet north of the Swift Creek Road and Cleveland Road intersection. The NCDOT prefers the single entrance to this development because of the safety considerations. NCDOT is conducting a traffic count on Swift Creek Road to determine whether a turn lane will be needed to help mitigate the traffic the future development is expected to generate. An NCDOT access permit will be needed for the road access. If the Town prefers a second entrance, the NCDOT would prefer to have emergency accesses with a gate rather than two accesses for this subdivision. A condition of approval requiring a second access with a crash gate has been added.

Traffic Impact Study. The threshold for a traffic impact study is 800 cars per day. The proposed development is very near that threshold, but none will be required by Planning Staff. The NCDOT is conduction its own traffic counts and will most likely be requiring turn lanes. A traffic impact study is therefore unnecessary.

Streets and Sidewalks. The applicant is proposing 8,031 lineal feet of public street. The road surface will be 20 foot wide public streets with drainage swales and a 5 ft . public sidewalk on one side of the street in a 60 ft . right-of-way consistent with UDO requirements. Five foot sidewalks will also be constructed along Swift Creek and Cleveland Roads.

Lateral Street Access. The preliminary plat when first submitted showed lateral access to both the north and south sides of the plat as required. The lateral access on the south side led to a blue line stream. Staff had this access removed from the plans. The entire south edge of the plat appears to be wet lowland and the adjacent area most likely unbuildable The lateral access to the north will will provide an appropriate access to the adjacent property should it develop in the future. The adjacent property to the north is outside the Town's ETJ.

Park Dedication. According to the UDO, Section 10.112.3, at least one fifty-seventh of an acre ( $1 / 57$ ) shall be dedicated for each dwelling unit planned or provided for in the subdivision plan. Alternatively, the Town can accept a fee in lieu of parkland. There are no Town plans for parks in this area and the applicant is proposing fee in lieu of parkland dedication. The fee in lieu will be due prior to recording the final plat, based on the number of lots within each platted phase.

Open Space. The proposed preliminary plat shows 11.93 acres of open space containing the wetland and mostly within the 100 year flood elevation. The open space will be owned in common by a homeowner's association. An access strip has been provided that is 20 feet wide shown between Lots 25 and 26. The developer has not provided any HOA documents for review by the Town Attorney as required. A condition of approval has been added to the recommendation requiring submittal of HOA documents for Town Attorney review.

Grading and Erosion Control. The applicant has submitted a sediment and erosion control plan with proposed grading. A sediment and erosion control permit will be required from the NCDEQ.

Stormwater Management. The applicant has submitted a stormwater management plan that indicates the development will be exempt from stormwater rention because the impervious area will be under the $15 \%$ impervious threshold. The applicant will be required to purchase nitrogen credits in lieu of managing stormwater quality on site. The individual lots will be limited to $3,803.8 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . of impervious surface (home, driveway, sidewalk, patio and shed, etc.).

Landscaping and Tree Preservation. There are no specific landscaping and tree preservation standards for single family residential development. No landscaping or tree preservation plans have been provided.

Lighting. No lighting plan has been provided. A lighting plan is required complying with the Town's UDO. In this case, Duke will be providing the lighting for the development

Phasing. The developer has not specifically identified a phasing plan, but has indicated that the first phase will likely consist of about 20 lots.

Signs. The applicant has not proposed any subdivision entrance signs. Such signs will require a sign permit prior to construction and will need to comply with the Town of Smithfield Unified Development Ordinance.

## PLANNING BOARD REVIEW:

The Planning Board reviewed the application on October 4th, 2018. The Planning Board expressed concerned about the lack of a phasing plan, subdivision signs and landscaping, and that the development has only one entrance off of Swift Creek Road. The Planning Board recommended approval with eight staff recommended conditions and an additional condition:

- Second driveway be reviewed and investigated If a second entrance is not feasible then a crash gate be investigated.

As stated in this report, Staff checked with the NCDOT about a second entrance and if the Town prefers a second entrance, the NCDOT would prefer to have emergency accesses with a gate rather than two accesses for this subdivision. A condition requiring a second entrance with a crash gate was added in the event the Town Council desires the second access for emergencies.

## PLANNING DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Planning Department recommends the Planning Board recommend approval of the preliminary plat of the Swift Creek Tract (S-18-02) with the following conditions:

1. That the suitability for on-site septic be proven for each lot prior to construction.
2. That the preliminary plat be revised to eliminate the hook lots, Lots 92 and 93.
3. That HOA documents be submitted for review by the Town Attorney prior to final plat approval that ensure HOA ownership and maintenance of common amenities and open space.
4. That a lighting plan be submitted for Town review prior to construction.
5. That a phasing plan be provided.
6. That the applicant obtains a NCDOT Permit for the access to Swift Creek Road prior to construction.
7. That the applicant provides proof of legal access to the cemetery.
8. That the applicant provides an emergency entrance onto Swift Creek Road with a crash gate.

## ACTION REQUESTED:

The Town Council is respectfully requested to review the Preliminary Subdivision Plat application and make decision whether to approve the request with conditions or deny the request.

Town of Smithfield
Planning Department
350 E. Market St Smithfield, NC 27577
P.O. Box 761, Smithfield, NC 27577

Phone 919-934-2116
Fax: 919-934-1134



## INFORMATION TO BE PROVIDED ON PRELIMINARY AND FINAL PLATS.

The preliminary and final plats shall depict or contain the information indicated in the following table. $A n$ " $X$ " indicates that the information is required.

| Information | Preliminary Plat | Final Plot. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vicinity map ( $6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W} \times 4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{H}$ ) showing location of subdivision in relation to neighboring tracts, subdivision, roads, and waterways (to include streets and lots of adjacent developed or platted properties). Also include corporate limits, Town boundaries, county lines if on or near subdivision tract. | $x$ |  |
| Boundaries of tract and portion to be subdivided, including total acreage to be subdivided, distinctly and accurately represented with all bearings and distances shown. | $x$ | X |
| Proposed street layout and right-of-way width, lot layout and size of each lot. Number lots consecutively throughout the subdivision. | X | X |
| Name of proposed subdivision. | $x$ | X |
| Statement from the Johnston County Health Department that a copy of the sketch plan has been submitted to them, if septic tanks or other onsite water or wastewater systems are to be used in the subdivision, AND/OR statement from the County Public Utilities that application has been made for public water and/or sewer permits. | X |  |
| Graphic scale. | X | X |
| North arrow and orientation. | X | X |
| Concurrent with submission of the Preliminary Plat to the Town, the subdivider or planner shall submit copies of the Preliminary Plat and any accompanying material to any other applicable agencies concerned with new development, including, but not limited to: District Highway Engineer, County Board of Education, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, State Department of Natural Resources and Community Development, for review and recommendation. | X |  |
| List the proposed construction sequence. | X |  |
| Storm water plan - see Article 10, Part VI. | X |  |
| Show existing contour lines with no larger than five-foot contour intervals. | X |  |
| New contour lines resulting from earth movement (shown as solid lines) with no larger than five-foot contour intervals (existing lines should be shown as dotted lines). | X |  |
| Survey plat, date(s) survey was conducted and plat prepared, the name, address, phone number, registration number and seal of the Registered Land Surveyor. | $x$ | X |
| Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all owners, mortgagees, land planners, architects, landscape architects and professional engineers responsible for the subdivision (include registration numbers and seals, where applicable). | $x$ | X |
| Date of the drawing(s) and latest revision date(s). | X | X |


| Information | Preliminary Plat | Final Plat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The owner's name(s) of adjoining properties and Zoning District of each parcel within 100' of the proposed site. | X |  |
| State on plans any variance request(s). | X |  |
| Show existing buildings or other structures, water courses, railroads, bridges, culverts, storm drains, both on the land to be subdivided and land immediately adjoining. Show wooded areas, marshes, swamps, rock outcrops, ponds or lakes, streams or stream beds and any other natural features affecting the site. | X |  |
| The exact location of the flood hazard, floodway and floodway fringe areas from the community's FHBM or FIRM maps (FEMA). State the base flood elevation data for subdivision. | X | X |
| Show the minimum building setback lines for each lot. | X | X |
| Provide grading and landscape plans. Proposed plantings or construction of other devices to comply with the screening requirements of Article 10, Part II. | X |  |
| Show location of all proposed entrance or subdivision signage (see Section 10.23.1). | X |  |
| Show pump station detail including any tower, if applicable. | X |  |
| Show area which will not be disturbed of natural vegetation (percentage of total site). | X |  |
| Label all buffer areas, if any, and provide percentage of total site. | X | X |
| Show all riparian buffer areas. | X | X |
| Show all watershed protection and management areas per Article 10, Part VI. | $x$ | X |
| Soil erosion plan. | X |  |
| Show temporary construction access pad. | X |  |
| Outdoor illumination with lighting fixtures and name of electricity provider. | X |  |
| The following data concerning proposed streets: |  |  |
| Streets, labeled by classification (see Town of Smithfield construction standards) and street name showing linear feet, whether curb and gutter or shoulders and swales are to be provided and indicating street paving widths, approximate grades and typical street cross-sections. Private roads in subdivisions shall also be shown and clearly labeled as such. | X | X |
| Traffic signage location and detail. | X |  |
| Design engineering data for all corners and curves. | $x$ | X |
| For office review; a complete site layout, including any future expansion anticipated; horizontal alignment indicating general curve data on site layout plan; vertical alignment indicated by percent grade, PI station and vertical curve length on site plan layout; the District Engineer may require the plotting of the ground profile and grade line for roads where special conditions or problems exist; typical section indicating the pavement design and width and the slopes, widths and details for either the curb and gutter or the shoulder and ditch proposed; drainage facilities and drainage. | X |  |


| Information | Preliminarv Plat | Final Plat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of street dedication; all streets must be designated public. (Where public streets are involved which will be dedicated to the Town, the subdivider must submit all street plans to the UDO Administrator for approval prior to preliminary plat approval). | X | X |
| When streets have been accepted into the municipal or the state system before lots are sold, a statement explaining the status of the street in accordance with the Town of Smithfield construction standards. | X | X |
| If any street is proposed to intersect with a state maintained road, a copy of the application for driveway approval as required by the Department of Transportation, Division of Highways Manual on Driveway Regulations. <br> (1) Evidence that the subdivider has applied for such approval. <br> (2) Evidence that the subdivider has obtained such approval. | $x$ <br> $X$ <br> X |  |
| The location and dimensions of all: |  |  |
| Utility and other easements. | X | X |
| Pedestrian and bicycle paths. | X | X |
| Areas to be dedicated to or reserved for public use. | X | X |
| The future ownership (dedication or reservation for public use to governmental body or for owners to duly constituted homeowners' association) of recreation and open space lands. | X | X |
| Required riparian and stream buffer per Article 10, Part VI. | X | X |
| The site/civil plans for utility layouts including: |  |  |
| Sanitary sewers, invert elevations at manhole (include profiles). | X |  |
| Storm sewers, invert elevations at manhole (include profiles). | X |  |
| Best management practices (BMPs) | X |  |
| Stormwater control structures | X |  |
| Other drainage facilities, if any. | X |  |
| Impervious surface ratios | X |  |
| Water distribution lines, including line sizes, the location of fire hydrants, blow offs, manholes, force mains, and gate valves. | X |  |
| Gas lines. | X |  |
| Telephone lines. | X |  |
| Electric lines. | X |  |
| Plans for individual water supply and sewage disposal systems, if any. | X |  |
| Provide site calculations including: |  |  |
| Acreage in buffering/recreation/open space requirements. | X | X |
| Linear feet in streets and acreage. | X | X |
| The name and location of any property or buildings within the proposed subdivision or within any contiguous property that is located on the US Department of Interior'sNationalRegister of HistoricPlaces. | X | X |


| Information | Preliminary Plat | Final Plat |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sufficient engineering data to determine readily and reproduce on the ground <br> every straight or curved line, street line, lot line, right-of-way line, easement <br> line, and setback line, including dimensions, bearings, or deflection angles, <br> radii, central angles and tangent distance for the center line of curved <br> property lines that is not the boundary line of curved streets. All dimensions <br> shall be measured to the nearest one-tenth of a foot and all angles to the <br> nearest minute. | X | X |
| The accurate locations and descriptions of all monuments, markers, and <br> control points. | X |  |
| Proposed deed restrictions or covenants to be imposed upon newly created <br> lots. Such restrictions are mandatory when private recreation areas are <br> established. Must include statement of compliance with state, local, and <br> federal regulations. | X |  |
| Acopy of the erosion control plan submitted to the Regional Office of NC- <br> DNRCD, when land disturbing activity amounts to one acre or more. | X |  |
| All certifications required in Section 10.117. | X |  |
| Any other informationconsidered by either the subdivider, UDO <br> Administrator, Planning Board, or Town Council to be pertinent to the review <br> of the plat. | X |  |
| Improvements guarantees (see Section 5.8.2.6). |  | X |

## FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

File Number: $\qquad$ Date Submitted: $\qquad$ Date Received: $\qquad$ Amount Paid: $\qquad$

## Project Narrative

As part of a complete application, a written project narrative that provides detailed information regarding your proposal must be included. On a separate sheet of paper, please address each of the lettered items listed below (answers must be submitted in both hard copy and electronic copy using the Adobe .PDF or MS Word .DOCX file formats):
a) A listing of contact information including name(s), address(es) and phone number(s) of: the owner of record, authorized agents or representatives, engineer, surveyor, and any other relevant associates;
b) A listing of the following site data: Address, current zoning, parcel size in acres and square feet, property identification number(s) (PIN), and current legal description(s);
c) A listing of general information including: the proposed name of the subdivision, the number of proposed lots, acreage dedicated for open space or public use, acreage dedicated within rights of way;
d) A narrative explaining the intent of the project and/or your original or revised vision for the finished product;
e) A statement showing the proposed density of the project with the method of calculating said density shown;
f) Discuss proposed infrastructure improvements and phasing thereof (i.e. proposed roadways, sewer systems, water systems, sidewalks/trails, parking, etc.) necessary to serve the subdivision;
g) A narrative addressing concerns/issues raised by neighboring properties (discussing your proposal with the neighboring land owners is recommended to get a sense of what issues may arise as your application is processed);
h) A description of how conflicts with nearby land uses (livability, value, potential future development, etc.) and/or disturbances to wetlands or natural areas are being avoided or mitigated;
i) Provide justification that the proposal will not place an excessive burden on roads (traffic), sewage, water supply, parks, schools, fire, police, or other public facilities/services (including traffic flows) in the area;
j) A description of proposed parks and/or open space. Please include a brief statement on the proposed ownership and maintenance of said areas;
k) A proposed development schedule indicating the approximate date when construction of the project, or stages of the same, can be expected to begin and be completed (including the proposed phasing of construction of public improvements and recreational and common space areas).

## The Swift Creek Road Tract <br> Project Narrative

a) Owner of Record

Ira Telfair Ogburn StewardshipTrust
3900 South Overland Avenue
Springfield, MO 65807

Developer
Scott and Laura Lee
Last Investments, LLC
104 State Avenue, Suite 103
Clayton, NC 27520-5116

Engineer
Lee. R. Hines, Jr., PE
630 Aiken Parkway
Fuquay-Varina, NC. 27526
9194228011

Surveyor
Jerry Ball Land Surveying, PPC
205 South Pollock Street
Selma, NC 27576-3023
919 965-0077
b) 1337 Cleveland Road

Smithfield, NC 27577

R20-A
97.57 Acres (4,250,149.2 sf)

PIN 167400-55-9495
Legal Description
c) The Swift Creek Road Tract

110 lots
Fee is Proposed In Lieu of Open Space
11.14 Acres in Right of Way
d) The Developer proposes a community of 110 single family lots constructed along approximately 8,031 linear feet of street. The subdivision will be served by water provided by the Town of Smithfield and will have sidewalk along one side of the proposed streets, as well as sidewalk on Cleveland School Road and Swift Creek Road.
e) There are 110 lots proposed on the 97.57 acre parcel, which is a yield of approximately 1.13 units per acre.

## The Swift Creek Road Tract Project Narrative

f) The Developer proposes approximately 8,031 If of streets, 8,071 If of waterline, and individual septic tanks permitted by Johnston County. Concrete sidewalks five feet in width are proposed on one side of each roadway to help accommodate pedestrians within the subdivision. The Developer may elect to do a small first phase of approximately twenty lots.
g) There are no known concerns or issues with neighboring property owners.
h) Stub streets have been provided to adjacent properties to the north and south that appear to be suitable for future development. There are no conflicts expected with nearby land use. Impact to the riparian buffers and wetlands are avoided in this development by extending the right of way line to the adjacent property, but stopping the actual street short of these areas.
i) There is not a driveway access proposed on Cleveland School Road so that traffic impacts on that route can be minimized. The DOT is obtaining current traffic counts on Swift Creek Road to determine if a turn lane will be necessary for the proposed driveway access to help mitigate the traffic the site is expected to generate. The Engineer has been in contact with the Department of Transportation to discuss the safest location for the proposed driveway. It was also determined that there is not a very safe location for an additional driveway access along Swift Creek Road, so the site will be served by one driveway. It is not expected that a subdivision of this size will place an excessive burden on water supply, parks, schools, police, or other public facilities in the area.
j) A fee is proposed in lieu of open space because there are no areas that lend themselves to either active or passive activities.
k) The Developer proposes to begin construction in the fall of 2018 and should complete it in the spring of 2019.

## Storm Water Permit Application

Development/Site Name: SWIFT CREEK TRACT

Owner/Developer Name:
LAST INVESTMENTS, LC
Address:
104 STATE AVENUE, SUITE 103 CLAYTON, NC 27520

Phone: $\qquad$
Fax: $\qquad$
Email: scott coffle homes.com
Contact Person: $\frac{\mathrm{SCOTT}}{}$
No. of acres to be disturbed: $\qquad$
Type of Development: (circle one)


No. of acres in development: 97.57
Fee
$\$ 30 /$ acre $(\$ 500$ minimum $)$
$\$ 75 /$ acre $(\$ 500$ minimum $)$
I hereby certify that all information contained within this Storm Water Management application is accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and conforms to the Town of Smithfield's Storm Water Management Ordinance and storm water design criteria. The Town of Smithfield has the right to inspect all storm water facilities on this site.

> SCOTT LEE


I assume responsibility for inspections, maintenance an operation of all storm water facilities/Best Management Practices in accordance with the Inspection and Maintenance Agreement enclosed and with the Storm Water Management Permit.

## SCOTT LEE



Acting as an agent for: $\qquad$
*Note: Responsibility for the continued operation and maintenance of the storm water facilities can be assumed from the developer by an individual landowner or Home Owner's Association. In the event that a Home Owner's Association assumes responsibility, the signature shall be of an individual acting as an agent for the Home Owner's Association.

Submit the completed application along with detailed plans, Inspection and Maintenance Agreement, easements, supporting design information and the associated fee to:

```
Storm Water Administrator, Town of Smithfield PO Box 761
230 Hospital Road Smithfield, NC 27577
```

If you have any questions or need more information, contact the SW Administrator at 919-934-2545.

## $f$ the

esid

## FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY/OWNERSHIP FORM SEDIMENTATION POLLUTION CONTROL ACT

No person may initiate any land-disturbing activity on one or more acres as covered by the Act before this form and an acceptable erosion and sedimentation control plan have been completed and approved by the Land Quality Section, N.C. Department of Environment and Natural Resources. (Please type or print and, if the question is not applicable or the e-mail and/or fax information unavailable, place N/A in the blank.)

## Part A.

1. Project Name: Swift Creek Road Tract
2. Location of land-disturbing activity: County: Johnston City or Township: Hectors Creek

Highway/Street: SR 1010 (Cleveland Sch Rd) Latitude: 35.524 Longitude: $\underline{\underline{-78.406}}$
3. Approximate date land-disturbing activity will commence: October 2018
4. Purpose of development (residential, commercial, industrial, institutional, etc.): Residential
5. Total acreage disturbed or uncovered (including off-site borrow and waste areas): 17.3 acres
6. Amount of fee enclosed: $\$ 1,170.00$ The application fee of $\$ 65.00$ per acre (rounded up to the next acre) is assessed without a ceiling amount. (Example: a 9 -acre application fee is $\$ 585$ ).
7. Has an erosion and sediment control plan been filed? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Enclosed: $\quad \mathrm{X}$
8. Person to contact should erosion and sediment control issues arise during land-disturbing activity: Name: Scott Lee E-mail Address: scott@scottleehomes.com

Telephone: $\underline{\text { (919) 553-2085 }}$ Cell: $\underline{\text { (919) 369-1937 } \quad \text { Fax: (919) }}$
9. Landowner(s) of Record (attach accompanied page to list additional owners):

| Ira Telfair Ogburn Stewardship Trust |  |  | $\frac{(1)}{\text { Telephone }}$ |  | Fax |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3900 South Overland Avenue |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current Mailing Address |  |  | Current Street Address |  |  |  |
| Springfield | MO | 65807 |  |  |  |  |
| City | State | Z.ip | City | State |  | Zip |

10. Deed Book No.: _3678 Page No.: _ 775 - 777 Provide a copy of the most current deed.

## Part B.

1. Person(s) or firm(s) who are financially responsible for the land-disturbing activity (Provide a comprehensive list of all responsible parties on an attached sheet):

LAST Investments, LLC. Name

104 State Avenue. Suite 103
Current Mailing Address

| Clayton | NC | 27520 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| City | State | Zip |

Telephone: (919) 553-2085
scott@scottleehomes.com
E-mail Address
104 State Avenue. Suite 103

| Current Street Address |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Clayton | NC | 27520 |
| City | State | Zip |

Fax (919)

August 23, 2018
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality
217 W Jones Street
Raleigh, NC 27603
RE: 1337 Cleveland Road, Smithfield, NC - Permission
To Whom It May Concern:
Assemblies of God Foundation, as Trustee of the Ira T. and Debbie W. Ogburn Charitable Remainder Unitrust \#2, and owner of the property referenced above, does hereby provide this letter of consent to North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality. The intent of this letter is to grant to Last Investments, LLC, as potential purchaser of the property referenced above, rights to pursue permitting the land disturbance on the property to pursue due diligence and other inspections before closing of the purchase of the above referenced property.



## AGREEMENT

- I, the undersigned property owner, request access and permission to construct driveway(s) or street(s) on public right-of-way at the above location.
- I agree to construct and maintain driveway(s) or street entrance(s) in absolute conformance with the current "Policy on Street and Driveway Access to North Carolina Highways" as adopted by the North Carolina Department of Transportation.
- I agree that no signs or objects will be placed on or over the public right-of-way other than those approved by NCDOT.
- I agree that the driveway(s) or street(s) will be constructed as shown on the attached plans.
- I agree that that driveway(s) or street(s) as used in this agreement include any approach tapers, storage lanes or speed change lanes as deemed necessary.
- I agree that if any future improvements to the roadway become necessary, the portion of driveway(s) or street(s) located on public right-of-way will be considered the property of the North Carolina Department of Transportation, and I will not be entitled to reimbursement or have any claim for present expenditures for driveway or street construction.
- I agree that this permit becomes void if construction of driveway(s) or street(s) is not completed within the time specified by the "Policy on Street and Driveway Access to North Carolina Highways".
- I agree to pay a $\$ 50$ construction inspection fee. Make checks payable to NCDOT. This fee will be reimbursed if application is denied.
- I agree to construct and maintain the driveway(s) or street(s) in a safe manner so as not to interfere with or endanger the public travel.
- I agree to provide during and following construction proper signs, signal lights, flaggers and other warning devices for the protection of traffic in conformance with the current "Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways" and Amendments or Supplements thereto. Information as to the above rules and regulations may be obtained from the District Engineer.
- I agree to indemnify and save harmless the North Carolina Department of Transportation from all damages and claims for damage that may arise by reason of this construction.
- I agree that the North Carolina Department of Transportation will assume no responsibility for any damages that may be caused to such facilities, within the highway right-of-way limits, in carrying out its construction.
- I agree to provide a Performance and Indemnity Bond in the amount specified by the Division of Highways for any construction proposed on the State Highway system.
- The granting of this permit is subject to the regulatory powers of the NC Department of Transportation as provided by law and as set forth in the N.C. Policy on Driveways and shall not be construed as a contract access point.
- I agree that the entire cost of constructing and maintaining an approved private street or driveway access connection and conditions of this permit will be borne by the property owner, the applicant, and their grantees, successors, and assignees.
- I AGREE TO NOTIFY THE DISTRICT ENGINEER WHEN THE PROPOSED WORK BEGINS AND WHEN IT IS COMPLETED.



# JOHNSTON COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SUBIIVISION APPLICATION <br> 309 East Market, Smithfield, NC 27577 <br> Phone: 919-989-5180 

Fax: 919-989-5190

## Application and Plan Submittal

A completed application, fee, and three (3) folded, 18x24 or larger, copies of subdivision plans shall be submitted to the Johnston County Environmental Health ()ffice. The application packet may be submitted in person, by U.S. Mail, FedEx, UPS or another mail or courier service. If the application is incomplete, the entire package will be returned with a list of deficiencies.

## APPLICANT INFORMATION

## Date Submitted:

Subdivision Name: Swift Creek Road Tract
Property ()wner Name: Ira Telfair Ogburn Stewardship Trust Phone: $\qquad$
Developer Name: LAST Investments, LLC Phone: 9195532085
Surveyor/Engineer: Lee R. Hines, Jr., PE Phone: 9194228011
Email: richiehinespe@nc.rr.com
Fax: $\qquad$

## SITE DATA

Location: SR 1010 (Cleveland School Road) and SR 1501 (Swift Creek Road), Hectors Creek Township

> (State Road \& Number, Township)

Tax ID: 15108020
Total Acreage: 97.57 acres
Number of Lots: 110
County/City Minimum Building Serbacks:
Front- 30 Ft Side-_10__Ft Rear-25_Ft
()ther Setbacks: $\qquad$
Are (Open Space, Wetlands \& Buffers Areas Delineated? Yes
Intended Uise: 3 Bedroom Single-Family Dwellings 110 ()ther $\qquad$ (Explain: _)
Type of Water Supply: Town of Smithfield
On-Site Sewage Disposal Systems: - Conventional

## SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

1. Completed Application
2. Fee: (Number of Lots $\mathrm{x} \$ 100.00$ per Lot)
3. Three (3) Folded $18 \times 24$ (or larger) copies of the Preliminary Plat (with metes \& bounds, parcel area, location of proposed home site)
4. Soils Report from LSS, if available.
5. Submit any preliminary soil/site plans to assist in the evaluation
6. Stormwater Statement

All owners/agents of property to be considered for this application must sign below this statement indicating their knowledge and agreement that all of - or a portion of - their property is being considered for potential development. Property owners/agents will allow County staff access to the property while conducting the review of this proposal development.


## Staff Use Only: FEE:

LEE R. HINES, JR., PE
Civil Engineering
Land Planning \& Development
Project Management

630 Aiken Parkway
Fuquay-Varina, NOC\& PLSE6 SMITHFIELD
Phone 919•422 8011
Email richiehinespe@nc.rr.com

September 6, 2018

Mr. Stephen Wensman, AICP/RLA, Planning Director


LAST INVESTMENTS, LLC
$\qquad$
one $828-18$
Pay m Town of Smithrield

$\square$
LAST INVESTMENTS, EEC
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Request for Town Council Action

# Subject: Non-contiguous Annexation Petition <br> Department: Planning \& Administration <br> Presented by: Planning Director - Stephen Wensman <br> Presentation: Business Item 

## Issue Statement

The applicant, Navaho Investment Company, LLC, is requesting to annex approximately 32.79 acres of land into the Town of Smithfield's corporate limits. The property considered for annexation on Galilee Road about 1900 feet south of Black Creek Road, near West Smithfield Elementary School. The property is partially within the ETJ and partially outside.

## Financial Impact

Approving the annexation would increase the tax base

## Action Needed

The Town Council is asked to consider adoption of Ordinance \#497 extending the Corporate Limits of the Town of Smithfield. This can be done immediately or within six months.

## Recommendation

Consider adopting Ordinance \#497 Extending the Corporate Limits of the Town of Smithfield

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. North Carolina General Statutes
3. Ordinance \#497
4. Preliminary Plat
5. Legal Advertisement

Staff Report

Petitioner: Navaho Investment Company, LLC
Acreage: 32.79 acres ( 21.26 in Johnston County and 11.53 acres in ETJ)
Existing Zoning: AR and R20-A
Property ID: 15I09011B

The Town of Smithfield received a petition from Navaho Investment Company, LLC. to annex approximately 32.79 acres on Galilee Road. The applicant is also requesting Town water and sewer services.

At the September 4, 2018 meeting, the Town Council passed Resolution \# (625) 12-2018 directing the Town Clerk to investigate the sufficiency of the petition should the Council wish to move forward with the annexation of the property into the corporate Town limits. The Town Clerk has investigated the petition and has determined it to be valid.

At the October 3, 2018 meeting, the Town Council approved Resolution \# (628) 15-2018 setting the date for the Public Hearing to be held on November 6, 2018

On November 6, 2018, the Town Council held a public hearing and tabled the item to the December 4, 2018 Council meeting in order for Staff to better quantify the cost-benefit of annexation and to clarify the nonconformities that come with annexation of an approved preliminary plat on the County's portion of the property.

Pursuant to NCGS 160A-58.2, the Town Council accepted public comments and is required to consider adopting Ordinance \# 497 extending the Corporate Limits of the Town of Smithfield. The Ordinance may be adopted immediately or within six months.

The Town Council has three options:

1. Approve the noncontiguous annexation petition and adopt Ordinance \#497
2. Table the decision for no longer than 6 months
3. Deny the annexation petition

## ANALYSIS:

The 32.79 acre parcel with the Johnston County Property ID\# 15I09011B received preliminary plat approval by the Johnston County Board of Commissioners around 20072008. The development of the property did not move forward due to the economic recession at that time and the plat became void. Since then, the Town of Smithfield's ETJ was expanded to include the 11.53 acre portion of the property and was rezoned to R-20A. The remainder of the property, 21.26 acres, remains in the County's jurisdiction with the zoning designation AR. In 2018, the portion of the property located in the County was preliminary platted into 62 lots meeting the County's zoning and subdivision standards. The applicant intends to develop the entire property after annexation into the Town and rezoning of the 21.26 acres in the County's jurisdiction to R20A. The ETJ portion of the future development may yield an additional 20 lots, for a total of $+/-82$ lots.

Utilities. The Town of Smithfield has first right to refuse utility service in the ET) (water and sewer). Staff met with Johnston County Utilities to discuss the proposed development and the County requested that if the town is going to provide utilities to the development in the ETJ, it should also provide the utilities to the entire development. As proposed, the Town will provide water and sewer to the development. A pump station will be constructed by the developer which will pump to the County's gravity main along Galilee Road by the West Smithfield Elementary School. A master meter would be installed on the force main from the new site to the County facilities for bulk billing purposes. If the annexation petition is denied, or the Town does not provide utility service, the County would provide the water and sewer to the development. Electricity will be provided by Duke Energy.

Vested Rights. The area proposed for rezoning, if annexed, will be annexed with preliminary plat entitlements. The preliminary plat conforms to the County's AR district zoning and the Johnston County subdivision regulations. The roads are proposed as a rural section with 20 ft . wide paved roads and ditches within a 50 ft . right-of-way which conform to the Town's standards. If annexed, the development will be nonconforming as follows:

- No sidewalks are proposed. The Town's regulations require sidewalks on one side of each residential street. The rural road section with ditches makes the construction of sidewalks difficult.
- The average lot size is $8,310 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . with the smallest lot being around $7,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft . The Town's minimum lot size is $15,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.
- The setbacks are 20 feet on the front and 5 feet on the side. The Town requires 30 feet setback on the front and 10 feet on the side.

Town Services. The Town Police Department and Public Works have indicated that they are able to service the area if annexed into the Town without adding additional staff or much additional cost. The area is already within the Town's fire district.

Public Works sanitation revenue will be $\$ 22,042$ assuming 70 new units (conservative number). The first year costs will be $\$ 18,000$ for new roll outs, resulting in positive revenue of $\$ 4,042$. After the first year, the expense will decrease to $\$ 13,000$ and resultant positive revenue of $\$ 9,042$. Costs are based on:

- Annual tipping fees (@\$37 per ton) $=\$ 6500$.
- Additional fuel $=\$ 6500$.
- 70 roll out containers $(@ \$ 70$ each $)=\$ 5,000$.

Annexation would cost the Police Department an additional 300 gallons of fuel at a cost of roughly $\$ 750$, plus additional wear on the patrol cars. This amount can be absorbed under the current budget.

## ACTION REQUESTED:

The Town Council has three options:

1. Approve the noncontiguous annexation petition and adopt Ordinance \#497
2. Table the decision for no longer than 6 months
3. Deny the annexation petition

## North Carolina General Statutes

Part 4. Annexation of Noncontiguous Areas.

## $\S 160 \mathrm{~A}-58$. Definitions.

The words and phrases defined in this section have the meanings indicated when used in this Part unless the context clearly requires another meaning:
(1) "City" means any city, town, or village without regard to population, except cities not qualified to receive gasoline tax allocations under G.S. 136-41.2.
(2) "Primary corporate limits" means the corporate limits of a city as defined in its charter, enlarged or diminished by subsequent annexations or exclusions of contiguous territory pursuant to Parts 1, 2, and 3 of this Article or local acts of the General Assembly.
(3) "Satellite corporate limits" means the corporate limits of a noncontiguous area annexed pursuant to this Part or a local act authorizing or effecting noncontiguous annexations. (1973, c. 1173, s. 2.)

## § 160A-58.1. Petition for annexation; standards.

(a) Upon receipt of a valid petition signed by all of the owners of real property in the area described therein, a city may annex an area not contiguous to its primary corporate limits when the area meets the standards set out in subsection (b) of this section. The petition need not be signed by the owners of real property that is wholly exempt from property taxation under the Constitution and laws of North Carolina, nor by railroad companies, public utilities as defined in G.S. 62-3(23), or electric or telephone membership corporations. A petition is not valid in any of the following circumstances:
(1) It is unsigned.
(2) It is signed by the city for the annexation of property the city does not own or have a legal interest in. For the purpose of this subdivision, a city has no legal interest in a State-maintained street unless it owns the underlying fee and not just an easement.
(3) It is for the annexation of property for which a signature is not required and the property owner objects to the annexation.
(b) A noncontiguous area proposed for annexation must meet all of the following standards:
(1) The nearest point on the proposed satellite corporate limits must be not more than three miles from the primary corporate limits of the annexing city.
(2) No point on the proposed satellite corporate limits may be closer to the primary corporate limits of another city than to the primary corporate limits of the annexing city, except as set forth in subsection (b2) of this section.
(3) The area must be so situated that the annexing city will be able to provide the same services within the proposed satellite corporate limits that it provides within its primary corporate limits.
If the area proposed for annexation, or any portion thereof, is a subdivision as defined in G.S. 160A-376, all of the subdivision must be included.
(5) The area within the proposed satellite corporate limits, when added to the area within all other satellite corporate limits, may not exceed ten percent $(10 \%)$ of the area within the primary corporate limits of the annexing city.

This subdivision does not apply to the Cities of Belmont, Claremont, Concord, Conover, Durham, Elizabeth City, Gastonia, Greenville, Hickory, Kannapolis, Locust, Marion, Mount Airy, Mount Holly, New Bern, Newton, Oxford, Randleman, Roanoke Rapids, Rockingham, Sanford, Salisbury, Southport, Statesville, and Washington and the Towns of Ahoskie, Angier, Apex, Ayden, Benson, Bladenboro, Bridgeton, Burgaw, Calabash, Catawba, Clayton, Columbia, Columbus, Cramerton, Creswell, Dallas, Dobson, Four Oaks, Franklin, Fuquay-Varina, Garner, Godwin, Granite Quarry, Green Level, Grimesland, Harrisburg, Holly Ridge, Holly Springs, Hookerton, Hope Mills, Huntersville, Jamestown, Kenansville, Kenly, Knightdale, Landis, Leland, Lillington, Louisburg, Maggie Valley, Maiden, Mayodan, Maysville, Middlesex, Midland, Mocksville, Morrisville, Mount Pleasant, Nashville, Oak Island, Ocean Isle Beach, Pembroke, Pine Level, Princeton, Ranlo, Richlands, Rolesville, Rutherfordton, Shallotte, Siler City, Smithfield, Spencer, Spring Lake, Stem, Stovall, Surf City, Swansboro, Taylorsville, Troutman, Troy, Wallace, Warsaw, Watha, Waynesville, Weldon, Wendell, Wilson's Mills, Windsor, Yadkinville, and Zebulon.
(b1) Repealed by Session Laws 2004-203, ss. 13(a) and 13(d), effective August 17, 2004.
(b2) A city may annex a noncontiguous area that does not meet the standard set out in subdivision (b)(2) of this section if the city has entered into an annexation agreement pursuant to Part 6 of this Article with the city to which a point on the proposed satellite corporate limits is closer and the agreement states that the other city will not annex the area but does not say that the annexing city will not annex the area. The annexing city shall comply with all other requirements of this section.
(c) The petition shall contain the names, addresses, and signatures of all owners of real property within the proposed satellite corporate limits (except owners not required to sign by subsection (a)), shall describe the area proposed for annexation by metes and bounds, and shall have attached thereto a map showing the area proposed for annexation
with relation to the primary corporate limits of the annexing city. When there is any substantial question as to whether the area may be closer to another city than to the annexing city, the map shall also show the area proposed for annexation with relation to the primary corporate limits of the other city. The city council may prescribe the form of the petition.
(d) A city council which receives a petition for annexation under this section may by ordinance require that the petitioners file a signed statement declaring whether or not vested rights with respect to the properties subject to the petition have been established under G.S. 160A-385.1 or G.S. 153A-344.1. If the statement declares that such rights have been established, the city may require petitioners to provide proof of such rights. A statement which declares that no vested rights have been established under G.S. 160A385.1 or G.S. $153 \mathrm{~A}-344.1$ shall be binding on the landowner and any such vested rights shall be terminated. (1973, c. 1173, s. 2; 1989 (Reg. Sess., 1990), c. 996, s. 4; 1997-2, s. 1; 2001-37, s. 1; 2001-72, s. 1; 2001-438, s. 1; 2002-121, s. 1; 2003-30, s. 1; 2004-203, s. 13(a), (c); 2004-57, s. $1 ; 2004-99$, s. $1 ; 2004-203$, ss. 13(a)-(d); 2005-52, s. 1; 2005-71, s. $1 ; 2005-79$, s. $1 ; 2005-173$, s. $1 ; 2005-433$, s. $9 ; 2006-62$, s. $1 ; 2006-122$, s. $1 ; 2006-130$, s. $1 ; 2007-17$, s. $1 ; 2007-26$, ss. $1,2(a) ; 2007-62$, s. $1 ; 2007-225$, s. $1 ; 2007-311$, s. 1 ; 2007-342, s. $1 ; 2008-24$, s. $1 ; 2008-30$, s. $1 ; 2009-40$, s. $2 ; 2009-53$, s. $1 ; 2009-111$, s. 1 ; 2009-156, s. 1; 2009-298, s. 1; 2009-323, s. 1; 2011-57, s. 1; 2012-96, s. 1; 2013-248, s. 1 ; 2014-30, s. 2(a); 2015-80, s. 1; 2015-81, s. 2(a); 2015-172, s. 2; 2016-48, s. 2.)

## § 160A-58.2. Public hearing.

Upon receipt of a petition for annexation under this Part, the city council shall cause the city clerk to investigate the petition, and to certify the results of his investigation. If the clerk certifies that upon investigation the petition appears to be valid, the council shall fix a date for a public hearing on the annexation. Notice of the hearing shall be published once at least 10 days before the date of hearing.

At the hearing, any person residing in or owning property in the area proposed for annexation and any resident of the annexing city may appear and be heard on the questions of the sufficiency of the petition and the desirability of the annexation. If the council then finds and determines that (i) the area described in the petition meets all of the standards set out in G.S. 160A-58.1(b), (ii) the petition bears the signatures of all of the owners of real property within the area proposed for annexation (except those not required to sign by G.S. 160A-58.1(a)), (iii) the petition is otherwise valid, and (iv) the public health, safety and welfare of the inhabitants of the city and of the area proposed for annexation will be best served by the annexation, the council may adopt an ordinance annexing the area described in the petition. The ordinance may be made effective immediately or on any specified date within six months from the date of passage. (1973, c. 1173, s. 2.)

## ORDINANCE \#497 TO EXTEND THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE

## TOWN OF SMITHFIELD, NORTH CAROLINA

WHEREAS, the Town Council has been petitioned under G.S. 160A-58.1 to annex the area described below; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council has by resolution directed the Town Clerk to investigate the sufficiency of the petition; and

WHEREAS, the Town Clerk has certified the sufficiency of the petition and a public hearing on the question of this annexation was held in the Council Chambers of the Smithfield Town Hall at 7:00 pm on November 6, 2018 after due notice by publication in the Zone Edition of the News and Observer on October 26, 2018; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council finds that the area described therein meets the standards of G.S. 160A-58.1 (b), to wit:
a. The nearest point on the proposed satellite corporate limits is not more than three (3) miles from the corporate limits of the Town;
b. No point on the proposed satellite corporate limits is closer to another municipality than to the Town [or indicate that, although closer to another municipality, there is an annexation agreement in place that allows the annexation of the propose satellite];
c. The area described is so situated that the Town will be able to provide the same services within the proposed satellite corporate limits that it provides within the primary corporate limits;
d. No subdivision, as defined in G.S. 160A-376, will be fragmented by this proposed annexation;
e. By virtue of an act of the General Assembly, The Town of Smithfield is exempt from exceeding ten percent $(10 \%)$ of the area within the primary corporate limits of the Town, and

WHEREAS, the Town Council further finds that the petition has been signed by all the owners of the real property in the area who are required by law to sign; and

WHEREAS, the Town Council further finds that the petition is otherwise valid, and that the public health, safety and welfare of the Town and of the area proposed for annexation will be best served by annexing the area described;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the Town Council of the Town of Smithfield, North Carolina that:

Section 1. By virtue of the authority granted by G.S. 160A-58.2, the following described non-contiguous territory is hereby annexed and made part of the Town of Smithfield, as of (effective date):

Lying and being situated in Johnston County, North Carolina and being more particularly described as follows:

Being that certain tract of land in Smithfield, Johnston County, North Carolina and lying between Black Creek Road (N.C.S.R. 1162) and Galilee Road (N.C.S.R. 1341) and being Tract " 1 " recorded in Deed Book 3276, Page 267 at the Johnston County Registry and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at an existing railroad spike in the center of Galilee Rd (N.C.S.R. 1341); thence leaving said road South $74^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West 741.84 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $74^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West 456.07 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $01^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West 368.00 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $62^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ West 37.26 feet to a point; thence North $22^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West 55.38 feet to a point; thence North $52^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ West 69.91 feet to a point; thence North $58^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West 91.28 feet to a point; thence North $49^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West 117.07 feet to a point; thence North $58^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ West 47.73 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $67^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West 143.13 feet to a point; thence North $64^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ West 139.41 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $68^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ West 84.32 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $79^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West 61.67 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $86^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ West 201.99 feet to a point; thence North $79^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ West 55.94 feet to a point, thence North $09^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ East 19.11 feet to a point; thence North $80^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ West 20.73 feet to a point; thence North $78^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West 246.89 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $40^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West 168.02 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $66^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ West 242.10 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $25^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ West 86.30 feet to an existing railroad spike in the center of Black Creek Road (N.C.S.R. 1162); thence with the center of said road South $64^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 210.91 feet to an existing railroad spike; thence leaving said road South $72^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East 551.61 feet to an existing railroad spike in tree; thence South $44^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East 1415.74 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $24^{\circ} 37$ ' 51 " East 247.53 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $58^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East 223.26 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $58^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ East 90.52 feet to a point in creek; thence along said creek North $00^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West 242.70 feet; thence North $02^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West 266.80; thence North $28^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West 64.94 feet; thence North $10^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ " West 93.95 feet; thence North $08^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West 39.95 feet; thence leaving said creek North $35^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East 142.44 to a point; thence North $74^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ East 1090.63 feet to an existing railroad spike in Galilee Road (N.C.S.R. 1341); thence along and with said road North $01^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ East 392.24 feet to the point and place of beginning and being a total of 33.01 acres to be annexed, of which 0.13 acres lies within the right-of-way of Black Creek Road (N.C.S.R. 1162) and 0.27 acres lies within the right-of-way of Galilee Road (N.C.S.R. 1341).

Section 2. Upon and after (effective date), the above described territory and its citizens and property shall be subject to all debts, laws, ordinances, and regulations in force in the Town of Smithfield and shall be entitled to the same privileges and benefits as other parts of the Town of Smithfield. Said territory shall be subject to municipal taxes according to G.S. 160A-58.10.

Section 3. The Mayor of the Town of Smithfield shall cause to be recorded in the office of the Register of Deeds of Johnston County, and in the office of the Secretary of State at Raleigh, North Carolina, an accurate map of the annexed territory, described in Section 1 above, together with a duly certified copy this ordinance. Such a map shall also be delivered to the Town Board of Elections, as required by G.S. 163-288.1.

Section 4. Notice of adoption of this ordinance shall be published once, following the effective date of annexation, in a newspaper having general circulation in the Town of Smithfield.

Adopted this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2 $\qquad$ .

M. Andy Moore, Mayor

ATTEST

Shannan L. Parrish, Town Clerk



# TOWN OF SMITHFIELD NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A REQUEST FOR NONCONTIGUOUS ANNEXATION 

The public will take notice that the Town Council of the Town of Smithfield has called a public hearing at 7:00 pm on Tuesday, November 6, 2018 in the Town Hall Council Chambers located at 350 East Market Street, Smithfield, North Carolina on the question of annexing the following described noncontiguous territory, requested by petition filed pursuant to NCGS 160A-58.1:

## Deed Description for Annexation

Lying and being situated in Johnston County, North Carolina and being more particularly described as follows:

Being that certain tract of land in Smithfield, Johnston County, North Carolina and lying between Black Creek Road (N.C.S.R. 1162) and Galilee Road (N.C.S.R. 1341) and being Tract " 1 " recorded in Deed Book 3276, Page 267 at the Johnston County Registry and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at an existing railroad spike in the center of Galilee Rd (N.C.S.R. 1341); thence leaving said road South $74^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ ' West 741.84 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $74^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 51^{\prime \prime}$ West 456.07 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $01^{\circ} 41^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West 368.00 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $62^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime}$ West 37.26 feet to a point; thence North $22^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ West 55.38 feet to a point; thence North $52^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ West 69.91 feet to a point; thence North $58^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ West 91.28 feet to a point; thence North $49^{\circ} 33^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West 117.07 feet to a point; thence North $58^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 01^{\prime \prime}$ " West 47.73 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $67^{\circ} 32^{\prime} 42^{\prime \prime}$ West 143.13 feet to a point; thence North $64^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ West 139.41 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $68^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ West 84.32 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $79^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West 61.67 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $86^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ West 201.99 feet to a point; thence North $79^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 02^{\prime \prime}$ West 55.94 feet to a point, thence North $09^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ East 19.11 feet to a point; thence North $80^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ West 20.73 feet to a point; thence North $78^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West 246.89 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $40^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West 168.02 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $66^{\circ} 46^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ West 242.10 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $25^{\circ} 17^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$ West 86.30 feet to an existing railroad spike in the center of Black Creek Road (N.C.S.R. 1162); thence with the center of said road South $64^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 210.91 feet to an existing railroad spike; thence leaving said road South $72^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 45^{\prime \prime}$ East 551.61 feet to an existing railroad spike in tree; thence South $44^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East 1415.74 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence South $24^{\circ} 37{ }^{\prime} 51$ " East 247.53 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $58^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ East 223.26 feet to an existing iron pipe; thence North $58^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 04^{\prime \prime}$ East 90.52 feet to a point in creek; thence along said creek North $00^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 10^{\prime \prime}$ West 242.70 feet; thence North $02^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West 266.80 ; thence North $28^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ West 64.94 feet; thence North $10^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ West 93.95 feet; thence North $08^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West 39.95 feet; thence leaving said creek North $35^{\circ} 19^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime}$ East 142.44 to a point; thence North $74^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime}$ East
1090.63 feet to an existing railroad spike in Galilee Road (N.C.S.R. 1341); thence along and with said road North $01^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime}$ East 392.24 feet to the point and place of beginning and being a total of 33.01 acres to be annexed, of which 0.13 acres lies within the right-of-way of Black Creek Road (N.C.S.R. 1162) and 0.27 acres lies within the right-of-way of Galilee Road (N.C.S.R. 1341).

All interested persons are invited to attend or submit written comments or suggestions to the Town of Smithfield, P.O. Box 761, Smithfield, NC 27577.

Please run in the N \& O Zone Edition on Friday, October 26, 2018

## Request for Town Council Action

Subject: Request to sell real property
Department: General Government
Presented by: Mike Scott, Town Manager
Presentation: Business Item

## Issue Statement

During the August, 2018 Town Council Meeting the Town Council agreed by resolution to begin the process of selling the empty lot located at 916 Third Avenue, Smithfield NC in an upset bid process. This action form is intended to further this process.

## Financial Impact

Revenue generated for the sale of the lot through an upset bid process. Final bid amount of \$6,500.

## Action Needed

Approve the final bid of $\$ 6,500$ and authorize the Town Attorney to proceed with the sale.

## Recommendation

Approve the final bid of $\$ 6,500$ and authorize the Town attorney to proceed with the sale.

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\downarrow$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Description of Property


## Business <br> Agenda

The Town is being asked to sell the empty lot at 916 Third Avenue. The Town acquired the lot several years ago following what appears to be a condemnation. The house that originally was located on the property has been removed and the lot is currently empty and requires normal maintenance from town staff such as mowing. Under NC G.S. 160A-268, $269, \& 270$, the Town can sell real property through the method of sealed bids, upset bids, or public auction. The lot currently provides no service to the Town of Smithfield and appears it would be better used if owned by a private citizen. A beginning bid of $\$ 6,500$ was approved by the Council during the November 6, 2018 meeting. Proper protocols were followed in regards to NC G.S. 160A-268, as approved by our Town Attorney. No other bids were received. Town staff is recommending the sale of the lot to the sole bidder for $\$ 6,500$. Tax value for the lot is about $\$ 10,200$. The Council may, at is option, refuse all bids.


Vicinity Map for 816 Third Avenue S mithield NC

## Google Maps 916 3rd Ave



Subject: Purchase of A New Tractor
Department: Public Utilities
Presented by: Ted Credle
Presentation: Business Item

## Issue Statement

The purchase Electric Department has a skid steer for heavy trees \& thick brush; but this purchase would enable the cutting \& clearing of easements too thick for a finished mower; but, not needing the power of the skid steer.

## Financial Impact

Funds for this purchase will be taken from the savings realized form the Bucket truck purchase. Savings from that purchase exceed \$60,000; which is larger than the requested purchase. This was a planned expenditure in the 10 year Capital Improvement Plan

## Action Needed

Approve the purchase of the tractor

## Recommendation

Staff recommends the approval of the tractor from Winterville Dealership for the quoted price of $\$ 44,542.51$

Approved: $\downarrow$ Town Manager $\square$ Town Attorney

Attachments:

1. Staff Report
2. Price quote/documentation from John Deere factory direct $(\$ 45,497.84)$
3. Price quote/documentation from Winterville Dealership ( $\$ 44,542.51$ )
4. Price quote/documentation from Smithfield Dealership (\$50,152.22)
5. Excerpt of the adopted Capital Improvement Plan

Staff Report

The Utilities Department maintains much of the power line right-of-ways. In doing this, they use various equipment to cut grass and clear small trees \& shrubs. The Electric Division is requesting the purchase of a tractor \& cutting sled to perform this operation. Although this was not part of the approved budget, the opportunity to purchase this equipment became available when savings were realized while ordering the approved bucket truck.

The addition of the proposed tractor keeps the approved budget line item under the approved amount of $\$ 265,000$.

## Selling Equipment

Quote Id: 17962563 Customer Name: TOWN OF SMITHFIELD ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

ALL PURCHASE ORDERS MUST BE MADE OUT TO (VENDOR):
Deere \& Company 2000 John Deere Run
Cary, NC 27513
FED ID: 36-2382580; DUNS\#: 60-7690989

```
ALL PURCHASE ORDERS MUST BE SENT
TO DELIVERING DEALER:
East Coast Equipment, LLC
2112 Central Park Drive
Winterville, NC 28590
252-355-4818
winterville@eastcoastequip.com
```


## JOHN DEERE 4066R Compact Utility Tractor (52 PTO hp)

Hours:
Stock Number:
Contract: NC Grounds Maintenance Equip 515B (PG 2Y CG Selling Price *
22)
$\$ 40,059.05$
Price Effective Date: December 18, 2017

* Price per item - includes Fees and Non-contract items
$\left.\begin{array}{clcccrr}\begin{array}{c}\text { Code }\end{array} & \text { Description } & \text { Qty } & \text { List Price } & \text { Discount\% } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Discount } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Contract } \\ \text { Price }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{r}\text { Extended } \\ \text { Contract } \\ \text { Price }\end{array}\right\}$



## Selling Equipment

Quote Id: 17962563
Customer Name: TOWN OF SMITHFIELD ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

ALL PURCHASE ORDERS MUST BE MADE OUT TO (VENDOR):
Deere \& Company
2000 John Deere Run
Cary, NC 27513
FED ID: 36-2382580; DUNS\#: 60-7690989

ALL PURCHASE ORDERS MUST BE SENT TO DELIVERING DEALER:
East Coast Equipment, LLC
2112 Central Park Drive
Winterville, NC 28590
252-355-4818
winterville@eastcoastequip.com
Total Selling Price $\quad \$ 48,852.50 \quad \$ 8,793.45 \$ 40,059.05 \$ 40,059.05$


## Selling Equipment

Quote Id: 17962848

| JOHN DEERE 4066R Compact Utility Tractor (52 PTO hp) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hours: <br> Stock Number: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Selling Price \$ 38,876.00 |
| Code | Description | Qty | Unit | Extended |
| 0382LV | 4066R Compact Utility Tractor (52 PTO hp) | 1 | \$ 39,421.00 | \$ 39,421.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 0202 | United States | 1 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 0409 | English Operator's Manual and Decal Kit | 1 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| 1520 | eHydro | 1 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| 1795 | Less Loader | 1 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| 2060 . | Deluxe Cab with Air Ride Seat | 1 | \$9,166.00 | \$ 9,166.00 |
| 2650 | Less Radio | 1 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| 4061 | Less iMatch Quick Hitch Category 1 | 1 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0:00 |
| 5090 | Less Wheel Spacer | 1 | \$ 0.00 | \$ 0.00 |
| 5240 | 16.9-24 (6PR, R4 Industrial, 2 Position) | 1 | \$ -40.00 | \$ -40.00 |
| 6240 | 10-16.5 (6PR, R4 Industrial, 2 Position) | 1 | \$ 135.00 | \$ 135.00 |
|  | Standard Options Total |  |  | \$ 9,261.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| BW16155 | Deluxe Hood Guard Attachment | 1 | \$ 181.50 | \$ 181.50 |
|  | Dealer Attachments Total |  |  | \$ 181.50 |
| $\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |
| Value Added Services Total $\$ 0.00$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Freight |  |  |  |  |
| Setup $\begin{array}{llll}\text { a }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Other Charges Total \$ 575.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Suggested Price $\quad \$ 49,438.50$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Customer Discounts Total $\quad \$-10,562.50 \quad 10$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total Selling Price , \$ 38,876.00 |  |  |  |  |

## Selling Equipment

Quote Id: 17962848 Customer: TOWN OF SMITHFIELD ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

| Hours: <br> Stock Number: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Selling Price } \\ \$ 2,850.00 \end{array}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Code | Description | Qty | Unit | Extended |
| 2144P | MX6 Lift-Type Rotary Cutter - 540 RPM PTO | 1 | \$ 3,462.00 | \$ 3,462.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Freight |  | 1 | \$ 147.14 | \$ 147.14 |
| Setup |  | 1 | \$ 319.00 | \$ 319.00 |
| Other Charges Total |  |  |  | \$ 466.14 |
| Suggested Price |  |  |  | \$ 3,928.14 |
|  |  | Hme |  |  |
| Customer Discounts Total |  |  | \$ -1,078.14 | \$ -1,078.14 |
| Total Selling Price. |  |  |  | \$ 2,850.00 |


| Quote Summary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared For: <br> Town Of Smithfield Accounts Payable <br> Po Box 761 <br> Smithfield, NC 27577 <br> Business: 919-934-2116 | Prepared By; <br> Danny Langston <br> East Coast Equipment, LLC <br> 2783 Us 70 Bus E <br> Smithfield, NC 27577 <br> Phone: 919-934-2031 <br> dlangston@eastcoastequip.com |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Quote Id: 17986919 <br> Created On: 14 August 2018 <br> Last Miodified On: 14 August 2018 <br> Expiration Date: 09 <br> September 2018  |  |  |  |
| Equipment Summary | Selling Price Qty |  | Extended |
| JOHN DEERE 4066R Compact Utility Tractor (52 PTO hp) | \$ 43,181.00 X | 1 | \$ 43,181.00 |
| JOHN DEERE MX6 Lift-Type Rotary Cutter - 540 RPM PTO | \$ 3,800.00 X | 1 | \$ 3,800.00 |
| Equipment Total |  |  | \$ 46,981.00 |
| Quote Summary |  |  |  |
|  | Equipment Total |  | \$ 46,981.00 |
|  | DELIVERY FEE |  | \$ 0.00 |
|  | SubTotal |  | \$ 46,981.00 |
|  | Sales Tax- (6.75\%) |  | \$ 3,171.22 \$ 0.00 |
|  | Est. Service Agreement Tax |  | \$ 0.00 |
|  | Total |  | \$ 50,152.22 |
| - | Down Payment |  | (0.00) |
|  | Rental Applied |  | (0.00) |
|  | Balance Due |  | \$ 50,152.22 |

## Selling Equipment

Quote Id: 17986919

## JOHN DEERE 4066R Compact Utility Tractor (52 PTO hp)

Hours:
Stock Number:


## JOHN DEERE MX6 Lift-Type Rotary Cutter - 540 RPM PTO

## Selling Equipment

Quote Id: 17986919 Customer: TOWN OF SMITHFIELD ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

| Hours: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Number: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Selling Price } \\ \$ 3,800.00 \end{array}$ |
| Code | Description | Qty | Unit | Extended |
| 2144P | MX6 Lift-Type Rotary Cutter - 540 RPM PTO | 1 | \$ 3,462.00 | \$ 3,462.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Freight | 1 | \$ 147.14 | \$ 147.14 |
|  | Setup | 1 | \$ 250.00 | \$ 250.00 |
|  | Other Charges Total |  |  | \$ 397.14 |
|  | Suggested Price |  |  | \$ 3,859.14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Customer Discounts Total |  | \$ -59.14 | \$-59.14 |
| Total Selling Price |  |  |  | \$ $3,800.00$ |



# TOWN OF SMITHFIELD 

# PUBLIC UTILITIES 

## 10 YEAR CAPITAL PLAN <br> June 5, 2018

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## ELECTRIC

- Smart Meter Deployment
- Town-wide Voltage Conversion
- North Circuit
- Generators for Lift Station Load Management (\#4, \#5, \#6, \& \#11)
- Cat 289D Type Bucket Truck
- New Tractor for Grass Cutting on Power Lines
- Delivery Point \#3
- System Improvements on Buffalo Road


## WATER PLANT

- River Bank Refurbishment
- Clear Track Vacuum System for Filter Basins
- Plant Enlargement - Construct 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Train
- 1,000,000-gallon Water Tank at Water Plant
- Sludge Process Upgrades
- SCADA Upgrades
- South Smithfield Elevated Tank/System Upgrades


## WATER \& SEWER

- Reduction of I/I into Sanitary Sewer System
- Lift Station Repair \& Replacement
- Smart Meter Deployment
- Water Line Upgrades
- Digitized Mapping of Water \& Sewer System
- $16^{\prime \prime}$ Water Line Along Durwood Stephenson Highway
- Workforce Mobility
- Manhole Rehabilitation
n Vactor Truck
- Service Truck Vehicles
- System Improvements on Buffalo Road

| Project: | New Tractor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description: | The tractor will be used to haul a grass cutter behind so the Electric Division will be able |  |
|  | to maintain the electric easements in the Town. |  |
| Justification: | The need to maintain the electric easements is obvious. Often the trucks cannot access |  |
|  | the necessary pole, where the repair is specified, due to small trees and thick |  |
|  | underbrush. This acquisition is intended to remedy the situation by enabling the Electric |  |
| Division to clear the easements and access the work site. |  |  |
| Fiscal Year Esti | mated Expenditure: 2018-2019 | \$0 |
|  | 2019-2020 | \$75,0000 |
|  | 2020-2021 | \$75,000 |
|  | 2021-2022 | \$0 |
|  | 2022-2023 | \$0 |
|  | 2023-2024 | \$0 |
|  | 2024-2025 | \$0 |
|  | 2025-2026 | \$0 |
|  | 2026-2027 | \$0 |
|  | 2027-2028 | \$0 |

Project: Delivery Point \#3
Description: The Town will design \& construct a third delivery point in the north end of Town to replace the substation on Hospital Road.
Justification: The need to update the aged electric infrastructure grows ever more pressing each year. The "lower" supply-side voltage lines ( 115 kVa ) are being phased out by Duke Energy, so all new stations will be on the "higher" supply-side voltage ( 230 kVa ). This is the more stable supply of power that the Town is converting our infrastructure to, and this updated delivery point will dovetail into the Town conversion project. Once constructed the entire Town could have the ability to be run from either station, which would reduce the probability of outages.
Fiscal Year Estimated Expenditure: 2018-2019 \$75,000
2019-2020 \$575,0000
2020-2021 \$500,000
2021-2022 \$1,000,000
2022-2023 \$0
2023-2024 \$0
2024-2025 \$0
2025-2026 \$0
2026-2027 \$0
2027-2028 \$0

# Financial 

## Report



## Town of Smithfield

Revenues vs. Expenditures




## TOWN OF SMITHFIELD

MAJOR FUNDS FINANCIAL SUMMARY REPORT
October, 2018
Gauge: 4/12 or 33.33 Percent 33.33\%

| GENERAL FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | Frequency | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY' } 17-18 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ \text { FY'18-19 } \end{gathered}$ |  | Actual to Date FY '18-19 |  | YTD \% <br> Collected |
| Current \& Prior Year Property Taxes | Monthly | \$ | - | \$ | 5,663,000 | \$ | 1,156,382 | 20.42\% |
| Motor Vehicle Taxes | Monthly |  | - |  | 515,000 |  | 196,988 | 38.25\% |
| Utility Franchise Taxes | Quarterly |  | - |  | 975,000 |  | 225,822 | 23.16\% |
| Local Option Sales Taxes | Monthly |  | - |  | 2,200,000 |  | 446,174 | 20.28\% |
| Aquatic and Other Recreation | Monthly |  | - |  | 877,500 |  | 268,817 | 30.63\% |
| Sanitation | Monthly |  | - |  | 1,305,500 |  | 360,154 | 27.59\% |
| All Other Revenues |  |  | - |  | 1,324,996 |  | 637,855 | 48.14\% |
| Loan Proceeds |  |  | - |  | 88,500 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Transfers (Electric and Fire Dist.) |  |  | - |  | 261,614 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Fund Balance Appropriated |  |  | - |  | 1,336,337 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Total |  | \$ | - | \$ | 14,547,447 | \$ | 3,292,192 | 22.63\% |
| Expenditures |  |  | Actual FY '17-18 |  | Budget <br> FY ' $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{- 1 9}$ |  | al to Date Y '18-19 | YTD \% <br> Collected |
| General Gov.-Governing Body |  | \$ | - | \$ | 463,406 | \$ | 138,669 | 29.92\% |
| Non Departmental |  |  | - |  | 840,906 |  | 354,291 | 42.13\% |
| Debt Service |  |  | - |  | 1,003,781 |  | 441,618 | 44.00\% |
| Finance |  |  | - |  | 105,501 |  | 29,843 | 28.29\% |
| Planning |  |  | - |  | 646,927 |  | 165,639 | 25.60\% |
| Police |  |  | - |  | 3,790,500 |  | 1,001,019 | 26.41\% |
| Fire |  |  | - |  | 2,106,953 |  | 485,753 | 23.05\% |
| EMS |  |  | - |  | - |  | - | \#DIV/0! |
| General Services/Public Works |  |  | - |  | 546,914 |  | 159,076 | 29.09\% |
| Streets |  |  | - |  | 905,643 |  | 129,052 | 14.25\% |
| Motor Pool/Garage |  |  | - |  | 92,582 |  | 22,101 | 23.87\% |
| Powell Bill |  |  | - |  | 582,725 |  | 12,914 | 2.22\% |
| Sanitation |  |  | - |  | 1,116,768 |  | 345,037 | 30.90\% |
| Parks and Rec |  |  | - |  | 999,271 |  | 277,069 | 27.73\% |
| SRAC |  |  | - |  | 969,779 |  | 326,887 | 33.71\% |
| Sarah Yard Center |  |  |  |  | 40,600 |  | 7,308 | 18.00\% |
| Contingency |  |  | - |  | 335,191 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Appropriations/Contributions |  |  | - |  | - |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Total |  | \$ | - | \$ | 14,547,447 | \$ | 3,896,276 | 26.78\% |

YTD Fund Balance Increase (Decrease)

| WATER AND SEWER FUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues | Actual FY ' 17 -18 | Budget FY' $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  | Actual to Date FY '18-19 |  | YTD \% <br> Collected |
| Water Charges | \$ | \$ | 2,852,000 | \$ | 765,254 | 26.83\% |
| Water Sales (Wholesale) | - | \$ | 1,200,000 | \$ | 424,632 | 35.39\% |
| Sewer Charges | - |  | 3,800,000 |  | 1,215,805 | 31.99\% |
| Tap Fees | - |  | 13,000 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| All Other Revenues (Includes Grants) | - |  | 599,000 |  | 187,177 | 31.25\% |
| Loan Proceeds | - |  | - |  | - | \#DIV/0! |
| Fund Balance Appropriated | - |  | 626,432 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Total | \$ | \$ | 9,090,432 | \$ | 2,592,868 | 28.52\% |

Expenditures
Water Plant (Less Transfers)
Water Distribution/Sewer Coll (Less Transfers)
Transfer to General Fund
Transfer to W/S Capital Proj. Fund
Debt Service
Contingency
Total

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY' }^{\prime} 17-18 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ \text { FY'18-19 } \end{gathered}$ |  | Actual to Date$\text { FY ' }^{\prime} 18-19$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { YTD \% } \\ \text { Collected } \end{array} \frac{33.83 \%}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | - | \$ | 1,811,936 | \$ | 612,914 |  |
|  | - |  | 4,231,837 |  | 1,209,258 | 28.58\% |
|  | - |  | - |  | - | \#DIV/0! |
|  | - |  | 1,467,000 |  | - | 0.00\% |
|  | - |  | 1,382,896 |  | 534,887 | 38.68\% |
|  | - |  | 196,763 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| \$ | - | \$ | 9,090,432 | \$ | 2,357,059 | 25.93\% |

## ELECTRIC FUND

| Revenues | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ \text { FY' 17-18 } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ \text { FY' } 18-19 \end{gathered}$ |  | Actual to Date FY '18-19 |  | YTD \% <br> Collected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric Sales | \$ | - | \$ | 16,400,000 | \$ | 4,729,024 | 28.84\% |
| Penalties |  | - |  | 320,000 |  | 47,871 | 14.96\% |
| All Other Revenues |  | - |  | 54,000 |  | 64,492 | 119.43\% |
| Loan Proceeds |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Fund Balance Appropriated |  | - |  | 120,075 |  | - |  |
| Total | \$ | - | \$ | 16,894,075 | \$ | 4,841,387 | 28.66\% |
| Expenditures |  | Actual <br> FY '17-18 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Budget } \\ \text { FY' } 18-19 \end{gathered}$ |  | ual to Date Y' '18-19 | YTD \% <br> Collected |
| Administration/Operations | \$ | - | \$ | 2,190,899 | \$ | 778,150 | 35.52\% |
| Purchased Power - Non Demand |  | - |  | 12,600,000 |  | 1,712,525 | 13.59\% |
| Purchased Power - Demand |  | - |  | - |  | 2,297,706 | \#DIV/0! |
| Purchased Power - Debt |  | - |  | - |  | 358,392 | \#DIV/0! |
| Debt Service |  | - |  | 359,972 |  | 342,585 | 95.17\% |
| Capital Outlay |  | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Contingency |  | - |  | 441,990 |  | - |  |
| Transfers to Electric Capital Proj Fund |  |  |  | 1,215,000 |  | - |  |
| Transfer to Electric Capital Reserve |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| Transfers to General Fund |  | - |  | 86,214 |  | - | 0.00\% |
| Total | \$ | - | \$ | 16,894,075 | \$ | 5,489,358 | 32.49\% |


| CASH AND INVESTMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Fund (Includes P. Bill) | 9,827,123 |  |  |  |
| Water and Sewer Fund | 7,150,607 |  |  |  |
| Eletric Fund* | 10,489,894 |  |  | Interest Rate |
| JB George Endowment (40) | 131,646 |  |  |  |
| Water Plant Expansion (43) | $(193,810)$ |  |  |  |
| Booker Dairy Road Fund (44) | 449,412 |  |  |  |
| Capital Project Fund: Wtr/Sewer (45) | 138,175 | 1st CITIZENS | 21,712,471 | 0.20\% |
| Capital Project Fund: General (46) | 306,861 | NCCMT | 2,312,915 | 1.960\% |
| Capital Project Fund: Electric (47) | 541,675 | STIFEL | - | Market |
| Firemen Relief Fund (50) | 150,877 | KS BANK | 3,728,875 | 1.75\% |
| Fire District Fund (51) | 51,936 | FOUR OAKS | 1,290,135 | 0.85\% |
|  |  | PNC BANK | - | 0.00\% |
| Total | \$29,044,396 |  | \$ 29,044,396 |  |

*Plug

Account Balances Confirmed By Finance Director on 11/15/2018

# Department 

Reports


| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RESOURCES } \\ & \text { NEEDED } \end{aligned}$ | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Develop overall support for the Economic Development Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Develop and increase support for the Town's economic development efforts | Smithfield-Selma <br> Chamber of <br> Commerce |  | Good contact and support established with the organization. Tim attends Chamber meetings regularly |  |
|  |  |  | Commercial Realtors |  | Maintaining on-going contact with realtors. |  |
|  |  |  | SEDAC |  | Maintaining on-going contact with SEDAC. |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}$ |  |  | East Smithfield |  | Met with Tony Nixon to discuss needs, challenges, and opportunities in the community. | Received a listing of East Smithfield concerns submitted to the former town manager by the East Smithfield Improvement Organization. |
|  |  |  | Business Community |  | Meeting with business community via BRE program. | Additional meetings with the business community are being scheduled via BRE activities. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Existing Business \& Industry Support |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reestablish the Existing Industry Outreach Program |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Develop a contact directory of Existing Industries |  |  | Initial Directory completed and will be updated regularly. |  |


| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Developing an introductory letter to be mailed by January 2017 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Schedule visitations |  |  | Visitations are on-going. | Contacted several companies via phone to discuss the town's renewed emphasis on BRE. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Continue to follow-up with companies contacted, especially those who has requested assistance and those who have express expansion potential. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Determine Recognition Activities |  |  | Options discussed and being considered. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Business Recruitment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Attract new business investment and jobs | Identify needs of major companies in the County that Smithfield can capitalize on | JCED |  | Met with Chris Johnson and discussed business attraction and expansion issues, including product and workforce. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Met with Durwood Stephenson regarding an initiative that would be of great benefit to the town, and would assist in the recruitment of other businesses. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Town Image/Gateways |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gateways |  |  |  |  |  |


| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Identify areas for improvement | SEDAC |  | Meetings to be scheduled in conjunction with Visioning/Branding and the development of the Strategic Communication Plan (SCP) to more fully discuss the issue. | Met with a developer who expressed developing a parcel located a one of Smithfield's gateways. The developer has been involved in many successful projects and what the vision he expressed for the property would greatly enhance the area and improve the aesthetics. We will follow up with the developer to identify ways that we can encourage him to make his vision a reality. |
| 灾 |  |  | East Smithfield - <br> Tony Nixon |  | Tony Nixon of the East Smithfield Improvement organization was identified as the best person to contact re: to East Smithfield issues. | Information will be gathered on the different areas of the town, including East Smithfield, in the development of the SCP and Visioning/Branding efforts. |
|  |  |  | Business <br> Community |  |  |  |
|  | Conduct a Visioning/Brandi ng process |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ElectriCities/ <br> Business <br> Community/ <br> SEDAC/East <br> Smithfield |  | Town Council agreed to move forward with the development of the Strategic Communication Plan at their 07MAR17 meeting and a draft is being prepared by ElectriCities for review. | Received a draft strategic communications plan from Michelle Vaught, VP of Marketing for ElectriCities. |



| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | The town is partnering with Johnston County Economic Development on assembling properties for an industrial park that will then be certified. Five parcels lie within the town's corporate limits. Land owners will be contacted to secure the properties for the project. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Additonal evaluations as required by SHPO were conducted and their results were submitted to the EDPNC Certified Sites Steering Committee for consideration. |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{-}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Infrastructure Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |


| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Golden LEAF Grant | Public Works DepartmentJohnston County |  | The county has received, or will receive grant funding for economic development related projects from the Golden LEAF Foundation. One of the projects identified is the extension improvement of water/sewer lines along the U.S. 70 corridor, which will serve the new industrial park. The GL Board will approve the projects at their April meeting. | Estimates for the number of jobs that West Smithfield Industrial Park could support and the average wage of those jobs are being prepared to be included in a follow up information request from the Golden LEAF in support of the grant. |
| N |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Downtown Redevelopment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Renovation and redevelopment of Downtown properties | Redevelopment of the former Town Hall |  |  | redevelopment of the Old Town Hall by the UNC SOG graduate student class was presented on 30NOV17. | Condemnation proceedings have been initiated on the property. It is still hoped that some scenario can be developed to ensure that the facility can be rehabiliated and become a positive for the town. |


| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Sarah Edwards, Tim, and Rocky met to discuss a proposal to evaluate the old Rose's building's structural integrity and its feasibility for use as a brewery. Funding for the project was identified and Sarah will contact the building owner for next steps. |
| Internal/External Marketing |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | Internal | Identify the <br> Economic <br> Development <br> Program <br> Spokesman |  |  | Tim Kerigan is the spokesperson for the Economic Development Program. | Met with the Chamber's Economic Development Committee to give an update on the town's economic development efforts. |
|  |  | Develop a newsletter |  |  | Exploring options for newsletter. |  |
|  | External | Develop Marketing Materials |  |  | The marketing flyer has been reviewed and finalized, utilizing town staff resources. | The ElectriCities Smart Communities Grant Award was received and will be used to enhance the town's marketing materials. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Internal/External |  |  |  |  |  |


| ACTIVITY |  | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Strategic <br> Communications Plan |  |  | Received a draft strategic communications plan, social media presentation, social media content calendar, and references to additional resources for social media. | The documents are being reviewed and assessed as to how best to utilize the information. |
| Johnston Community College |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\boldsymbol{D}}$ | Identify JCC needs that can be translated into economic development activities | Convene a meeting with JCC leadership to discuss opportunities for collaboration | JCC |  | Follow up meeting with Dr. Johnson and Dr. McGraw to continue earlier discussion and identify other areas of collaboration. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incentives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Review the <br> Town's <br> Incentives to <br> assess the <br> Town's <br> competitiveness |  |  | A list of typical incentive practices was developed for consideration of incentive proposals for current and future prospects | Tim, Mike Scott, and Rocky discussed what incentives the town may be willing to consider for the redevelopment of the OTH and the recruitment of a brewery. |
| Retirement Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Determine interest in advancing this initiative |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Development |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| ACTIVITY | TASK | PARTNER | RESOURCES NEEDED | STATUS | NOTES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assess Housing Stock | Tax Office <br> Planning <br> Department Local <br> Realtors |  | Working with the SEDAC Redevelopment Committee to explore options of increasing/enhancing the housing stock in the town limits, primarily near downtown. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Tim, Stephen Wensman, and Rocky met with Brooks Moore, Construction Management Officer to discuss Smithfield Residential Development and new school construction. |
| Retail Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ | Expanding the Retail offerings of the Town | ElectriCities-Retail Strategies |  | Discussions were held with a retail developer that has an interest in the town for a project. | Reveiwed a social media promotion that supported retail in a nearby community. It was decided to approach the Chamber to support a similar initiative to promote retail in Smithfield. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Education |  |  |  |  | Tim, Stephen Wensman, and Rocky met with Brooks Moore, Construction Management Officer to discuss Smithfield Residential Development and new school construction. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Smithfield Economic Development Implementation Activities SEPT/OCT 2018 

1. Downtown Redevelopment - Tim and Rocky met with Sarah Edwards to discuss two projects, the renovation/redevelopment of the Old Town Hall and the recruitment of a brewery.
a. Old Town Hall - the town has initiated condemnation proceedings against the OTH. What this means to the facility is unclear at this time. Different ideas and scenarios were discussed that could facilitate the achieving of the ultimate goal, that is a renovated property that is an asset to the town and is providing a positive economic impact through jobs and tax base. Sarah and the town have been in contact with several developers that have expressed interest in the building and have the experience and financial wherewithal to bring the project to fruition. There needs to be a clear understanding of what the town wants to see happen with the building and what the town is willing to do in terms of incentives and partnering with a private developer to facilitate the redevelopment. All options discussed were revenue neutral, that is, they would be at no cost to the town. Depending on how town council decides to proceed, it was suggested that proposals from developers for the project should be solicited. Next steps will be developed after the council meeting where the matter will be discussed.
b. Brewery Attraction - the old Rose's building was identified as having the best potential for a downtown brewery location. A proposal for the evaluation of the facility for structural integrity and in terms of its feasibility for use as a brewery, given the criteria the had been obtained from Mickey Fanney was reviewed. The cost was $\$ 2,600$. Sarah stated that she believed that the DSDC board would approve funding the evaluation. She will contact the owner to get approval for the evaluation and to inquire as to his interest in helping to pay for the study.
c. Incentives - Sarah outlined the DSDC Incentive policy for qualifying projects. The DSDC program will pay the interest on construction loans, $\$ 5,000$ per unit up to 12 months after the certificate of occupancy has been issued or until occupied.
d. Downtown Smithfield District - the boundaries of the district were discussed and under what conditions they could be extended.
2. Public Schools - Tim, Stephen Wensman, and Rocky met with Brooks Moore, Construction Management Officer of Johnston County Public Schools. The discussion centered on residential development in Smithfield, and in the town's ETJ, and how residential development was impacted by the school system. What schools children will attend is a prime factor in the decision process of the purchase of a home. Brooks gave an overview of the current status of existing facilities and discussed potential future school construction. Tim and Stephen pointed out new Smithfield residential development and asked what impact that may have on new school construction locations. It was agreed to stay in close contact to assure that the town has the opportunity to make their interests known as decisions are made concerning new school construction.
3. Downtown Redevelopment - Tim, Stephen, and Sarah met with Donna Bailey Taylor to get an update on the Wayfinding project. The project is moving ahead steadily. While there Donna was asked about the town's tourism marketing funds and for what purposes they can be used. The thinking was there could be some opportunities to support building redevelopment, brewery recruitment, etc. One third of the funds, which currently are mostly committed to the Wayfinding project, can be used for tourism related development, and these are typically awarded to a non-profit organization. Further discussions as to how these funds could be used are to be scheduled.
4. Incentives - Tim and Rocky discussed potential incentives for the redevelopment of the OTH and to facilitate the location of a brewery with Mike Scott. The discussion centered on what had been done in the past as a guide of what would likely be supported for future projects. This information will be critical moving forward with both potential projects.
5. Economic Development Program Presentation - the Annual Economic Development Program Review was presented to town council.

## FINANCE DEPARTMENTAL REPORT FOR OCTOBER, 2018

## SUMMARY OF ACTIVITIES:

Daily Collections/Property Taxes/Other................................... \$2,843,417
Franchise Tax
Sales \& Use Tax................................................................ 203906
Powel Bill...................................................................... 0
Total Revenue $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 4 7 , 3 2 3}$
Expenditures: General, Water, Electric and Firemen's Fund..... \$3,266,469

## FINANCE:

- Compiled and submitted monthly retirement report on $10 / 31 / 18$
- Issued 62 purchase orders
- Processed 959 vendor invoices for payment and issued 501 accounts payable checks
- Prepared and processed 2 regular payrolls. Remitted federal and state payroll taxes on 10/05/2018 and 10/19/2018
- Issued 0 new privilege licenses (new law change in effect $7 / 1 / 2015$ )
- Sent 0 past due notices for delinquent privilege license
- Issued 1 peddler license
- Collected $\$ 0.00$ on past due privilege license fees. NOTE: Total collected now at $\$ 10,714$. The past due collections are the result of mailing some 287 past due notices to local businesses. Approximately 40 second notices were sent
- Sent 0 notices for grass cutting
- Collected $\$ 710$ in grass cutting invoices. Total collected to date is $\$ 8,922$
- Processed 8 NSF Checks (Utility and SRAC)
- Bad debt calendar year-to-date collections total $\$ 35,053.42$ (EMS $=\$ 12,075.43$; $\mathrm{SRAC}=$ $\$ 6,505.17$; Utility $=\$ 15,997.35$; and Other $=\$ 475.47$ ).
- Invoiced 5 grave openings for a total of $\$ 3,500$
- Invoiced Smithfield Housing Authority, Johnston Community College, Johnston County Schools and Neuse Charter School for Police Security
- Paid $\$ 0$ to First Citizens Bank for bank fees in Aug. and Sept.
- Paid $\$ 4,756.16$ to PNC Bank for credit/debit card fees


## FINANCE DIRECTOR

- Attended Town Council Meeting on 10/2/2018
- Prepared Capital Projects Cumulative Revenue and Expenditure Report For August, 2018 on 10/01/2018
- Prepared Capital Projects Cumulative Revenue and Expenditure Report For September, 2018 on 10/16/2018
- Filed application for credit card drafting on $10 / 22 / 2018$
- Attended Department Head Meetings on 10/2 and 10/23/2018
- Prepared for Auditors visit on 10/25/2018
- Finance Director and Customer Service Rep attended Debt Setoff Class in Raleigh on 10/30/2018
- Fixed Assets Disposal Accounting Issue Remedied by Fin. Dir. On 10/31/2018

| Special Use Permits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name | Applicant | File Number | Location | Proposed Use | Date Received | Town Council | Council Action |
| Bright Start Daycare | Durwood Stephenson | SUP-18-11 | 861 Berkshire Rd | Daycare Center | 9/7/2018 | 11/6/2018 | Approved |
| Hampton Inn | Wintergreen Hospitality | SUP-18-10 | Towne Centre Place | Hotel exceeding 40' | 8/7/2017 | 9/4/2018 | Approved |
| Market Street Automotive | AVS Investments | SUP-18-09 | 440 E . Market St | Automotive repair | 7/5/2018 | 8/7/2018 | Approved |
| Baldovinos Event Space | Currry Engineering | SUP-18-07 | 3460 S Brightleaf Blv | Event Space | 6/1/2018 | 7/3/2018 | Denied |
| Lifespring Church | Lifespring Church | SUP-18-06 | 1250 N. Brightleaf BI | Church | 7/6/2018 | 8/7/2018 | Aprroved |
| Faith Miracle Ministry | Faith Miracle Ministries | SUP-18-06 | Lee St and Blunt St | Church | 4/24/2018 | 6/5/2018 | Approved |
| Classic Touch Auto Sales | Danny Moowad | SUP-18-05 | 100 Computer Dr. | Automobile sales | 4/13/2018 | 5/1/2018 | Approved |
| Combine Mindz Tattoo | Zack Rabil | SUP-18-04 | 181 Venture DR | Tattoo Studio | 3/23/2018 | 5/1/2018 | Approved |
| © ${ }^{\circ}$ orge's Barns | Jorge Curuas | SUP-18-03 | 101 NC Hwy 210 | U-Haul rental | 1/24/2018 | 3/6/2018 | Approved |
| Conditioning \& Storage Facility | Boykin Investment, LLC | SUP-17-11 | 711 Rose St | Storage and Vehicle Re | 12/1/2017 | 1/2/2018 | Approved |
| Johnston Animal Hospital | Dr. Michael Ward | SUP-17-10 | Pitchi Street | Veternarian Office | 9/5/2017 | 10/3/2017 | Approved |
| Atlantic Coast Pipeline: | Atlantic Coast Pipeline LLC | SUP-17-09 | Mallard Rd | Operation Center | 9/1/2017 | 11/14/2017 | Approved |
| Smithfield RV park | Theron Lee McLamb | CUP-17-08 | Off Venture Dr | RV Park | 6/2/2017 | 10/3/2017 | Denied |

Nature of Amendment
Allows for daycare centers in Ol district
Article 9 removes BOA permit authority
Article 5 refinements to process
Allows for highrise sign in B-3 near
Allows for highrise sign in B-3 near I-95
Removes prohibition of Churchs in shopping centers
Moves Historic Preservation to UDO
Flood Study and UDO Flood Amendment

## Minimum PUD size

Restaurants in Ol zoning district
Flag lots, cul-de-sac
Admin approved site plans
High Density Option


TOWN OF SMITHFIELD
POLICE DEPARTMENT
MONTHLY REPORT
MONTH ENDING October 31, 2018

## I. STATISTICAL SECTION

| Month Ending Oct. 31, 2018 | Oct-18 | Oct-17 | Total 2018 | Total 2017 | YTD Difference |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calls For Service |  | 1888 | 1751 | 19060 | 19017 |  |
| Incident Reports Completed | 154 | 154 | 1374 | 1559 | 43 |  |
| Cases Closed | 137 | 110 | 994 | 1078 | -185 |  |
| Accident Reports | 85 | 76 | 716 | 712 | -84 |  |
| Arrest Reports | 117 | 125 | 1104 | 1148 | 4 |  |
| Burglaries Reported | 7 | 7 | 61 | 95 | -44 |  |
| Drug Charges | 42 | 29 | 357 | 291 | -34 |  |
| DWI Charges | 7 | 10 | 61 | 91 | -30 |  |
| Citations Issued | 200 | 186 | 2403 | 1841 | 562 |  |
| Speeding | 56 | 56 | 825 | 437 | 388 |  |
| No Operator License | 27 | 34 | 436 | 415 | 21 |  |
| Registration Violations | 14 | 24 | 329 | 278 | 51 |  |

## II. PERSONNEL UPDATE

The police department is currently short 4 positions at this time. One officer is currently out on medical leave. Lt. Gentry is retiring on November 30, 2018.

## III. MISCELLANEOUS

Mandatory in-service training was continued in October. October was a very busy month with community projects. The department had several school groups that toured the police department. We had movie night at the SYCC. Officer Denoble worked with the SRAC to have a Trunk or Treat at the Community Park. The officers passed out glow sticks on Halloween again this year.

## REPORTED UCR OFFENSES FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2018

| PART I CRIMES | $\begin{array}{r} \text { October } \\ 2017 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ | +/- | Percent Changed | Year-To-Date |  | Percent <br> +/- Changed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2017 | 2018 |  |  |
| MURDER | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. |
| RAPE | 1 | 0 | -1 | -100\% | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| ROBBERY | 0 | 1 | 1 | N.C. | 19 | 13 | -6 | -32\% |
| Commercial | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 4 | 1 | -3 | -75\% |
| Individual | 0 | 1 | 1 | N. C. | 15 | 12 | -3 | -20\% |
| ASSAULT | 2 | 5 | 3 | 150\% | 33 | 35 | 2 | 6\% |
| * VIOLENT * | 3 | 6 | 3 | 100\% | 54 | 50 | -4 | -7\% |
| BURGLARY | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0\% | 91 | 58 | -33 | -36\% |
| Residential | 5 | 4 | -1 | -20\% | 59 | 38 | -21 | -36\% |
| Non-Resident. | 0 | 1 | 1 | N. C. | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0\% |
| Commercial | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0\% | 23 | 11 | -12 | -52\% |
| LARCENY | 42 | 30 | -12 | -29\% | 418 | 336 | -82 | -20\% |
| AUTO THEFT | 4 | 2 | -2 | -50\% | 11 | 16 | 5 | 45\% |
| ARSON | 0 | 0 | 0 | N. C . | 0 | 0 | 0 | N. C . |
| * PROPERTY * | 53 | 39 | -14 | -26\% | 520 | 410 | -110 | -21\% |
| PART I TOTAL: | 56 | 45 | -11 | -20\% | 574 | 460 | -114 | -20\% |

PART II CRIMES

| Drug | 21 | 46 | 25 | 119\% | 271 | 314 | 43 | 16\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assault Simple | 17 | 12 | -5 | -29\% | 138 | 114 | -24 | -17\% |
| Forgery/Counterfeit | 4 | 8 | 4 | 100\% | 46 | 37 | -9 | -20\% |
| Fraud | 10 | 9 | -1 | -10\% | 77 | 62 | -15 | -19\% |
| Embezzlement | 3 | 1 | -2 | -67\% | 11 | 4 | -7 | -64\% |
| Stolen Property | 0 | 2 | 2 | N.C. | 9 | 19 | 10 | 111\% |
| Vandalism | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 61 | 45 | -16 | -26\% |
| Weapons | 0 | 2 | 2 | N.C. | 27 | 23 | -4 | -15\% |
| Prostitution | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | N. C . |
| All Other Sex Offens | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 11 | 6 | -5 | -45\% |
| Gambling | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | N. C . |
| Offn Agnst Faml/Chld | 0 | 1 | 1 | N.C. | 2 | 5 | 3 | 150\% |
| D. W. I. | 10 | 7 | -3 | -30\% | 87 | 56 | -31 | -36\% |
| Liquor Law Violation | 1 | 0 | -1 | -100\% | 6 | 3 | -3 | -50\% |
| Disorderly Conduct | 4 | 0 | -4 | -100\% | 10 | 8 | -2 | -20\% |
| Obscenity | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 0 | 1 | 1 | N. C . |
| Kidnap | 0 | 0 | 0 | N.C. | 0 | 0 | 0 | N. C . |
| All Other Offenses | 6 | 4 | -2 | -33\% | 76 | 72 | -4 | -5\% |
| PART II TOTAL: | 81 | 97 | 16 | 20\% | 832 | 769 | -63 | -8\% |

GRAND TOTAL:
N.C. = Not Calculable

Town of Smithfield


## Fire Department

October, 2018

## I. Statistical Section

| Responded to | $\begin{array}{r} 2018 \\ \text { Oct } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Oct <br> IN | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & \text { OUT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2017 \\ \text { Oct } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { IN } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2018 \\ & \text { OUT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2018 \\ & \text { YTD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \\ & \text { YTD } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Structure Fires Dispatched | 12 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 47 | 48 | 95 | 73 |
| *Confirmed Structure Fires (Our District)* | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 6 | 27 | 18 |
| *Confirmed Structure Fires (Other Districts)* | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 23 |
| EMS/Rescue Calls | 112 | 107 | 5 | 130 | 1298 | 64 | 1362 | 1419 |
| Vehicle Fires | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 20 | 10 |
| Motor Vehicle Accidents | 21 | 19 | 2 | 13 | 177 | 14 | 191 | 161 |
| Fire Alarms (Actual) | 12 | 11 | 1 | 11 | 77 | 8 | 87 | 108 |
| Fire Alarms (False) | 14 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 106 | 4 | 114 | 101 |
| Misc./Other Calls | 21 | 20 | 1 | 27 | 251 | 40 | 286 | 218 |
| *Mutual Aid (Received)* | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 56 |
| *Mutual Aid (Given)* | 8 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 65 |
| *Overlapping Calls (Calls at the same time)* | 29 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 278 | 294 |
| TOTAL EMERGENCY RESPONSES | 194 | 176 | 16 | 206 | 1944 | 187 | 2131 | 2045 |

* Denotes the breakdown of calls, these are not calculated into the totals * IN/OUT denotes in and out of the Town, not outside the fire district. When we respond to another fire district (Mutual Aid), which is outside of our total fire district boundaries that is reported in (Other Districts).

|  |  | Oct |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| YTD |  |  |
| Fire Inspections/Compliance Inspections | 36 | 381 |
| Public Fire Education Programs | 4 | 38 |
| Children in Attendance |  |  |
| Adults in Attendance | 312 | $\mathbf{1 9 3 1}$ |
|  |  |  |
| Plans Review Construction/Renovation Projects | 136 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 7}$ |
| Fire Code Citations | 0 | 60 |
| Fire Lane Citations | 0 | 0 |
| Consultation/Walk Through | 2 | 154 |
| Re-Inspections | 0 | 190 |

## II. Major Revenues

|  | Oct | YTD |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Inspections | $\$ 1,200.00$ | $\$ 15,450.00$ |
| False Alarms | $\$ 200.00$ | $\$ 3,950.00$ |
| Fire Recovery USA | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 6,621.44$ |
| EMS Debt Setoff | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 11,584.69$ |

## Major Expenses for the Month:

## III. Personnel Update:

- We have many vacancies in the Volunteer Firefighter positions and are currently seeking recruitment of volunteers to become probationary Volunteer Firefighters.


## IV. Narrative of monthly departmental activities:

- We participated in Fire prevention programs throughout the month of October: Awakening Church, West Smithfield Elementary, and First Baptist Church.
- We participated in the Touch-a-Truck event held on Johnston St. Oct. $27^{\text {th }}$.
- We conducted Live Fire training on Oct. $13^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ at Pitchi St. at N. Brightleaf Blvd. Over 100 Firefighters participated from all over the County.


# Town of Smithfield Public Works Department Oct. 31, 2018 

 Burials, at $\$ 700.00$ each $=\$ 3,500$ Cremation Burial, $\$ 400.00$ each $=\$ \underline{0}$ $\$ 0$ Sunset Cemetery Lot Sales $\$ 0$ Riverside Extension Cemetery Lot Sales404.33 tons of household waste collected

156 tons of yard waste collected

515 tons of recycling collected


## I. Statistical Section

5 Burials
$\qquad$ Works Orders - Buildings \& Facilities Division

19 Work Orders - Grounds Division

50 Work Orders - Sign Division

## II. Major Revenues

$$
\text { Sunset Cemetery Lot Sales: } \quad \$ 0.00
$$

Riverside Ext Cemetery Lot Sales:
\$0.00
Grave Opening Fees:
\$3,500.00
Total Revenue:
\$3,500.00
III. Major Expenses for the Month:

None for the month.
IV. Personnel Update:

None for the month.
V. Narrative of monthly departmental activities:

The overall duties include daily maintenance on cemeteries, landscapes, right-of-ways, buildings and facilities. Employee participated in the Annual Flu Shot Clinic held at the SRAC. The Public Works Dept. had two safety training meeting during the month of October. One training session was on "Bloodborne Pathogens" with Jaime Pearce from Wellness Works of Johnston Health. The second safety training session was with Trooper Bridges with the NC State Highway Patrol Division of Public Safety for CDL Drivers with Class $A$ and $B$ licenses as well as Class $C$ drivers.

# Town of Smithfield Public Works Drainage/Street Division Monthly Report Oct. 31, 2018 



## I. Statistical Section

a. All catch basins in problem areas were cleaned on a weekly basis
b. Provided traffic cones and roll-out containers for 3 special events this month.
c. Cut 2 nuisance lots for Planning Department.
d. Painted parking space areas on Bridge Street between $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$.
e. 27 - Work Orders - 1,425Ibs. of Cold Patch was used for27 Potholes.

## II. Major Revenues

None for the month
III. Major Expenses for the Month:
$\$ 1,227.62$ to Hertz Rental for 2 ride-on 3 wheel sweepers used in Hurricane Florence cleanup, $\$ 3,250$ to Barbour Beaver Control for beaver control in major blue line ditches.

## IV. Personnel Update:

None for the month
V. Narrative of monthly departmental activities:

Employee participated in the Annual Flu Shot Clinic held at the SRAC. The Public Works Dept. had two safety training meeting during the month of October. One training session was on "Bloodborne Pathogens" with Jaime Pearce from Wellness Works of Johnston Health. The second safety training session was with Trooper Bridges with the NC State Highway Patrol Division of Public Safety for CDL Drivers with Class A and B licenses as well as Class C drivers.

# Town of Smithfield <br> Public Works Department Oct. 2018 Drainage Report 

| Location: | Woodall and SBL, s $3^{\text {RD }}$ Street, Riverside Drive, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Ave, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ave, College Rd, MLK, Blount Street, S $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, Martin Street, Outlet Center Drive, Vacant lot across from Smith Collins Park. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Starting Date: | 10/1/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 10/5/2018 |
| Description | Cut FEMA lots and Right of Ways. |
| Man-hours: | 21 hrs . |
| Equipment: | Bobcat tractor with bush hog, Scag mowers plus hand tools. |
| Materials: | N/A |
| Location: | Oakland Cemetery. |
| Starting Date: | 10/3/2018 |
| Completion Date | 10/3/2018 |
| Description: | Removed 3 large Oaks that were damaged by Hurricane Florence from the cemetery pathways. |
| Man-hours: | 8.25 hrs . |
| Equipment: | 420 Cat backhoe, 304 Knuckleboom loader, 404 pickup plus chainsaws and hand tools. |
| Materials: | N/A |
| Location: | N $9^{\text {th }}$ Street behind Advance Auto, 18-B Sussex, 230-255-A, 270 Equity Drive, $1^{\text {st }}$ and Sanders, 809 Martin Street, 701-A East Street, 29 Bradford Street, $5^{\text {th }}$ and Brogden, Broadwell Parking Lot, Berkshire and Edgerton, 902 Berkshire, 116 Henley Place, 410 Canterbury Road, Futrell Way and Fayetteville, 708 Hancock Street, $7^{\text {th }}$ and Lee Street, $708 \mathrm{~S} 5^{\text {th }}$ Street, Glenn and S $5^{\text {th }}$ Street. |
| Starting Date: | 10/3/18 |
| Completion Date | 10/30/18 |
| Description: | Repaired 27 potholes with 23.75 bags of Perma Patch. |
| Man-hours: | 11.75hrs. |
| Equipment: | 401 pickup plus hand tools. |
| Materials: | 23.75 bags of Perma Patch asphalt. |
| Location: | $133 \mathrm{~N} 2^{\text {nd }}$ Street. |
| Starting Date: | 11/17/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 11/17/2018 |
| Description: | Removed large bush from sidewalk area. |
| Man-hours: | 3 hrs . |
| Equipment: | 420 Cat backhoe, knuckleboom truck, 401 pickup plus hand tools. |
| Materials: | N/A |


| Location: | Riverside Cemetery, $100 \mathrm{~S} 2^{\text {nd }}$ Street, $146 \mathrm{~S} 3^{\text {rd }}$ Street, 310 E Johnston Street, 350 E Market Street. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Starting Date: | 10/17/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 10/22/2018 |
| Description: | Assisted the Appearance Commission in removing 9 dead trees and 1 large Oak tree stump from right of way. |
| Man-hours: | 19.15hrs. |
| Equipment: | 420 Cat backhoe, 405 dump truck plus hand tools. |
| Materials: | Dump truck load of topsoil. |
| Location: | 121 N 4 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street. |
| Starting Date: | 10/17/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 10/18/2018 |
| Description: | Delivered 12 traffic cones and 6 roll-out containers for Alive after Five event. |
| Man-hours: | 2 hrs . |
| Equipment: | 401 pickup and trash truck. |
| Materials: | N/A |
| Location: | 231 Hospital Road. |
| Starting Date: | 10/23/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 10/23/2018 |
| Description: | Repainted the hand rails in front of Public Works office. |
| Man-hours: | 2hrs. |
| Equipment: | N/A |
| Materials: | Half of a gallon of yellow paint. |
| Location: | 14 Peedin Road, NBL and Market (Old Rite Aid building). |
| Starting Date: | 10/24/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 10/24/2018 |
| Description: | Cut 2 nuisance properties for planning Department. |
| Man-hours: | 11.75hrs. |
| Equipment: | Bobcat tractor plus bush hog, 420 Cat backhoe, 2 scag mowers plus hand tools. |
| Materials: | N/A |
| Location: | Community Park. |
| Starting Date: | 10/25/2018 |
| Completion Date: | 10/25/2018 |
| Description: | Cut above ground swell from edge of tennis courts to catch basin for positive drainage. |
| Man-hours: | 3 hrs . |
| Equipment: | 420 Cat backhoe plus hand tools. |
| Materials: | N/A |

Location:
Starting Date:
Completion Date:
Description:
Man-hours:
Equipment:
Materials:
Location:
Starting Date:
Completion Date:
Description:
Man-hours:
Equipment:
Materials:

Riverside Cemetery, Community Park, S $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street and Johnston Street.
10/26/2018
10/29/2018
Dropped off cones and roll-out containers for Egg Haunt, Ghost walk and Touch a Truck events.
7.67hrs.

Trash truck, 401 and 905 pickup trucks with trailers.
N/A
Bridge Street between N $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $\mathrm{N} 4^{\text {th }}$ Street.
10/29/2018
10/29/2018
Painted parking spaces with traffic paint.
4hrs.
401 pickup plus hand tools.
1 gallon of white traffic paint.

# Town of Smithfield Public Works Fleet Maintenance Division <br> Monthly Report <br> Oct. 31, 2018 



## I. Statistical Section

$\qquad$ Preventive Maintenances
$\qquad$ North Carolina Inspections Outsourced

41 Work Orders
II. Major Revenues

None for the month

## III. Major Expenses for the Month:

None for the month

## IV. Personnel Update:

None for the month.
V. Narrative of monthly departmental activities:

The shop employee performed preventive maintenances on all Town owned generators. Employee participated in the Annual Flu Shot Clinic held at the SRAC. The Public Works Dept. had two safety training meeting during the month of October. One training session was on "Bloodborne Pathogens" with Jaime Pearce from Wellness Works of Johnston Health. The second safety training session was with Trooper Bridges with the NC State Highway Patrol Division of Public Safety for CDL Drivers with Class A and B Licenses as well as Class C drivers.

# Town of Smithfield Public Works Sanitation Division Monthly Report Oct. 31, 2018 



## I. Statistical Section

The Division collected from approximately 4100 homes, 4 times during the month
a. Sanitation forces completed 38 work orders
b Sanitation forces collected tons 404.33 of household waste
c. Sanitation forces disposed of $\underline{78}$ loads of yard waste and debris at Spain

Farms Nursery
d. Recycled $\underline{0}$ tons of clean wood waste (pallets) at Convenient Site Center
e. Town's forces collected $\underline{0}$ tons of construction debris (C\&D)
f. Town disposed of $\underline{0}$ scrap tires that was collected at Convenient Site Center
g. Recycling forces collected 3.25 tons of recyclable plastic
h. Recycled 1840 lbs. of cardboard material from the Convenient Site Center
i. A total of $\underline{0}$ gals of cooking oil was collected at the Convenient Site Center
j. $\quad$ Recycled $\underline{2060} \mathrm{lbs}$. of plastics \& glass (co-mingle) from the Convenient Site Center
k. Recycled . 22 lbs . of yard waste to Johnston County landfill.
II. Major Revenues
a. Received $\$ \underline{0}$ from Sonoco Products for cardboard material
b. $\quad$ Sold $\underline{0} \mathrm{lbs}$. of aluminum cans for $\$ 0$
c. Sold $\underline{0}$ lbs. of shredder steel for $\$ \underline{0}$ to Omni Source
III. Major Expenses for the Month:

Spain Farms Nursery was paid $\$ 2,112.00$ for disposal of yard waste and debris.
Paid $\$ \underline{621.54}$ to Jo.Co. Public Health Dept. for immunization for Sanitation crew. Paid $\$ \underline{512.38}$ to Landis Bullock for 2 weed eaters.

## IV. Personnel Update:

Jesse Sparks obtained his CDL Class B license 10/17/2018
V. Narrative of monthly departmental activities:

The department worked closely with Parks \& Recreation providing traffic control devices and event containers for special events held at the SRAC.
$17^{\text {th }}$ Provide cone and cans for live after five. Oct. $25^{\text {th }}$ Provide cones for the Ghost Walk, Oct. $27^{\text {th }}$ Provided cones and cans for Touch A Truck cones
Oct. $31^{\text {st }}$ Provided cone and cans for Halloween event.
Flu Shot Clinic held at the SRAC. The Public Works Dept. had two safety training meeting during the month of October. One training session was on "Bloodborne Pathogens" with Jaime Pearce from Wellness Works of Johnston Health. The second safety training session was with Trooper Bridges with the NC State Highway Patrol Division of Public Safety for CDL Drivers with Class A and B licenses as well as Class C drivers.
Community Service Workers worked 0 hrs. 205

MONTHLY REPORT FOR OCTOBER, 2018

| PROGRAMS SATISTICS | OCTOBER, 2018 |  | OCTOBER, 2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF PROGRAMS | 12 |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ATHLETICS PARTICIPANTS | 398 |  | 388 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NON/ATHLETIC PARTICIPANTS | 1853 |  | 776 |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF GAMES PLAYED | 93 |  | 101 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYERS (GAMES) | 2328 |  | 2448 |  |  |  |
| NUMBER OF PRACTICES | 54 |  | 31 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAYER(S) PRACTICES | 851 |  | 2127 |  |  |  |
|  | OCTOBER, 2018 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18/19 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ | OCTOBER, 2017 |  | $\begin{gathered} 17 / 18 \mathrm{FY} \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ |
| PARKS RENTALS | 32 |  | 109 | 27 |  | 102 |
| USERS (PARKS RENTALS) | 758 |  | 8855 | 877 |  | 12394 |
| TOTAL UNIQUE CONTACTS | 5,790 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FINANCIAL STATISCTICS | OCTOBER, 2018 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18/19 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ | OCTOBER, 2017 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17/18 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| PARKS AND RECREATION REVENUES | 15,542.00 | \$ | 21,055.00 | 7,279.00 | \$ | 38,381.00 |
| PARKS AND RECREATON EXPENDITURES (OPERATIONS) | 67,322.00 | \$ | 232,816.00 | \$ 52,712.00 | \$ | 221,719.00 |
| PARKS AND RECREATION EXPENDITURE (CAPITAL OUTLAY EQUIP) | 8,737.00 | \$ | 44,253.00 | \$ - | \$ | 31,259.00 |

HOSTED TRUNK OR TREAT WITH ESTIMATED 1000+ PARTICIPANTS (CHILDREN AND PARENTS HOSTED MOVIE IN THE PARK WITH ESTIMATED 200+ IN ATTENDANCE
HOSTED HALLOWEEN EGG HAUNT WITH ESTIMATED 250+ PARTICIPANTS

SMITHFIELD RECREATIUN

SRAC MONTHLY REPORT FOR OCTOBER, 2018

| PROGRAMS SATISTICS | OCTOBER, 2018 |  |  | OCTOBER, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NUMBER OF PROGRAMS | 27 |  |  | 28 |  |  |
| TOTAL CONTACT WITH PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS | 2582 |  | 1619 |  |  |  |
|  | OCTOBER, 2018 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18/19 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ | OCTOBER, 2017 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17/18 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ |
| SRAC MEMBER VISITS | 2804 |  | 16424 | 4575 |  | 22177 |
| DAY PASSES | 301 |  | 4048 | 250 |  | 3754 |
| RENTALS (SRAC) | 62 |  | 269 | 42 |  | 188 |
| USERS (SRAC RENTALS) | 3890 |  | 16042 | 390 |  | 10420 |
| TOTAL UNIQUE CONTACTS | 9,577 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FINANCIAL STATISCTICS | OCTOBER, 2018 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 18/19 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ | OCTOBER, 2017 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 17/18 FY } \\ \text { YTD } \end{gathered}$ |
| SRAC REVENUES | \$ 46,577.00 | \$ | 237,756.00 | \$ 49,503.00 | \$ | 242,360.00 |
| SRAC EXPENDITURES | \$ 73,815.00 | \$ | 326,886.00 | \$ 69,338.00 | \$ | 287,754.00 |
| SRAC MEMBERSHIPS | 1566 |  |  |  |  |  |

## - $\quad$ Statistical Section

- Electric CP Demand 25,715 Kw relative to September's demand of 26,891 Kw.
- Electric System Reliability for was $99.976 \%$, with three (3) recorded outage; relative to September's 99.803\%.
- Raw water treated on a daily average was 3.432 MG relative to 3.370 MG for September; with maximum demand of 5.000 MG relative to September's 3.918 MG.
- Total finished water to the system was 104.602 MG relative to September's 99.401 MG. Average daily for the month was 3.374 MG relative to September's 3.206 MG. Daily maximum was 4.644 MG (October $31^{\text {st }}$ ) relative to September's 3.780 MG . Daily minimum was 1.717 (October $9^{\text {th }}$ ), relative to September's 2.662 MG.


## - Miscellaneous Revenues

- Water sales were $\$ 227,312$ relative to September's $\$ 232,758$
- Sewer sales were $\$ 371,525$ relative to September's $\$ 372,084$
- Electrical sales were $\$ 1,363,727$ relative to September's sales of $\$ 1,504,730$
- Johnston County Water purchases were $\$ 139,648$ for 69.824 MG relative to September's \$110,438 for 55.219 MG.


## - Major Expenses for the Month

- Electricity purchases were $\$ 1,026,962$ relative to September's $\$ 1,098,643$
- Johnston County sewer charge was $\$ 155,191$ for 47.025 MG relative to September's $\$ 229,234$ for 68.545 MG .


## - Personnel Changes -

- Carl Garner retired from employment on October 29
- Glenn Anderson began employment as a Utility Line Mechanic on October 23


Town of Smithfield Electric Department

Monthly Report
October, 2018

## I. Statistical Section

- Street Lights repaired -51
- Area Lights repaired -18
- Service calls - 59
- Underground Electric Locates -204
- Poles changed out, installed or removed-3
- Underground Services Installed/Repaired -1


## II. Major Revenues

- N/A
III. Major Expenses for the Month:
- N/A


## IV. Personnel Update:

- Utility Dept. had a Safety meeting on Work Zone Safety.
- Electrical Dept. had one person to retire as of 10-29-2018. We are now short 2 employees.
V. Miscellaneous Activities:
- Continuing conversion work on the south side of Town. (Holding St. to Wellons St.)
- Installed electrical/communication conduit to new front gate call box.
- Installed/connected new service at 100 Kellie Dr. Emerge-Othro.
- Installed underground conduit along Kellie Dr.


NORTH CAROLINA
WATER \& SEWER

## OCTOBER 2018 MONTHLY REPORT

- DISCONNECT WATER ..... 7
- RECONNECT WATER ..... 3
- TEST METER ..... 0
- TEMPORARY METER SET ..... 2
- DISCOLORED WATER CALLS ..... 2
- LOW PRESSURE CALLS ..... 2
- NEW/RENEW SERVICE INSTALLS ..... 2
- LEAK DETECTION ..... 14
- METER CHECKS ..... 23
- METER REPAIRS ..... 4
- WATER MAIN/SERVICE REPAIRS ..... 3
- STREET CUTS ..... 3
- REPLACE EXISTING METERS ..... 11
- INSTALL NEW METERS ..... 1
- FIRE HYDRANTS REPAIRED ..... 0
- FIRE HYDRANTS REPLACED ..... 2
- SEWER REPAIRS ..... 4
- CLEANOUTS INSTALLED
- SEWER MAIN CLEANED
- SERVICE LATERALS CLEANED 435LF
- SERVICE CALLS 83
- LOCATES192
- SERVICE AND MAINTAINED ALL 18 LIFT STATIONS 2 TIMES PER WEEK
- INSPECTED ALL AERIAL SEWERS ONE TIME
- INSPECTED HIGH PRIORITY MANHOLES WEEKLY


## MAJOR EXPENSES FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER

- Purchase new 4 " bypass pump from Godwin Pumps.
- RD Braswell will be finishing up on Old Goldsboro Rd water line.


## PERSONNEL UPDATES

- Glenn Anderson Started


## UPCOMING PROJECTS FOR THE MONTH OF NOVEMBER

- Contractor has started utility installation at Smithfield Living Facility
- Braswell will be continuing to work on Kellie Dr. water and sewer installation
- Emergency waterline replacement on $S 2^{\text {nd }}$ St between Riverside Dr. and E Davis St.
- Replacing control cabinets at SLS stations 9,10, \& 6


MONTHLY WATER LOSS REPORT October, 2018
(5) Meters with slow washer leaks
(2) $3 / 4$ " Line, $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ hole - 1 days
$3 / 4$ " Line, $1 / 8$ " hole - 1week


[^0]:    Shannan L. Parrish, Town Clerk

